13/8/1

Report. Incumbered Estates in Sind. 1886-87, 1897-98 & 1901-02

00133821



Digitized with financial assistance from

Government of Maharashtra

on 01 February, 2020

00133821

No. 5527 OF 1887. 1887 ff 821917

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From

C. B. PRITCHARD, ESQUIRE, C. S., C. S. I.,

Acting Commissioner in Sind.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LORD REAY, LL. D., K.G., C.I.E.,

Governor and President in Council,

BOMBAY.

133821

Commissioner's Office,

Karachi, 29th October 1887.

MY LORD,

I have the honor to submit the annual reports received from the (1) Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind; (2) the Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar District; and (3) the Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier District, for 1886-87.

Letter No. 494 of 15th September 1887, from the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.
Letter No. 17 of 14th September 1887, from the Manager, Thar and Parkar District.
Letter No. 2359 of 22nd August 1887, from the Manager, Upper Sind Frontier District.

A.—Estates under the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

2. Good progress was made by the Manager of these estates during the year under report, and as the number of estates left under management was considerably reduced, the post of the Assistant Manager for the Hyderabad District was abolished in October 1886. Mr. Jenkins held charge of the office of Manager in Sind for nine months of the year under report, and on his transfer to Baroda, Mr. Steele was appointed to act as Manager, and held charge for the remaining three months.

3. At the commencement of the year the Manager had 143 estates under his charge; of these 26 were cleared of debts and restored to their owners, while 2 were found so hopelessly involved that no liquidation scheme to clear them could be framed, and in consequence they were given up uncleared under Section 19 of the Incumbered Estates Act.

4. Out of 143 estates under management 11 had still to be reported on at the commencement of the year. Liquidation schemes for six of these were sanctioned during the year and two were given up as hopeless under Section 19 of the Act, leaving 3 estates only to be reported on. Five revised liquidation schemes were sanctioned during the year. This work of revision, as reported last year, formed an important and difficult part of the Manager's duties.

5. The total amount awarded to creditors remained the same as in last year, *i.e.*, Rs. 21,21,804, as all claims had then been investigated. Of the total amount awarded, the sum of Rs. 16,74,452 had been cleared off in previous

years, and further debts, aggregating Rs. 66,379, were satisfied during the year by an actual payment of Rs. 52,263; and it is satisfactory to notice that the whole of this sum, except Rs. 19,590 borrowed from Government, was paid out of the produce of the estates. Thus at the close of the year the amount due to creditors was Rs. 3,80,973 against Rs. 4,46,614 as shown in last year's report, and deducting sums due on account of estates relinquished and sums covered by leases, the actual amount due to creditors is Rs. 1,19,409 against Rs. 1,89,557 due at the end of the last year. Other details of the results of management are fully given in Appendix II and in the Manager's report.

6. From last year's report it will be observed that the amount paid to creditors up to the close of 1885-86 was Rs. 16,75,190, but the Manager now points out that the correct amount was Rs. 16,74,452 or Rs. 738 less than that shown in the previous year's report. This mistake has been corrected in the report now submitted.

7. At the beginning of the year the balance of the Government loans taken up for paying off debts, &c., amounted to *Rs. 4,13,344. Additional loans of Rs. 19,590 were taken from Government during the year under report. These sums, plus Rs. 19,705 due on account of interest on the loan, give a total of Rs. 4,52,639. Of this, Rs. 1,17,858 were repaid during the year, reducing the balance due to Government to Rs. 3,34,781 as against Rs. 4,13,344 in the last year. It is satisfactory to notice a net decrease of Rs. 78,563 in the amount due to Government. It may be pointed out here that, of the balance still due to Government, the sum of Rs. 9,559-0-11 has been recommended to be written off the books as irrecoverable for the reasons given in my No. 2746 of 9th June 1887.

* NOTE.—In the previous report the amount was erroneously shown at Rs. 4,13,347 by the Manager.

8. The amount of revenue outstanding against estates in the charge of the Manager at the end of 1885-86 was shown at Rs. 65,019-10-5 in the last year's report; but this sum, as stated in para. 12 of the Manager's report, was subsequently increased to Rs. 68,505-9-8. The greater portion of this was paid, and the balance reduced to Rs. 25,595-0-10 during the year under review. A sum of Rs. 986-9-4 has also been paid off after the close of the year, so the arrears for the previous years now amount to Rs. 24,608-7-6.

9. The revenue demands for the current year due by the estates under management was Rs. 2,12,597-11-6. Of this Rs. 1,95,301-1-6 were paid during the year and Rs. 8,976-7-0 after the close of the year, and the balance now outstanding for 1886-87 amounts to Rs. 8,320-3-0. This sum, plus the old arrears above referred to, makes a total of Rs. 32,928-10-6 as against Rs. 68,505-9-8 at the close of last year. The progress thus made during the year has been generally satisfactory.

10. The expenses for cost of management fell to Rs. 35,683 as compared with Rs. 44,270 during the previous year, owing to reductions in the establishment. Thus the percentage on the receipts was reduced from 8 to 7½ per cent., and it is hoped that this percentage will for the future be still lower.

11. Since the close of the year, the appointment of a separate Manager has been abolished, *i. e.*, from 1st October 1887, and the Collector of each district has been appointed Manager under the Incumbered Estates Act for the estates in his district as noted in the margin. Some special establishment will still be necessary, but it is expected that in no district will the cost of this exceed 4 or 5 per cent. on the receipts.

Karachi ...	...	21 estates.
Hyderabad	...	57 do.
Shikarpur	...	30 do.
Frontier ...	...	7 do.

B.—Estates in the Thar and Parkar District.

12. During the first nine months Mr. J. Pollen, C.S., held charge of the office of Manager for these estates. He was succeeded by Mr. H. E. Watson, the permanent incumbent, who held charge for the remaining period of the year.

13. At the commencement of the year there were only 5 estates under management. Of these, one was cleared of debt and restored to the owner, leaving 4 still under management at the close of the year. The liquidation schemes for all of them had been sanctioned in previous years.

14. The details as to the estates are given in the report and call for no comment. None of them are hopelessly insolvent, and the liabilities of all are in process of liquidation in accordance with the sanctioned schemes.

C.—Estates in the Upper Sind Frontier District.

15. There were 9 estates under management at the close of last year, all of which had been reported on except 2, for which schemes were sanctioned during the year. Two were cleared during the year and the remainder are favorably leased, and their condition call for no comment.

General.

16. The results obtained are creditable to all, and specially to Messrs. Jenkins and Steele, whose work, with a view to reducing the separate office of Manager, Incumbered Estates, has been specially heavy.

17. In conclusion, I would recommend that this report should no longer be submitted, as the Special Manager, Incumbered Estates Department, has ceased to exist. It will probably be considered sufficient for the Collectors to open a sub. para. in para. ~~of~~ 27 of their annual Administration Reports and there give shortly the results of their administration of the estates during the year.

I have the honor to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient Servant,

C. B. PRITCHARD,

Acting Commissioner in Sind.

No. 494 OF 1887.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From

C. E. S. STEELE, ESQUIRE,
Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind.

To

C. B. PRITCHARD, ESQUIRE, C. S., C. S. I.,
Commissioner in Sind.

Sind Incumbered Estates Office,
Karachi, 15th September 1887.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit this the annual report on the working of the Sind Incumbered Estates Act for the year ending the 31st July 1887, together with the usual appendices.

2. I have been in charge of the department since the 11th May last, on which date Mr. Jenkins left to take up his special appointment at Baroda. Mr. Kauramal continued to perform the duties of Assistant Manager for the Hyderabad District until the 4th October, when his services were dispensed with.

3. The period prescribed by the Act for the submission of applications having expired the estates under management were those reported upon at the end of last year. The number of these estates was 143, of these 26 have since been cleared and restored to their owners, and 2 relinquished as hopeless under the provisions of Section 19 of the Act; leaving 115 still under management at the close of the year 1886-87.

These estates are distributed as follows:—

Karachi.....	21
Hyderabad	57
Shikarpur.....	30
Upper Sind Frontier.....	7
Total...	115

4. Of the properties under management at the close of 1885-86, 11 had not as yet been reported upon, of these, liquidation schemes for 6 have since been sanctioned and 2 estates have been relinquished as already mentioned; there thus remained at the end of 1886-87, 3 estates still to be reported on. The liquidation scheme of 1 of these, *viz.*, No. 464, has recently been sanctioned, another estate, *viz.*, No. 177, formed the subject of a special report a few days ago, and in respect of the 3rd negotiations have been undertaken for the sale of a portion of the property, so that practically little remains to be done in the matter. Mention was made in last year's report of the necessity that had arisen for the revision of certain schemes that had unavoidably broken down, during the period covered by the present report 5 revised schemes were prepared and sanctioned leaving 7 still to be disposed of, but in respect of some of these material progress has also been made before the close of the year. This work as previously reported is attended by peculiar difficulties, and these were in no way diminished by the unfavourable circumstances in which the present inundation season set in.

5. The total amount awarded to creditors up to the end of last year was Rs. 21,21,804. Further awards have not been made, so the amount remains the same. No additional claims were made during the year, nor were any appeals presented; all such applications are now time-barred.

6. Of the total amount Rs. 21,21,804 awarded, Rs. 16,74,452 had been satisfied by the end of 1885-86; a further sum of Rs. 66,379 has since been satisfied by an actual payment of Rs. 52,263 only. Of this amount Rs. 19,590 were paid by means of Loans obtained from Government and Rs. 32,673 from the produce of the estates concerned.

7. The total amount still due by the estates to creditors is Rs. 3,80,973, but as this amount includes the debts of estates relinquished under Section 19 aggregating Rs. 1,36,768, as also certain debts covered by leases amounting to Rs. 1,24,796, the actual amount which remains to be paid to creditors by this Department is Rs. 1,19,409 only as against Rs. 1,89,557 at the end of last year.

NOTE.—In the previous report the amount was erroneously shown as being Rs. 1,88,819.

8. At the beginning of the year the amount due on account of Government Loan was Rs. 4,13,344, since then an additional sum of Rs. 19,590 has been taken up; the interest accruing during the year in connection with these advances amounted to Rs. 19,705, Rs. 1,17,858 have been paid towards the reduction of the loans, so that the amount now due on this account is Rs. 3,34,781. There is thus a net decrease of Rs. 78,563 in the amount outstanding as compared with the reduction of Rs. 57,406 effected in the previous year. The sum now outstanding includes Rs. 12,049 on account of advances made to certain estates for the purposes of cultivation for the first season of management; some of these properties were subsequently found to be so hopelessly involved that their relinquishment under Section 19 of the Act became imperatively necessary, and as in some instances the land was thereupon attached by the revenue authorities in satisfaction of the outstanding assessment nothing was left from which the Taccavi advances could be realized; there is consequently no other course left but to treat them as irrecoverable as represented in the correspondence forwarded under my predecessor's Nos. 116, 323 and 212, dated the 12th February, 8th May 1886 and 23rd April 1887 respectively. These items amount to Rs. 9,831, in respect of the balance of Rs. 2,218, arrangements have been made whereby the repayment of the advances by small instalments has been secured.

9. Appendix A shows the repayments which in terms of the liquidation schemes should have been made during the year on account of the loans taken up by various estates but regarding which default was made. The causes of delay in the discharge of these obligations have been given in detail. So it will suffice to mention here that in some cases the funds which should have been assigned to the reduction of loans had to be utilized to meet unforeseen charges in connection with the introduction of survey settlement operations, while in others the indifferent yield of some of the estates arising from the insufficiency of the supply in the Ghar canal contributed to this result.

10. The estates are nearly all leased, the few exceptions are distinguished in Appendix I by the letters L. P. (leased partially), and they consist of estates which are being cultivated by annual tenants pending the completion of the requisite arrangements to admit of the submission of revised schemes for their clearance.

11. Appendix IV shows the civil suits in which the Manager has been a party. The circumstances which are fully stated call for no special remark.

12. Appendix V shows the extent of the outstanding balances of Government assessment. The balance quoted as due at the end of last year was raised from Rs. 65,019-10-5 to Rs. 68,505-9-8 on reports subsequently received from the Mukhtyarkars; this was reduced during the year under review by Rs. 25,613-14-10, consequently the amount at the end of July stood

at Rs. 42,891-10-10, but this has been still further reduced by Rs. 9,963-0-4, so the actual arrears are now only Rs. 32,928-10-6 which shows that satisfactory progress continues to be made in the reduction of liabilities under this head.

13. The revenue demand for the year just expired was Rs. 2,12,597-11-6; of these dues all but Rs. 8,320-3-0 have now been paid; coercive measures for the recovery of the comparatively small balance were not resorted to in consideration of the untoward circumstances in which agricultural operations for the current year were undertaken, and the collection of the amount has been deferred in consequence until the kharif harvest.

14. The gross receipts of the estates, owing chiefly to the decrease in the number under management, have fallen since last year from Rs. 5,86,087 to Rs. 4,95,897; the expenses of management at the same time show a decrease being Rs. 35,683 as compared with Rs. 44,270 during the previous year. This reduction was only attained by lowering the strength of the personal of the Department to the lowest possible limits consistent with the existence of the Department as a separate service; with the abolition of the manager's appointment, however, still greater relief will be afforded to the estates, and as this office will shortly cease to exist the costs to be borne on account of management in future are not likely to be in excess of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the receipts. Steps have in fact already been taken to give effect to the decision arrived at as to the breaking up of the Department, and in conformity with the instructions received on the subject the Frontier estates were handed over to the Deputy Commissioner on the 1st ultimo; the estates of the Shikarpur District were similarly transferred to the Collector on the 1st instant; final orders have as yet to be passed in connection with the Hyderabad and Karachi estates numbering 77 in all, but their transfer is not likely to be delayed beyond the current month.

15. The operations of the year will, I trust, be deemed to have been as satisfactory as the work done by this Department in previous years; briefly the transactions may be summarised as follows :—

Out of 143 estates under management at the commencement of the year 26 have been cleared of debt and handed over to their owners. Revised schemes have been prepared for the liquidation of the liabilities due on 5 estates. Claims of private creditors amounting to Rs. 66,379 have been satisfied. The outstanding arrears of Government assessment were reduced before the 31st July by Rs. 25,614, or up to date by Rs. 35,577. The Government demands for the year amounting to Rs. 2,12,598 have been duly met with the exception of the sum of Rs. 8,320. No less a sum than Rs. 1,17,858 was paid (inclusive of interest) towards the reduction of the Government Loan Account before the end of the year and Rs. 1,366 have since been paid in addition. Costs of management were reduced from 8 to 7·25 per cent. and finally all necessary steps taken for the dismemberment of the office and the transfer of the control of the estates.

16. In now bringing this report to a conclusion I would desire to place on record an expression of the continued good service rendered by Mr. Hasamal the Head Clerk and Accountant, and by Messrs. Validino and Gopaldas the two Head Munshis. The latter has had charge of the Shikarpur work and his services were transferred to that District on the Collector being vested with the control of the estates and I trust that eventually suitable employment will be found for him in the Revenue branch.

The retention of Munshi Validino's services does not in view of the high pay drawn by him enter into my proposals in connection with the staff which will be required for the administration of the remaining estates. He has served some 28 years under Government the last 9 in this Department;

unfortunately he has never passed the Magisterial examination, there are therefore but few appointments to which he could be posted and none of these appear to be vacant, so the only course will be to provide him with a pension under the provisions of Section 104 of the Code.

Mr. Hasamal's efficiency as a clerk and accountant has previously been brought to notice, his services in those capacities will be indispensable for some months to come after which they might be secured with advantage in the Revenue or Account Department. He has passed both the revenue and judicial examinations and after a short experience he would doubtless prove a valuable taluka officer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

C. E. S. STEELE,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, in Sind.

APPENDIX I.

Statement of Estates placed under Management according to the provisions of Act XX of 1881, *distinguishing* 1886-87 *in contrast with*

Number.	Number of Estate.	Name of Estate owner.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of management.	Liabilities.									
					As estimated by the owner under Section 6.	Total of claims registered under Sections 12 and 14.						Total amount admitted by the Manager.		
						Up to the end of 1885-86.		In 1886-87.		Total.		Up to the end of 1885-86.	In 1886-87.	Total.
						Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.			
		KARACHI DISTRICT.												
1	171 172	Ali Buksh } Moledino Shah Jam Shah }	Zamindar.	25th August 1877 ...	28,761	10	31,866	...	...	10	31,866	23,037	...	23,037
2	144	Rahimdad Khan Fatteh Khan.	Jagirdar...	7th Do. do. ...	816	1	170	...	...	1	170	170	...	170
3	57	Ghulam Shah Doulat Khan...	Do. ...	19th May do. ...	1,830	1	31	...	...	1	31	31	...	31
4	58	Khairo Khan Mazhar Khan...	Do. ...	19th Do. do. ...	1,172	1	100	...	...	1	100	100	...	100
5	137 138 139	Ali Mahamad Ali Baksh } Ibrahim Khan Khuda Baksh	Do. ...	3rd August do. ...	1,040	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
6	140	Mallik Murid Haji Khan ...	Do. ..	Do. Do. do. ...	3,210	3	376	...	...	3	376	87	...	87
7	115	Saindino Mahamad Raffi... ...	Zamindar.	13th July do. ...	2,659	8	3,877	...	...	8	3,877	2,535	...	2,535
8	31	Jaffar Khan Daria Khan ...	Jagirdar...	6th April do. ...	16,833	18	18,989	...	...	18	18,989	12,071	...	12,071
9	51	Abdul Rahman Jihan	Zamindar.	8th May do. ..	2,290	6	1,864	...	...	6	1,864	1,237	...	1,237
10	103	Gando Din Mahamad	Do. ...	4th July do. ...	777	2	641	...	...	2	641	345	...	345
11	218	Imam Baksh Shahbudin ...	Do. ...	3rd Octobur do. ...	2,327	4	2,115	...	...	4	2,115	764	...	764
12	39	Bachal Khan Mir Mahamad Khan.	Jagirdar..	24th April do. ...	5,365	5	5,796	...	...	5	5,796	2,121	...	†2,121
13	42	Bahadurali Saidali Shah ..	Zamindar.	27th Do. do. ...	5,389	12	4,931	...	...	12	4,931	2,839	...	2,839
14	61	Ali Mir Abdul Karim Shah ...	Do. ...	25th May do. ...	1,540	1	1,435	...	...	1	1,435	373	...	373
15	37	Kanbarali Hashim Shah ...	Do. ...	21st April do. ...	1,785	3	934	...	...	3	934	722	...	722
16	250	Utumal Mulomal...	Do. ...	1st November do. ...	2,034	1	2,729	...	...	1	2,729	335	...	335
17	38	Misri Khan Tahir Khan... ...	Do. ...	21st April do. ...	2,708	7	7,057	...	...	7	7,057	3,252	...	3,252
18	28	Khan Mahamad Mithu... ...	Do. ...	5th Do. do. ...	2,285	6	2,641	...	...	6	2,641	1,868	...	1,868
19	108	Sumar Mor	Do. ...	7th July do. ..	3,642	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
20	63	Chutto Khan Farid Khan ...	Do. ...	25th May do. ...	20,490	12	17,468	...	...	12	17,468	11,998	..	11,998
21	206	Pir Baksh Ghulam Rasul Shah.	Jagirdar ...	29th September do. ..	2,347	17	3,374	...	...	17	3,374	1,547	...	1,547
22	154	Abdul Husen } Dhani Niaz Husen } Baksh Khuda Baksh } Shah...	Zamindar.	9th August do. ...	1,957	16	2,671	..	...	16	2,671	1,772	...	1,772
23	155	Jaffarali Shah Kadir Baksh Shah.	Jagirdar...	11th August do. ...	2,566	4	2,573	...	...	4	2,573	1,522	...	1,522
24	A66	Ghulam Rassul Shah Kaim Shah.	Do. ...	26th May do. ...	24,342	35	26,868	...	...	35	26,868	18,292	...	18,292

23,087	16,424	.....	.....	23,087	16,424	.....	.....	4th Jan. 1879..... *17th Nov. 1886.....	5,000	*L.* *Revised Scheme.
170	170	.....	.....	170	170	.....	.....	29th April 1879	361	Management terminated under Section 26 from 1st July 1879.
31	31	.....	.....	31	31	.....	.....	29th „ „	536	Do. Do. 31st July 1879.
100	100	.....	.....	100	100	.....	.....	29th „ „	627	Do. Do. 1st July 1879.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8th May 1879	248	Do. Do. 1st July 1879.
37	37	.....	.....	37	37	.....	.....	10th „ „	401	Do. Do. 30th April 1880.
2,535	1,521	.....	.....	2,535	1,521	.....	.....	19th „ „	375	Do. Do. 10th March 1886.
12,071	6,607	.....	.....	12,071	6,607	.....	.....	6th June 1879	1,703	*L.*
1,237	*1,285	.....	.....	1,237	1,285	.....	.....	11th Oct. 1879	350	* Rs. 48 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1884.
345	345	.....	.....	345	345	.....	.....	22nd „ „	100	Do. Do. 15th July 1885.
764	764	.....	.....	764	764	.....	.....	22nd „ „	598	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
985	985	.....	.....	985	985	.....	.....	27th „ „	535	† Rs. 1,136 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th February 1884.
2,839	2,182	.....	.....	2,839	2,182	.....	.....	5th Nov. 1879	400	*L.*
373	373	.....	.....	373	373	.....	.....	15th Dec. 1879	362	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th January 1884.
722	578	.....	.....	722	578	.....	.....	5th Jany. 1880.....	325	Do. Do. 31st July 1886.
335	335	.....	.....	335	335	.....	.....	27th „ „	290	Do. Do. 31st July 1882.
3,252	2,791	.....	.....	3,252	2,791	.....	.....	16th Feb. 1880	850	Do. Do. 30th November 1884.
1,868	‡1,881	.....	.....	1,868	1,881	.....	.....	25th „ „	800	‡ Rs. 13 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st October 1883.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	18th Mar. 1880	250	Do. Do. 31st July 1883.
11,803	7,742	.....	.....	11,803	7,742	195	195	6th May 1880	3,500	*L.*
1,547	1,547	.....	.....	1,547	1,547	.....	.....	11th „ „	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th June 1881.
1,772	709	.....	.....	1,772	709	.....	.....	17th „ „ ..... ¶11th Dec. 1883.....	250	¶ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th October 1885.
1,522	1,522	.....	.....	1,522	1,522	.....	.....	17th May 1883	170	Do. Do. 10th September 1885.
18,292	14,281	.....	.....	18,292	14,281	.....	.....	20th May 1880	2,000	Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th November 1884.

25	73	Darianomal Janjimal ...	Zamindar...	1st June 1877	6,770	12	6,859	...	...	12	6,859	5,556	...	5,556
26	21	Ganban Shah Habib Shah ...	Do. ...	21st March „	25,752	39	26,461	...	...	39	26,461	20,108	...	20,108
27	74	Mahamad Hassan Umedali Sanjar Ghulam Hussen ...	Do. ...	1st June „	704	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
28	268	Misri Khan Ghulam Murtaza	Jagirdar ...	24th Nov. „	2,495	1	2,495	...	...	1	2,495	1,248	...	† 1,248
29	241	Wali Mahamad Muhbat Khan.	Do. ...	29th Oct. „	171	1	125	...	...	1	125	50	...	50
30	259	Sachedino Abdullah ...	Zamindar...	10th Nov. „	2,780	3	766	...	...	3	766	435	...	435
31	188	Imam Baksh Ali Baksh	Do. ...	7th Sept. „	3,901	6	1,716	...	...	6	1,716	1,238	...	1,238
32	83	Ghulam Mahamad Daud ..	Do. ...	12th June „	3,944	10	3,778	...	...	10	3,778	2,956	...	2,956
33	134	Salah Mahamad Sajan Shah..	Do. ...	1st Aug. „	3,907	3	5,356	...	...	3	5,356	2,499	...	2,499
34	65	Bachal Khan Lashkar Khan...	Jagirdar ...	26th May „	804	...	.....	...	...	...	... ..	.....	...	.....
35	165	Khair Mahamad Baghali ...	Zamindar...	16th Aug. „	2,110	3	255	...	...	3	255	255	...	255
36	213	Allahwarrayo Laikdino ...	Do. ...	2nd Oct. „	2,845	6	1,258	...	...	6	1,258	500	...	500
37	243	Allah Bando Jamal Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	30th „ „	1,248	1	48	...	...	1	48	48	...	48
38	50	Kadu Bachal ...	Zamindar...	8th May „	4,109	5	4,382	...	...	5	4,382	1,931	...	1,931
39	27	Kasim Saban ... Gul Mahamad Yakub ...	Do. ..	5th April „	8,398	24	5,769	...	...	24	5,769	3,465	...	3,465
40	66	Sardar Shah Kaim Shah ...	Jagirdar .	26th May „	5,028	17	5,353	...	...	17	5,353	3,613	...	3,613
41	16	Abdullah Shah Izatali Shah...	Zamindar...	27th Feb. „	8,449	13	8,228	...	...	13	8,228	6,464	...	6,464
42	24 349	Hussenali Shah, Ghulamali Shah, Saburali Shah.	Do. ..	27th Mar. 1877 11th Oct. 1878	12,038	22	10,827	...	...	22	10,827	7,122	...	7,122
43	106	Hotumal Ailmal ...	Do. ...	5th July 1877	3,447	8	3,326	...	...	8	3,326	2,654	...	2,654
44	105	Ghazi Khan Bahru Khan ...	Jagirdar ..	5th „ „	208	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	..	.....
45	131	Jan Mahamad Shah Ghullam Mahamad Shah.	Zamindar...	1st Aug. „	6,129	8	5,728	...	...	8	5,728	5,393	...	5,393
46	62	Muhabali Mirza Bakar ...	Do. ...	25th May „	3,361	6	3,481	...	...	6	3,481	2,686	...	2,686
47	209	Hussen Mahamad Shah Sajan Shah.	Do. ...	29th Sept. „	825	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
48	114	Ibrahim Abdul Karim ...	Do. ...	13th July „	2,081	3	2,065	...	...	3	2,065	2,065	...	2,065

5,556	2,227	.....	.....	5,556	2,227	.....	.....	20th May 1880	450	*L.*
20,108	12,204	.....	.....	20,108	12,204	.....	.....	31st „ „	1,650	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1887.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	31st „ „	430	Do. Do. 15th April 1887.
								*24th Mar. 1887.....		* Revised Scheme.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7th July 1880	540	† Rs. 1,248 covered by a lease expired on 31st July 1880. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.
50	50	.....	.....	50	50	.....	.....	18th Aug. „	25	Do. Do. 28th February 1887.
435	290	.....	.....	435	290	.....	.....	22nd Jan. 1881	140	* Revised Scheme.
								*23rd July 1885 ...		Management terminated under Section 26 from 5th November 1885.
1,238	1,228	.....	.....	1,238	1,228	.....	.....	15th Feb. 1881	171	† Revised Scheme.
								†29th Aug. 1885 ..		Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st March 1886.
2,956	1,108	.....	.....	2,956	1,108	.....	.....	4th April 1881.....	450	Do. Do. 15th February 1886.
2,499	2,499	.....	.....	2,499	2,499	.....	.....	25th May 1881	667	Do. Do. 15th February 1886.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3rd June 1881	260	*L.*
255	255	.....	.....	255	255	.....	.....	23th „ „	406	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1881.
500	* 533	.....	.....	500	533	.....	.....	29th „ „	325	* Rs. 33 in excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.
48	48	.....	.....	48	48	.....	.....	9th July 1881	288	Do. Do. 31st July 1881.
402	402	300	300	702	702	1,529	1,229	27th Aug. 1881	375	*L.*
3,465	1,484	.....	.....	3,465	1,484	.....	.....	20th Sept. 1881.....	400	‡ Revised Scheme.
								‡24th Mar. 1886.....		Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1886.
3,393	2,019	.....	.....	3,393	2,019	220	220	10th Nov. 1881	660	*L.*
6,464	3,878	.....	.....	6,464	3,878	.....	.....	27th „ „	840	*L.*
7,122	3,816	.....	.....	7,122	3,816	.....	.....	29th „ „	1,630	*L. P.*
								§23rd Dec. 1885.....		§ Revised Scheme.
2,654	1,327	.....	.....	2,654	1,327	.....	.....	22nd Mar. 1882	205	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th April 1886.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	27th „ „	20	Do. Do. 31st July 1883.
5,393	2,696	.....	.....	5,393	2,696	.....	.....	4th April 1882.....	375	*L.*
2,686	1,608	.....	.....	2,686	1,608	.....	.....	6th „ „	285	*L.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	18th „ „	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1883.
2,065	826	.....	.....	2,065	826	.....	.....	3rd May 1882	195	* Revised Scheme.
								*27th Dec. 1884.....		Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th June 1885.

49	335	Pir Mahamad Mahamad Taib	Zamindar...	23rd Mar. 1878	6,735	11	5,183	...	...	11	5,183	2,517	...	2,517
50	72	Silleman Allu ...	Do. ...	28th May 1877	1,725	2	613	...	...	2	613	309	...	309
51	86	Ghulam Nabi Shah Silleman Shah.	Do. ...	16th June „	940	1	276	...	...	1	276	157	...	157
52	143	Partabrai Lekhraj ...	Do. ...	4th Aug. „	2,813	5	4,002	...	...	5	4,002	3,532	...	3,532
53	153	Allah Baksh Fazulali ...	Jagirdar ..	9th „ „	250	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
54	136	Nur Mahamad Jiandal ...	Zamindar...	3rd „ „	3,280	8	2,921	...	...	8	2,921	2,365	...	2,365
55	230	Hamir Tajan ...	Do. ...	17th Oct. „	3,055	9	3,513	...	...	9	3,513	2,137	...	2,137
56	426	Mahamad Zaman Shah Kadirdino Shah.	Do. ...	25th April 1882 ...	4,640	2	4,866	...	...	2	4,866	3,239	...	3,239
57	461	Yar Mahamad Chutto Khan...	Jagirdar ...	22nd May „	3,131	6	3,178	...	...	6	3,178	2,570	...	†2,570
58	490	Mahamad Murad Shah Gul Mahamad Shah.	Zamindar...	13th Dec. „	4,604	10	8,377	...	...	10	8,377	.....	...	.....
59	405	Mahamad Rahim Shah Mahamad Shah.	Do. ...	5th April „	5,700	5	4,522	...	..	5	4,522	3,524	...	3,524
60	425	Allahdino Shah Hasanali Shah.	Do. ...	25th „ „	2,198	3	1,982	...	...	3	1,982	1,297	...	1,297
61	459	Mirzo Khan Umedali Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	22nd May „	3,698	10	2,218	...	...	10	2,218	1,823	...	‡1,823
62	419	Dhani Baksh Shah Allahdad Shah.	Zamindar...	19th April „	5,729	15	7,058	...	...	15	7,058	5,763	...	5,763
63	458	Mahamad Murad Shah Jaman Shah.	Do. ...	22nd May „	2,563	7	2,701	...	...	7	2,701	2,595	...	2,595
64	430	Ismail Shah Saleh Mahamad Shah.	Do. ...	1st „ „	2,150	4	1,077	...	...	4	1,077	910	...	910
65	26	Wadhuram Gianchand ...	Do. ...	5th April 1877 ...	10,100	12	16,642	...	...	12	16,642	9,686	...	9,686
66	475	Ghulam Hussen Gul Mahamad Khan Khan	Do. ...	11th Aug. 1882 ...	3,200	1	6,053	...	...	1	6,053	5,433	...	5,433
67	392	Hayat Khan Baksho Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	24th Mar. „	11,160	12	12,321	...	...	12	12,321	10,349	...	10,349
68	423	Khan Mahamad Chuhar ...	Do. ...	22nd April „	483	3	330	...	...	3	330	243	...	243
69	489	Mondar Khan Karamali Khan	Zamindar...	6th Dec. „	3,988	5	2,746	...	...	5	2,746	1,708	...	1,708
70	473	Bakadar Shekh Usman ...	Do. ...	19th July „	4,932	3	5,762	...	...	3	5,762	3,430	...	3,430
71	129	Nur Mahamad Yakub ...	Do. ...	28th July 1877	3,631	11	4,264	...	...	11	4,264	2,572	...	2,572
72	398	Ahmad Chato ...	Do. ...	31st Mar. 1882	13,136	7	5,012	...	...	7	5,012	3,238	...	3,238

2,322	2,322	195	195	2,517	2,517	195	.....	25th May 1882 ... * 20th Aug. 1885...	800	* Revised scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th Dec. 1886.	
309	124	.....	.....	309	124	.....	.....	8th June 1882	85	Do.	Do. 10th July 1887.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	157	157	11th July 1882..... † 16th May 1883....	200	L. † Revised scheme.	
3,532	1,766	.....	.....	3,532	1,766	.....	.....	21st Aug. 1882.....	690	L.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20th Sept. 1882.....	50	L.	
2,365	2,365	.....	.....	2,365	2,365	.....	.....	20th Oct. 1882	285	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th March 1886.	
2,072	1,037	.....	.....	2,072	1,037	65	65	8th Nov. 1882	300	L.	
3,239	3,239	.....	.....	3,239	3,239	.....	.....	9th Aug. 1883.....	200	Management terminated under Section 26 from 4th July 1884.	
952	952	1,128	1,128	2,080	2,080	1,128	.....	14th Dec. 1883	805	† Rs. 490 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1887.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	22nd Jan. 1884	320	Do.	Do. 15th February 1884.
3,524	3,524	.....	.....	3,524	3,524	.....	.....	20th Mar. 1884	5,280	Do.	Do. 15th May 1885.
1,297	869	.....	.....	1,297	869	.....	.....	22nd April 1884.....	210	L.	
1,623	1,436	.....	.....	1,623	1,436	.....	.....	25th Do.	200	L. ‡ Rs. 200 covered by a lease.	
5,763	5,763	.....	.....	5,763	5,763	.....	.....	10th July 1884	115	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1884.	
1,268	951	1,327	1,327	2,595	2,278	1,327	.....	20th Aug. 1884	1,200	Do.	Do. 1st May 1887.
910	638	.....	.....	910	638	.....	.....	19th Sept. 1884	.....	Do.	Do. 31st March 1885.
9,686	6,396	.....	.....	9,686	6,396	.....	.....	24th Nov. 1884	1,100	Do.	Do. 10th September 1885.
5,433	5,433	.....	.....	5,433	5,433	.....	.....	17th Dec. 1884	.....	Do.	Do. 31st July 1885.
10,349	10,349	.....	.....	10,349	10,349	.....	.....	19th Feb. 1885	1,440	Do.	Do. 20th June 1885.
243	243	.....	.....	243	243	.....	.....	27th Do.	100	Do.	Do. 10th September 1885.
1,708	1,708	.....	.....	1,708	1,708	.....	.....	2nd April 1885	386	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th September 1885.	
3,430	2,550	.....	.....	3,430	2,550	.....	.....	25th Do.	800	L.	
2,572	1,324	.....	.....	2,572	1,324	.....	.....	10th Aug. 1885	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th July 1886.	
986	986	701	701	1,687	1,687	2,252	1,551	10th Do.	900	L.	

73	441	Udernomal Rewachand	Zamindar...	8th May 1882	...	14,153	12	14,793	...	...	12	14,793	7,684	...	7,684
74	109	Kadir Baksh Shah Ali Mahamad Shah.	Do. ...	7th July 1877	...	2,945	10	3,547	...	...	10	3,547	1,953	...	1,953
75	435	Khuda Baksh, Punu Khan Mahamad Khan, Sukhio Khan.	Do. ...	3rd May 1882	...	8,902	13	5,582	...	...	13	5,582	3,521	...	3,521
76	411	Abul Shah Sher Mahamad ...	Do. ...	12th April 1882	...	1,751	1	1,809	...	...	1	1,809	902	...	902
						3,81,260	552	3,68,130	...	...	552	3,68,130	2,40,761	...	2,40,761
77	29	*Roshan Ali Shah Zulfikar Ali Shah.*	Zamindar...	5th April 1877	...	2,955	13	2,614	...	...	13	2,614	2,190	...	2,190
78	41	*Ali Mahamad Wali Mahamad*	Do.	27th Do.	...	1,734	1	775	...	...	1	775	484	...	484
79	75	*Menghraj Thawardas*	Do.	1st June 1877	...	2,438	5	1,779	...	...	5	1,779	1,214	...	1,214
80	80	*Ali Asgar Shah Ghulam Mahamad Shah.*	Do.	7th Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
81	84	*Khair Mahamad Malluk*	Do.	12th Do.	...	2,367	2	1,501	...	...	2	1,501	1,417	...	1,417
82	87	*Ahsan Ali Bachal Khan*	Jagirdar ...	16th Do.	...	765	2	843	...	...	2	843	496	...	496
83	89	*Allahdad Khan Adam Khan...*	Zamindar...	16th Do.	...	2,834	3	1,521	...	...	3	1,521	1,032	...	1,032
84	104	*Bachal Allah Baksh*	Do.	4th July 1877	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
85	132	*Ukirmal Dewraj*	Do.	1st Aug. 1877	...	2,415	2	1,426	...	...	2	1,426	1,161	...	1,161
86	133	*Ali Mahamad Ghulam Nabi Shah.*	Do.	1st Do.	...	900	6	18,435	...	...	6	18,435	10,827	...	10,827
87	135	*Hemraj Jetho*	Do.	2nd Aug. 1877	...	3,776	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
88	151	*Gawar Khan Bijar Khan* ...	Jagirdar ...	9th Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
89	152	*Gopaldas Hardasmal*	Zamindar...	9th Do.	...	1,827	1	141	...	...	1	141	105	...	105
90	158	*Yaru Khan Hayat Khan* ...	Jagirdar ...	11th Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
91	162	*Dato Khan Tahir Khan*	Zamindar...	13th Do.	...	1,121	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
92	204	*Sabu Ghulam*	Do.	28th Sept. 1877	...	1,130	4	566	...	...	4	566	348	...	348
93	205	*Ishak Chutto*	Do.	28th Do.	...	1,020	4	566	...	...	4	566	348	...	348
94	217	*Lal Baksh Ghulam Muhamad*	Do.	2nd Oct. 1877	...	3,583	10	2,580	...	...	10	2,580	1,474	...	1,474
95	242	*Sakina, widow of Ahmad*	Do.	29th Do.	...	1,510	1	1,080	...	..	1	1,080	1,323	...	1,323
96	283	*Paldumal Tikiomal*	Do.	13th Dec. 1877	...	1,280	2	1,406	...	...	2	1,406	225	...	225
97	311	*Murijsing Harchandmal*	Do.	5th Feb. 1878	...	3,862	5	3,970	...	...	5	3,970	3,769	...	3,769
98	322	*Gopalsing Ghurbamal*	Do.	18th Do.	...	2,804	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
99	339	*Kiratrai Diwanising*	Do.	6th April 1878	...	2,981	9	3,323	...	...	9	3,323	2,603	...	2,603

7,684	7,571	.....	.....	7,684	7,571	.....	.....	14th Sept. 1885	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th December 1885.		
1,953	978	.....	.....	1,953	978	.....	.....	13th Feb. 1886	500	Do.	Do.	31st July 1887.
2,092	1,411	1,429	1,429	3,521	2,340	1,429	.....	12th Mar. 1886	1,000	Do.	Do.	15th December 1886.
902	902	.....	.....	902	902	.. ...	.....	1st May 1886.....	135	Do.	Do.	31st July 1886.
2,29,190	1,65,595	5,080	5,080	2,34,270	1,70,675	8,497	3,417	...	.....	3,074.		
....	.....	.....	—...	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19th from 1st August 1882.*		
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*31st July 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st March 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*2nd July 1877.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*31st August 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	... ..	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st February 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*20th April 1880.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st September 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*20th April 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*31st July 1881.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st May 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	... .	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*25th April 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*31st July 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*15th July 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st May 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*15th October 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*15th October 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.. ...	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st October 1883.*
.....	... ..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Da.*	*Do.*	*31st March 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*26th May 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*16th May 1887.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*16th May 1887.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.*	*1st June 1882.*

100	*387*	*Musa Khan Sahib Khan* ...	*Zamindar.*	*20th March 1882* ...	*2,419*	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
101	*389*	*Jetho Desar* ...	*Do.* ...	*20th „ „*	*645*	*1*	*250*	...	...	*1*	*250*	*122*	...	*122*
102	395	Karimdad Miran Khan and others	Do. ...	25th „ „	17,231	3	16,378	...	...	3	16,378	7,862	...	7,862
103	*397*	*Kadu Chato* ...	*Do.* ...	*31st „ „*	*9,636*	*21*	*22,596*	...	...	*21*	*22,596*	*13,871*	...	*13,871*
104	*429*	*Anwarali Mahamad Raffi* ...	*Do.* ...	*1st May 1882* ...	*637*	*2*	*365*	...	...	*2*	*365*	*365*	...	*365*
105	*463*	*Nuh Adam* ...	*Do.* ...	*3rd June „*	*1,955*	*2*	*1,514*	...	...	*2*	*1,514*	*214*	...	*214*
106	*483*	*Mahamad Arab* ...	*Do.* ...	*26th Sept. „*	*11,690*	*3*	*11,960*	...	...	*3*	*11,960*	*10,476*	...	*10,476*
					85,515	102	95,589	...	...	102	95,589	61,926	...	61,926
		HYDERABAD DISTRICT.												
1	5	Dostali Khair Mahamad Khan	Jagirdar ...	20th Feb. 1877	20,106	13	22,842	...	...	13	22,842	13,292	...	13,292
2	5A	Hasanali Dostali Khan ...	Do. ...	20th „ „	6,107	11	5,364	...	...	11	5,364	4,129	...	*4,129
3	32	Mahamad Khan Jam Khan Jam Khan Mahamad Khan	Do. ...	6th April 1877	1,19,227	59	1,61,635	...	...	59	1,61,635	1,09,583	...	†1,09,583
4	47	Ghulam Husen Bijar Khan ...	Do. ...	1st May „	1,85,685	32	2,25,675	...	...	32	2,25,675	1,38,057	...	1,38,057
5	4	Mahamad Khan Wali Mahamad Khan	Zamindar...	20th Feb. „	10,177	6	7,664	...	..	6	7,664	4,478	...	4,478
6	2	Ghulam Husen Ali Mahamad Khan	Jagirdar ...	20th „ „	4,218	4	4,124	...	...	4	4,124	1,081	...	1,081
7	1	Wali Mahamad Ghulam Mahamad Khan	Do. ..	20th „ „	78,909	13	73,787	...	...	13	73,787	29,712	...	29,712
8	33	Mubarak Ghulam Shah ...	Do. ...	11th April 1877	25,258	22	24,357	...	...	22	24,357	17,471	...	‡17,471
9	3	Ali Murad Ghulam Allah Ahmad Khan.	Do. ...	20th Feb. „	51,503	54	65,680	...	...	54	65,680	50,420	...	¶50,420
10	10	Miran Mahamad Shah Mahamad Shah	Zamindar...	20th „ „	24,209	12	24,684	...	...	12	24,684	19,178	...	19,178
11	6	Nazarali Hamzo Khan ...	Jagirdar ..	20th „ „	3,660	9	5,031	...	...	9	5,031	1,944	...	1,944
12	78	Allah Baksh Assudallah Shah	Zamindar...	6th June „	24,474	8	51,388	...	...	8	51,388	17,745	...	17,745
13	12	Kadir Baksh Ghulam Hyder...	Jagirdar ...	21st Feb. „	774	2	923	...	...	2	923	229	...	229
14	7	Budho Mahamadali Khan ...	Do. ...	20th „ „	1,56,081	77	1,76,633	...	...	77	1,76,633	1,13,886	...	*1,13,886
15	18	Ghulam Mustapha Ghulam Hyder,	Zamindar...	16th March „	11,071	22	11,961	...	..	22	11,961	7,851	..	7,851
16	128	Allu Radho ...	Do. ...	27th July „	1,374	1	558	...	...	1	558	.....	...	.....
17	179	Ali Khan Ibrahim Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	30th Aug. „	960	1	1,719	...	...	1	1,719	775	...	775
18	52	Fatteh Khan Fazal Mahamad	Do. ...	9th May „	3,233	3	3,387	...	...	3	3,387	2,814	...	2,814

.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.. ...	.....	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 1st January 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	. ....	....	.....	*Do. Do. 12th July 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*L.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 16th July 1886.*
.. ...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 1st January 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 30th November 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 31st March 1885.*
.....	... ..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....		.....	
13,292	11,110	.....	.....	13,292	11,110	.....	.....	1st Feb. 1878	3,643	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1883.
2,208	1,707	.....	.....	2,208	1,707	.....	.....	1st „ „	750	* Rs. 1,921 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.
1,07,583	79,572	.....	.....	1,07,583	79,572	.....	.....	17th June 1878	16,010	† Rs. 2,000 covered by a lease.
1,38,057	1,06,119	... ..	.....	1,38,057	1,06,119	.....	.....	17th „ „	22,859	*L.*
4,478	2,799	.....	.....	4,478	2,799	.....	.....	29th Oct. 1878	824	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1883.
1,081	1,081	.....	.....	1,081	1,081	.....	.....	29th „ „	1,148	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
29,712	25,111	.....	.....	29,712	25,111	.....	.....	29th „ „	7,514	*L.*
11,143	8,063	.....	.....	11,143	8,063	.....	.....	4th Nov. 1878	3,493	*L* ‡ Rs. 6,328 covered by leases.
39,120	29,120	.....	.....	39,120	29,120	.....	.....	12th Dec. 1878	8,129	¶ Rs. 11,300 covered by leases. Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th September 1886.
11,352	9,107	7,826	6,652	19,178	15,759	7,826	.....	4th Jan. 1879.....	1,691	*L.*
								§2nd Jan. 1879.....		§ Revised Scheme.
1,944	1,944	.....	.....	1,944	1,944	.....	.....	14th Jan. 1879.....	1,364	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1880.
17,745	14,981	.....	.....	17,745	14,981	.....	.....	13th Feb. 1879	4,479	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
229	155	.....	.....	229	155	.....	.....	27th „ „	160	Do. Do. 1st June 1879.
56,070	33,397	.....	.....	56,070	33,397	.....	.....	20th Mar. 1879	7,690	*L.* ‖ Rs. 57,816 covered by leases.
								†24th Jan. „		* Revised Scheme.
7,851	6,531	.....	.....	7,851	6,531	.....	.....	26th Mar. 1879	630	*L.*
... ..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12th May 1879	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1879.
775	775	.....	.....	775	775	.....	.....	23rd „ „	503	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
2,814	2,392	.....	.....	2,814	2,392	.....	.....	26th „ „	890	Do. Do. 31st July 1882.

19	15	Dilshad Khan Ghulam Mahamad Khan	Jagirdar ...	22nd Feb. 1877	6,681	6	4,648	...	...	6	4,648	3,805	...	*3,805
20	297	Jan Mahamad Ali Mahamad...	Do. ...	7th Jany. 1878.....	52,248	29	53,444	...	...	29	53,444	44,452	...	†44,452
21	25	Khan Mahamad Ahmad Khan	Zamindar...	28th Mar. 1877	1,262	1	790	...	...	1	790	280	...	280
22	22	Imam Baksh Jaffar Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	21st Mar. 1877	2,109	3	1,956	...	...	3	1,956	1,292	...	‡1,292
23	53	Jam Nindo Khudabaksh ...	Do. ...	9th May 1877	28,098	14	28,574	...	...	14	28,574	18,574	...	18,574
24	36	Chutto Rasalo and 5 others .	Zamindar...	17th April 1877	10,043	3	11,974	...	...	3	11,974	8,786	...	8,786
25	82	Mahamad Hassan Baloch Khan	Jagirdar ...	8th June 1877	400	1	300	...	...	1	300	.....	...	.....
26	9	Wali Mahamad Ghulamali Khan	Zamindar...	20th Feb. 1877	2,125	4	2,244	...	...	4	2,244	1,371	...	1,371
27	8	Bakadar Shah Ali Akbar Shah	Do. ...	20th „ „	19,264	12	24,620	...	...	12	24,620	14,868	...	14,868
28	34	Ghulam Mahamad Mahamad Arif. Khudabaksh Ghulam Mahamad,	Jagirdar ...	11th April 1877 ...	37,079	17	49,595	...	...	17	49,595	22,516	...	22,516
29	30	Ali Baksh Sher Mahamad ...	Do. ...	6th „ „	2,151	7	6,599	...	..	7	6,599	5,330	...	5,330
30	69	Umedali Karam Khan ...	Do. ...	28th May „	1,205	4	1,248	...	...	4	1,248	775	...	†775
31	60	Gul Mahamad Khan Haihat Khan	Do. ...	25th „ „	725	1	613	...	...	1	613	.....	...	.....
32	70	Mahamad Khan Bakshali Khan	Do. ...	28th „ „	618	1	1,518	...	...	1	1,518	.....	...	.....
33	67	Ghulam Shah Daria Khan ...	Do. ...	28th „ „	636	2	710	...	...	2	710	360	...	‡360
34	169	Kamal Khan Sabzal Khan ...	Do. ...	17th Aug. 1877 ...	332	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
35	63	Allah Baksh Nur Mahamad...	Zamindar...	28th May 1877	2,041	11	2,156	...	...	11	2,156	1,549	...	1,549
36	130	Ghulam Hussen Fattehali Khan	Jagirdar ...	30th July „	290	1	113	...	...	1	113	55	...	55
37	175	Husen Shah Bachal Shah ...	Zamindar...	28th Aug. „	2,941	8	3,215	...	...	8	3,215	2,293	...	2,293
38	173	Bulchand Udhandass ...	Do. ...	28th „ „	2,955	7	3,451	...	...	7	3,451	812	...	812
39	45	Nindo Fazulali ...	Jagirdar ...	30th April 1877 ...	500	1	496	...	...	1	496	82	...	82
40	46	Mahro Khan Fatal Khan Suhrab Khan Dato Khan	Do. ...	1st May „	1,969	1	1,022	...	...	1	1,022	.....	...	.....
41	276	Nasir Khan Mahamad Khan...	Do. ...	6th Dec. „	990	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
42	190	Amin Mahamad Mahamad Zaman.	Zamindar...	12th Sept. „	54,922	34	93,410	...	...	34	93,410	43,661	...	43,661

3,055	2,005	.....	.....	3,055	2,005	.....	.....	26th May 1879	550	*L.* * Rs. 750 covered by a lease.
29,255	20,644	.....	.....	29,255	20,644	7,537	7,537	29th „ „	11,956	*L.* † Rs. 7,660 covered by leases.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	280	280	30th June „ ..... †4th Feb. 1886	288	*L.* † Revised Scheme.
227	227	.....	.....	227	227	.....	.....	4th June 1879	337	‡ Rs. 1,065 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st October 1883.
18,574	17,052	.....	.....	18,574	17,052	.....	.....	26th „ „	2,941	Do. Do. 20th October 1884.
8,786	8,404	.....	.....	8,786	8,404	.....	.....	11th July „	660	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10th „ „	150	Do. Do. 31st July 1879.
1,371	1,132	.....	.....	1,371	1,132	.....	.....	22nd „ „	484	*L.*
14,868	13,583	.....	.....	14,868	13,583	.....	.....	25th Sept. „	3,932	*L.*
22,516	13,424	.....	.....	22,516	13,424	.....	.....	22nd Oct. „	2,295	*L.*
5,330	3,702	.....	.....	5,330	3,702	.....	.....	23rd „ „	1,040	*L.*
475	475	.....	.....	475	475	.....	.....	27th „ „	200	† Rs. 300 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th September 1884.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6th Nov. „	75	Do. Do. 31st July 1882.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8th „ „	325	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	18th „ „	33	‡ Rs. 360 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1880.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6th Dec. „	13	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
657	657	.....	.....	657	657	892	892	15th „ „	500	*L.*
55	55	.....	.....	55	55	.....	.....	15th „ „	18	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1883.
2,293	2,293	.....	.....	2,293	2,293	.....	.....	15th „ „ ..... *30th Nov. 1885 ...	250	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1886.
812	†845	.....	.....	812	845	.....	.....	16th Dec. 1879	750	† Rs. 33 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.
82	82	.....	.....	82	82	.....	.....	4th Jan. 1880	175	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5th „ „	70	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	16th „ „	400	Do. Do. 31st July 1880.
43,661	26,815	.....	.....	43,661	26,815	.....	.....	4th Mar. 1880	5,300	*L.*

43	174	Lal Baksh Paru ...	Zamindar.	28th August 1877 ...	5,504	11	5,951	...	...	11	5,951	4,262	...	4,262
44	161	Khair Mahamad Khamiso ...	Do. ...	11th Do. do. ...	1,600	2	1,679	...	...	2	1,679	1,350	...	1,350
45	210	Sahib Khan Pario ...	Do. ...	1st October do. ...	2,852	1	1,980	...	...	1	1,980	1,123	...	1,123
46	270	Mahamad Akil	Do. ...	26th November do...	833	1	200	...	...	1	200	146	...	146
47	93	Vidhal Shah Umed Ali Shah..	Do. ...	23rd June do....	1,107	2	1,470	...	...	2	1,470	708	...	708
48	326	Hamzo Khan Alimurad Khan	Jagirdar...	26th Feb. 1878 ...	1,888	2	868	...	...	2	868	66	...	66
49	145	Shah Mahamad Ali Mahamad Shah.	Zamindar.	7th August 1877 ...	2,780	...	...	....	...	...	...	....	...	...
50	77	Rabadino Radho ...	Do. ...	1st June do. ...	3,225	8	3,641	...	...	8	3,641	2,842	...	2,842
51	336	Umed Ali Nabi Baksh ...	Jagirdar...	23rd March 1878 ...	400	2	484	...	...	2	484	348	...	348
52	59	Jan Mahamad Chuhar ...	Do. ...	25th May 1877 ...	691	1	600	...	...	1	600	600	...	600
53	290	Ghulam Husen Sher Khan ...	Zamindar.	21st Dec. do. ...	2,190	1	198	...	...	1	198	170	...	170
54	214	Ghulam Husen Patio Khan...	Jagirdar..	2nd Oct. do. ...	787	2	787	...	...	2	787	637	...	637
55	329	Fatteh Khan Sahib Khan ...	Zamindar.	5th March 1878 ...	2,168	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
56	91	Fatteh Khan Kamal Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	22nd June 1877 ...	152	1	400	...	...	1	400	400	...	400
57	167	Wali Mahamad Muso Khan...	Zamindar.	17th August do. ...	750	1	784	...	...	1	784	444	...	444
58	248	Saindad Rahimdad ...	Do. ...	31st Oct. do. ...	1,764	1	2,571	...	...	1	2,571	1,129	...	1,129
59	260	Hyder Khan Gul Mahamad Khan.	Do. ...	10th Nov. do.	334	...	...	....	...	...	...	...	...	...
60	44	Gohram Rawat	Jagirdar...	30th April do. ...	761	4	1,044	...	...	4	1,044	627	...	627
61	237	Ali Gohar ...	Jan Mahamad Khan	Do. ...	9,250	17	11,176	...	...	17	11,176	7,287	...	7,287
	238	Ghulam Allah...		Zamindar. 29th Oct. do. ...										
	239	Ali Mardan ...		Do. ...										
62	81	Madad Ali, Shah Mahamad.	Ali Murad Khan.	Jagirdar ... 8th June do.	1,925	6	1,911	...	...	6	1,911	649	...	649

3,862	2,385	.....	.....	3,862	2,385	400	400	6th May 1880 ...	939	*L.*	
								*4th Feb. 1886		* Revised Scheme.	
1,350	1,350	.....	.....	1,350	1,350	.....	.....	11th May 1880.	200	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1883.	
1,123	1,123	.....	.....	1,123	1,123	.....	.....	11th Do.	290	Do.	Do. 31st December 1882.
146	146	.....	... ..	146	146	.....	.....	11th Do.	260	Do.	Do. 31st July 1880.
400	400	.....	.....	400	400	.....	.....	26th Do.	192	† Rs. 308 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th Feb. 1885.	
66	66	.....	.....	66	66	.....	.....	28th Do.	994	Do.	Do. 31st July 1882.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5th June 1880	300	Do.	Do. 31st July 1880.
996	671	.....	.....	996	671	.....	.....	10th Do.	451	*L.* ‡ Rs. 1,846 covered by a lease.	
100	100	98	98	198	198	248	150	10th Do.	134	*L.*	
								* 23rd Feb. 1886 ...		* Revised Scheme.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12th June 1880	200	† Rs. 600 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th January 1884.	
170	170	.....	.....	170	170	.....	.....	17th Do. ...	.....	Do.	Do. 31st July 1880.
150	150	.....	.....	150	150	.....	.....	30th Do. ...	.....	‡ Rs. 487 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th June 1881.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	30th Do. ...	679	Do.	Do. 31st January 1882.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	21st May 1881	.....	‖ Rs. 400 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1881.	
444	444	.....	.....	444	444	.....	.....	25th Do. ...	475	Do.	Do. 31st December 1881.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	... ..	28th Do. ...	751	* Rs. 1,129 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1881.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3rd June do. ...	150	Do.	Do. 31st January 1885.
627	627	... ..	.....	627	627	.....	.....	7th Do.	195	Do.	Do. 15th September 1884.
6,817	*7,322	.....	.....	6,817	7,322	.....	.....	8th Do.	3,740	† Rs. 470 covered by a lease. * Rs. 505 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.	
649	649	.....	.....	649	649	.....	.....	12th July 1881.....	215	Do.	Do. 31st May 1885.

63	64	Rakhial, Gulam Budho ...	Zamindar...	25th May 1877 ...	3,005	6	2,737	..	...	6	2,737	1,618	...	1,618
64	289	Mithu Shah Ghulam Ali Shah.	Do. ...	18th December 1877.	4,500	4	2,139	...	...	4	2,139	1,103	...	1,103
65	125	Jam Mahamad Hasan ...	Do. ...	25th July 1877 ...	2,124	4	2,196	...	...	4	2,196	1,120	...	1,120
66	48	Allah Baksh Gul Mahamad ...	Jagirdar ...	5th May 1877 ...	1,417	2	1,405	...	...	2	1,405	719	...	719
67	168	Jaffar Ali Nabi Baksh ...	Zamindar ..	17th August 1877 ...	1,026	2	657	...	...	2	657	325	...	325
68	49	Allah Baksh Abdullah Khan..	Jagirdar ...	8th May 1877 ...	2,840	4	4,683	...	...	4	4,683	800	...	800
69	98	Bachal Khan Mahamad Khan.	Zamindar ..	26th June 1877 ...	3,680	1	3,059	...	...	1	3,059	1,111	...	1,111
70	303	Bachal Khan Bhambho Khan.	Jagirdar ...	24th May 1878 ...	1,155	1	677	...	...	1	677	261	...	261
71	253	Lashkar Khan Murid Ali ...	Zamindar ..	8th November 1877.	800	1	875	...	...	1	875	571	...	*571
72	193	Hamzo Khan Mahamad Hasan	Jagirdar ...	13th May 1879 ...	9,300	6	18,448	...	...	6	18,448	8,773	...	8,773
73	163	Mahamad Rahim Khusro Beg.	Do. ...	14th August 1877 ...	6,404	4	1,806	...	...	4	1,806	1,402	...	1,402
74	43	Mir Mahamad Nasurallah ...	Zamindar ..	30th April 1877 ...	742	1	269	...	...	1	269	199	...	199
75	124	Wali Mahamad Ibrahim Khan	Do. ...	19th July 1877 ...	2,630	6	2,634	...	...	6	2,634	2,302	...	†2,302
76	17	Gul Mahamad Jaffar Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	16th March 1877 ...	4,155	6	5,296	...	...	6	5,296	3,915	...	3,915
77	330	Ahmad Khan Allah Obhayo...	Do. ...	12th March 1878 ...	5,274	4	3,012	...	...	4	3,012	785	...	785
78	176	Jan Mahamad Ghulam Hyder.	Do. ...	29th August 1877 ...	1,975	3	3,124	...	...	3	3,124	977	..	*977
79	332	Isan Khan Nihal Khan ...	Do. ...	18th March 1878 ...	500	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
80	19	Ali Mahamad Shah Ali Baksh Shah.	Zamindar ..	16th March 1877 ...	24,100	10	28,707	...	...	10	28,707	20,302	...	20,302
81	100	Jiwatram Lachiram ..	Do. ...	30th June 1877 ...	8,083	20	8,316	...	...	20	8,316	4,351	...	4,351
82	274	Mubarak Khan Rustom Khan.	Jagirdar ...	6th December 1877..	6,329	10	6,445	...	...	10	6,445	5,659	...	‡5,659
83	296	Mahamad Hafiz Jumo ...	Zamindar ..	3rd January 1878 ...	3,075	7	3,915	...	...	7	3,915	2,363	...	2,363
84	445	Najmuldin Din Mahamad ...	Do. ...	8th May 1882 ...	1,075	1	306	...	...	1	306	235	...	235

1,618	1,004	.....	.....	1,618	1,004	.....	.....	26th October 1881...	290	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1885.
1,103	905	.....	.....	1,103	905	.....	... ..	26th Nov. 1881 ...	560	*L.*
1,120	672	.....	.....	1,120	672	.....	.....	18th March 1882 ...	200	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th December 1886.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	719	719	21st „ „ ...	220	*L.*
								*6th April 1886 ...		*Revised Scheme.
325	325	.....	.....	325	325	.....	.....	2nd „ 1882 ...	162	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th January 1884.
800	800	.....	.....	800	800	.....	.....	3rd „ 1882 ...	58	*L.*
								†10th „ 1886 ...		†Revised Scheme.
1,111	556	.....	.....	1,111	556	.....	.....	4th „ 1882 ...	275	*L.*
261	261	.....	.....	261	261	.....	.....	10th May 1882 ...	245	‡Revised Scheme.
								‡29th June 1886 ...		
										Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th November 1886.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20th May 1882 ...	150	*Rs. 571 covered by a lease.
										Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th July 1886.
2,949	2,949	992	992	3,941	3,941	5,824	4,832	25th „ „ ...	1,225	*L.*
1,402	1,244	.....	.....	1,402	1,244	.....	.....	27th „ „ ...	1,000	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th January 1884.
199	167	.....	.....	199	167	.....	.....	9th June 1882 ...	14	Do. Do. 31st July 1883.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	302	302	20th „ „ ...	300	*L.* † Rs. 2,000 covered by a lease.
3,915	1,663	.....	.....	3,915	1,663	.....	.....	19th August 1882...	100	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th February 1884.
644	644	.....	.....	644	644	141	141	14th October 1882...	60	*L.*
								‡9th March 1886 ..		‡ Revised Scheme.
228	159	... ..	.....	228	159	59	59	4th January 1883 ...	200	*L.* * Rs. 690 covered by a lease.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6th „ „ ...	200	Management terminated under Section 26 from 1st March 1883.
12,690	12,690	3,566	3,566	16,256	16,256	7,612	4,046	17th April 1883 ...	6,000	*L.*
3,784	1,407	567	567	4,351	1,974	567	.....	16th May 1883 ...	490	*L.*
								†29th March 1887...		†Revised Scheme.
3,319	3,319	.....	.....	3,319	3,319	.....	.....	19th May 1883 ...	2,124	‡Rs. 2,340 covered by leases.
										Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1883.
2,138	1,070	.....	.....	2,138	1,070	225	225	15th July 1883 ...	500	*L.*
235	235	.....	.....	235	235	.....	.....	17th „ „ ...	312	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st October 1883.

85	379	Khuda Baksh Jumo ...	Zamindar...	14th Mar. 1882	1,863	2	1,774	...	...	2	1,774	.....	...	.....
86	386	Dodo Khan Fazul Khan ...	Do. ...	20th „ „	3,950	1	2,221	...	..	1	2,221	2,095	...	2,095
87	362	Yar Mahamad Ali Baksh Khan	Do. ...	30th Jan. „	7,230	3	7,730	...	...	3	7,730	6,935	...	6,935
88	355	Fatima, widow of Biro Khan.	Do. ...	5th „ „	2,944	4	2,279	...	...	4	2,279	2,115	...	2,115
89	457	Chibir Fazul Mahamad ...	Do. ..	19th May „	698	1	218	...	...	1	218	.....	...	.....
90	406	Dilshad Sabar	Do. ...	5th April „	550	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
91	416	Ghulam Ali Khan Baghali Khan.	Jagirdar ...	19th „ „	4,155	2	8,225	...	...	2	8,225	4,453	...	4,453
92	462	Raiban, widow of Ramzan ...	Zamindar...	22nd May „	857	4	1,195	...	...	4	1,195	911	...	911
93	414	Jumo Khan Izat Khan ...	Do. ...	17th April „	2,990	6	5,176	...	...	6	5,176	3,459	...	3,459
94	440	Shah Mahamad Sultan ...	Do. ...	8th May „	1,205	2	1,125	...	...	2	1,125	982	...	982
95	491	Kasim Pandhi. Parial Ishak, ...	Do. ...	19th Jan. 1883	1,090	1	1,660	...	...	1	1,660	.....	...	.....
96	413	Ali Baksh Mahamad Ali Khan	Jagirdar ...	17th April 1882	15,845	17	14,534	...	...	17	14,534	9,524	...	*9,524
97	338	Ghulam Mahamad Faiz Mahamad.	Zamindar...	20th Mar. 1878	1,600	1	1,478	...	...	1	1,478	1,478	...	1,478
98	55	Mahamad Hayat Saleh Mahamad.	Do. ...	15th May 1877	7,694	8	10,122	...	...	8	10,122	5,969	...	5,969
99	479	Sono Khan Rustom Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	7th Sept. 1882	2,660	7	2,431	...	...	7	2,431	1,975	...	†1,975
100	313	Basantrai Sahibsing ...	Zamindar...	5th Feb. 1878	4,649	6	7,862	...	...	6	7,862	1,966	...	1,966
101	428	Bahadur Khan Kaim Khan ...	Do. ...	1st May 1882	1,811	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
102	417	Silleman Chodio... ...	Do. ...	19th April „	2,493	11	3,521	...	...	11	3,521	2,029	...	2,029
103	299	Dost Mahamad Mahamad Murad.	Do. ...	18th Jan. 1878	2,038	4	1,879	...	...	4	1,879	1,029	...	1,029
104	443	Fazul Muhamad Piaro Khan.	Jagirdar ...	8th May 1882	1,000	3	1,579	...	...	3	1,579	700	...	700
105	472	Muhamad Ali Khan Baksho Khan.	Zamindar...	10th July „	1,511	1	1,500	...	...	1	1,500	900	...	900
106	403	Bago Khan, Hassan Ali Khan. Muhamad Ashraf.	Do. ...	1st April „	3,547	12	6,640	...	...	12	6,640	2,250	...	2,250
107	468	Abdullah Arzi	Do. ...	27th Jan. „	2,175	5	4,176	...	...	5	4,176	2,805	...	2,805
108	488	Pir Baksh Arzi	Do. ...	24th Oct. „	2,175	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
109	374	Tamachi Jian	Do. ...	7th March „	699	2	839	...	...	2	839	49	...	49
110	277	Ali Baksh Mahamad Hasan ...	Jagirdar ...	6th Dec. 1877	2,317	2	2,932	...	...	2	2,932	1,620	...	1,620

.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	23rd Oct. 1883	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 fro 10th November 1883.	
2,095	2,095	.....	.....	2,095	2,095	.....	.....	13th Dec. ,, ...	341	Do.	Do. 31st December 1883.
6,935	6,185	.....	.....	6,935	6,185	.....	.....	9th Jan. 1884	88	Do.	Do. 1st June 1884.
2,115	1,686	.....	.....	2,115	1,686	.....	.....	16th ,, ,, ...	500	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	22nd ,, ,, ...	14	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th February 1884.	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3rd Feb. ,, ...	40	Do.	Do. 20th February 1884.
4,453	4,453	.....	.....	4,453	4,453	.....	.....	3rd ,, ,, ...	750	Do.	Do. 20th February 1884.
911	911	.....	.....	911	911	.....	.....	27th ,, ,, ...	.....	Do.	Do. 31st March 1884.
3,459	3,459	.....	.....	3,459	3,459	.....	.....	11th Mar. ,, ...	32	Do.	Do. 31st March 1884.
982	982	.....	.....	982	982	.....	.....	11th ,, ,, ...	147	Do.	Do. 31st March 1884.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13th ,, ,, ...	300	Do.	Do. 15th October 1884.
7,472	5,997	1,000	1,000	8,472	6,997	1,821	821	29th ,, ,, ...	1,800	*L.*	* Rs. 231 covered by a lease.
1,478	1,478	.....	.....	1,478	1,478	.....	.....	4th April ,, ...	263	Management terminated under Section 26 from 25th April 1884.	
5,969	1,338	.....	.....	5,969	1,338	.....	.....	23rd ,, ,, ...	.....	Do.	Do. 31st July 1884.
400	400	200	200	600	600	1,375	1,175	30th ,, ,, ...	320	*L.*	† Rs. 200 covered by a lease.
1,966	1,509	.....	.....	1,966	1,509	.....	.....	21st May ,, ...	274	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	14th July ,, ...	572	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st January 1887.	
957	957	1,072	1,072	2,029	2,029	1,072	.....	2nd Aug. ,, ...	500	Do.	Do. 15th July 1887.
1,029	557	.....	.....	1,029	557	.....	.....	16th ,, ,, ...	.....	Do.	Do. 15th September 1884.
700	700	.....	.....	700	700	.....	.....	13th Sept. ,, ...	1,100	Do.	Do. 10th October 1884.
900	900	.....	.....	900	900	.....	.....	27th ,, ,, ...	150	Do.	Do. 31st January 1885.
280	280	1,140	1,140	1,420	1,420	1,970	830	3rd Oct. ,, ...	400	*L.*	
2,805	1,964	.....	.....	2,805	1,964	.....	.....	8th Jan. 1885	262	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8th ,, ,, ...	263	*L.*	
49	49	.....	.....	49	49	.....	.....	3rd Feb. ,, ...	225	Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th February 1885.	
710	532	251	251	961	783	910	659	4th ,, ,, ...	315	*L.*	

111	476	Khuda Baksh, Rahim Baksh, Amir Baksh — Kaisar Khan...	Zamindar...	22nd Aug. 1882	2,460	5	3,310	...	...	5	3,310	1,210	...	1,210
112	107	Khan Mahamad Ali Mahamad	Jagirdar ...	6th July 1877	5,163	4	5,208	...	...	4	5,208	2,470	...	2,470
113	484	Gawar Khan, Jan Mahamad, Ali Mahamad, Atta Mahamad — Rashid Khan	Do. ...	26th Sept. 1882	4,000	9	8,447	...	...	9	8,447	4,875	...	4,875
114	400	Wali Mahamad Nawab ...	Zamindar...	1st April „	3,764	4	2,493	...	...	4	2,493	1,517	...	1,517
115	470	Abdul Salam Natho Khan ...	Do. ...	3rd July „	1,812	1	665	...	...	1	665	660	...	660
116	354	Umedali Lakhu ...	Do. ...	5th Dec. 1881	10,200	3	10,990	...	...	3	10,990	5,343	...	5,343
117	358	Ghulam Nabi Mahamad Khan	Do. ...	19th Jany. 1882.....	4,124	2	3,953	...	...	2	3,953	3,798	...	3,798
118	481	Haji Arzi, Fatteh Mahamad Doulo	Do. ...	16th Sept. „	875	9	2,759	...	...	9	2,759	1,873	...	1,873
119	367	Darla Khan Sahib Khan ..	Do. ...	20th Feb. „	2,369	4	1,472	...	...	4	1,472	1,425	...	1,425
120	435	Mahamad Sharif Naim ...	Do. ...	11th Oct. „	2,600	2	1,186	...	...	2	1,186	763	...	763
121	466	Sirdar Khan Umedali Khan...	Do. ...	19th June „	2,496	5	3,446	...	..	5	3,446	1,202	...	1,202
122	460	Murijmal Sukhramdas ...	Do. ...	22nd May „	9,788	5	8,609	...	...	5	8,609	4,541	...	4,541
123	444	Sono Khan Abdullah Khan...	Do. ...	8th May „	2,517	6	3,837	...	...	6	3,837	2,826	...	2,826
124	384	Silleman Din Mahamad — Fatteh Mahamad	Do. ...	17th Mar. „	1,226	5	1,593	...	...	5	1,593	1,201	...	1,201
125	432	Mahamad Arif Dau ...	Do. ...	1st May „	1,412	1	976	...	...	1	976	758	...	758
126	446	Allahbaksh Ghulam Mahamad	Do. ...	8th „ „	3,660	15	3,992	...	...	15	3,992	3,237	...	3,237
127	368	Saban Pinial ...	Do. ..	27th Feb. „	2,590	10	3,238	...	...	10	3,238	2,135	...	2,135
128	373	Walidad Jian ...	Do. ...	7th Mar. „	1,689	3	2,056	...	...	3	2,056	990	...	990
129	216	Allahdino Shah Ghulam Mahamad Shah.	Do. ...	2nd Oct. 1877	2,892	5	11,266	...	...	5	11,266	1,160	...	1,160
130	409	Lutifallah Ramzan ...	Do. ...	12th April 1882 ...	18,140	16	25,259	...	...	16	25,259	21,339	...	21,339
131	449	Dost Mahamad Ali Murad Khan.	Do. ...	8th May „	370	4	970	...	...	4	970	307	...	307
132	478	Parsram Sadhumal ...	Do. ...	7th Sept. „	17,260	30	16,826	...	...	30	16,826	13,170	...	13,170
133	442	Mahamad Shuja Shah Mahamadali Shah.	Do. ...	8th May „	4,630	7	5,768	...	...	7	5,768	2,781	...	2,781
134	471	Ghulam Murtaza Budhal Khan.	Do. ...	4th July „	24,845	6	24,521	...	...	6	24,521	16,531	...	16,531
135	410	Bharumal Chandumal ...	Do. ...	12th April „	49,996	19	41,641	...	...	19	41,641	31,153	...	31,153

1,210	848	.....	.....	1,210	848	.....	.....	4th Feb. 1885	850	*L.*
1,569	1,152	251	251	1,820	1,403	901	650	7th „ „	510	*L.*
4,875	3,413	.....	.....	4,875	3,413	.....	.....	17th „ „	1,875	*L.*
1,292	646	.....	.....	1,292	646	225	225	19th „ „	475	*L.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	660	660	27th „ „	200	*L.*
5,343	3,704	.....	.....	5,343	3,704	.....	.....	12th Mar. „	1,100	*L.*
900	900	398	398	1,298	1,298	2,898	2,500	16th „ „	500	*L.*
1,873	1,873	.....	.....	1,873	1,873	.....	.....	18th „ „	130	Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th June 1886.
660	660	200	200	860	860	765	565	11th April „	400	*L.*
763	763	.....	.....	763	763	.....	.....	25th „ „	235	Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th March 1886.
460	230	.....	.....	460	230	742	742	28th „ „	275	*L.*
3,841	3,841	700	700	4,541	4,541	700	.....	9th May „	1,600	Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th October 1886.
2,826	2,826	.....	.....	2,826	2,826	.....	.....	15th „ „	900	Do. Do. 30th September 1885.
1,201	841	.....	.....	1,201	841	.....	.....	10th June „	400	*L.*
758	657	.....	.....	758	657	.....	.....	13th „ „	81	Management terminated under Section 26 from 5th November 1885.
3,237	3,237	.....	.....	3,237	3,237	.....	.....	16th „ „	.....	Do. Do. 31st July 1885.
2,135	2,135	.....	.....	2,135	2,135	.....	.....	3rd July „	420	* Revised Scheme.
								*27th July 1886 ...		Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1886.
990	796	.....	.....	990	796	.....	.....	4th July 1885	450	*L.*
1,160	590	.....	.....	1,160	590	.....	.....	3rd Oct. „	.....	
21,339	21,339	.....	.....	21,339	21,339	.....	.....	21st „ „	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1885.
307	307	.....	.....	307	307	.....	.....	24th Jan. 1886	.....	Do. Do. 31st July 1886.
8,335	4,491	.....	.....	8,835	4,491	4,335	4,335	16th Mar. „	2,017	*L.*
2,226	1,655	494	346	2,720	2,001	555	61	3rd May „	525	*L.*
15,926	11,149	605	423	16,531	11,572	605	.....	2nd June „	1,580	*L. P.*
9,183	5,937	2,724	1,634	11,907	7,571	8,124	5,400	16th July „	2,650	*L.* †Rs. 13,846 covered by a lease.

136	399	Jumormal Lakhimal ...	Zamindar...	31st Mar. 1882 ...	8,327	7	7,381	...	...	7	7,381	5,593	...	5,593
137	494	Basantsing, Bagasing } Asumal ..	Do. ...	14th April 1885 ...	7,195	7	11,626	...	....	7	11,626	8,984	...	8,984
					13,69,931	982	16,01,142	...	...	982	16,01,142	9,80,271	...	9,80,271
138	*20*	*Imam Baksh Ghulam Shah ...*	*Zamindar...*	*19th March 1877 ...*	...	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
139	*35*	*Taj Mahamad, Dost Mahamad } Ghullam-allah Khan.*	*Jagirdar ...*	*11th April „ ...*	*17,990*	*10*	*14,540*	...	...	*10*	*14,540*	*8,004*	....	*8,004*
140	*56*	*Dabud Mahamad Usif ...*	*Zamindar...*	*15th May „ ...*	...	...	...	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
141	177	Mahamadali Shah Jiandal Shah ...	Do. ...	29th Aug. „ ...	12,740	16	13,530	...	...	16	13,530	6,803	...	6,803
142	*180*	*Allah Baksh Sahib Khan ...*	*Do. ..*	*30th „ „ ..*	*616*	*2*	*1,250*	...	...	*2*	*1,250*	*502*	...	*502*
143	*191*	*Allah Rakhio Raman ...*	*Do. ...*	*12th Sept. „ ...*	*2,729*	*6*	*4,758*	...	....	*6*	*4,758*	*3,846*	...	*3,846*
144	*235*	*Budho Khan Golo Khan ...*	*Do. ...*	*23rd Oct. „ ...*	*3,935*	*2*	*1,462*	...	...	*2*	*1,462*	*747*	...	*747*
145	*240*	*Tajo Khan Usif Khan ...*	*Jagirdar ...*	*29th „ „ ..*	*560*	*1*	*385*	...	..	*1*	*385*	*385*	...	*385*
146	*269*	*Usif Jumo ...*	*Zamindar...*	*24th Nov. „ ...*	*3,069*	*1*	*370*	...	....	*1*	*370*	*77*	...	*77*
147	*275*	*Mewo Khan Ghazi Khan ...*	*Do. ...*	*6th Dec. „ ...*	.....	...	.....	...	....	...	.....	.....	...	...
148	*278*	*Unis Said Khan ...*	*Do. ...*	*7th „ „ ...*	.....	...	.....	...	...	..	.....	.....	...	...
149	*280*	*Balchand Hundomal ...*	*Do. ...*	*8th „ „ ...*	...	..	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
150	*291*	*Mouledino Ali Mahamad ...*	*Do. .....*	*26th „ „ ...*	*2,713*	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
151	*321*	*Ghulam Shah Umar Khan ..*	*Do. .....*	*18th Feb. 1878 ...*	*8,771*	...	.....	...	....	...	.....	.....	...	...
152	*327*	*Pir Baksh Imam Baksh ...*	*Do. .....*	*28th „ „ ...*	*300*	*2*	*1,395*	...	...	*2*	*1,395*	*1,745*	...	*1,745*
153	*333*	*Umedali Allah Obhayo Shah...*	*Do. .....*	*18th Mar. „ ...*	...	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
154	*342*	*Dost Muhamad Ahmad Khan.*	*Do. .....*	*25th April „ ...*	*5,900*	*2*	*2,685*	...	...	*2*	*2,685*	*354*	...	*354*
155	*353*	*Chuto Khan Mahamad Shah..*	*Do. .....*	*28th Nov. 1881 ...*	*1,125*	...	.....	...	..	...	.....	.....	..	...
156	*375*	*Mahamad Khan Abdul Hakim*	*Do. .....*	*7th Mar. 1882 ...*	*328*	*1*	*96*	...	...	*1*	*96*	*78*	...	*78*
157	*402*	*Jethomal Khatumal, Amardinomal Jethomal*	*Do. .....*	*1st April „ ...*	*2,482*	*8*	*13,941*	...	...	*8*	*13,941*	*4,684*	...	*4,684*
158	*413*	*Suhrab Wassayo ...*	*Do. .....*	*12th „ „ ...*	*1,380*	*10*	*3,685*	...	....	*10*	*3,685*	.....	...	.....
159	*492*	*Banho, Jaffar, Harun } Samo ...*	*Do. .....*	*8th March 1883 ...*	*774*	...	...	...	...	...	.....	...	...	.....
160	*493*	*Shaffi Mahamad Mahamad Malluck.*	*Do. .....*	*22nd „ „ ...*	*2,922*	*14*	*3,825*	...	....	*14*	*3,825*	*2,384*	...	*2,384*
					68,334	75	62,422	...	....	75	62,422	29,609	...	29,609

.....	.....	5,593	4,209	5,593	4,209	.....	.....	18th Aug. 1886 ...	800	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,984	5th July 1887 ...	1,000	*L.*	
7,90,726	6,01,454	27,677	23,699	8,18,463	6,25,153	60,296	47,190		1,14,618		
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 15th July 1879.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st October 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st September 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....		
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 1st June 1882.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *16th June 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *31st July 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st January 1880.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *10th February 1880.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 6th April 1878.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *14th June 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *10th May 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *2nd March 1880.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *21st August 1880.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st December 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *20th May 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *22nd April 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st October 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st November 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *31st July 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *1st February 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *30th September 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do.*	*Do.* *15th May 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....		.....		

		SHIKARPUR DISTRICT.															
1	11	Ghulam Hyder Ghulam Mahamad.	Jagirdar ...	21st Feb. 1877	...	67,039	37	94,319	...	...	37	94,319	44,076	...	*44,076
2	198	Azim Khan Muso Khan ...	Zamindar...	22nd Sept. do.	...	1,250	8	1,900	...	...	8	1,900	762	...	†762
3	13	Sarfraz Khan Kazim Khan ...	Do. ...	21st Feb. do.	...	15,848	12	18,575	...	...	12	18,575	8,822	...	8,822
4	23	Waliadino Samaho ...	Do. ...	23rd Mar. do.	...	2,244	5	2,138	...	...	5	2,138	1,485	...	1,485
5	255	Ghulam Kadir													
	256	Ghulam Nabi } Mahamad	Do. ...	9th Nov. do.	...	32,510	28	28,456	...	...	28	28,456	13,952	...	13,952
	257	Abdul Rahim } Hasan													
	258	Khabar Khan													
6	85	Bhambho } Jam Abul	Jagirdar ...	12th June do.	...	1,52,064	179	1,61,952	...	...	179	1,61,952	63,315	...	63,315
	189	Ghulam Mahamad } Khair		7th Sept. do.	...										
7	222	Dodo Khan Pir Baksh ...	Zamindar...	5th Oct. do.	...	1,34,644	58	2,03,752	...	...	58	2,03,752	98,673	...	98,673
	281	Amir Baksh } Dodo		11th Dec. do.	...										
	282	Khuda Baksh } Khan													
8	178	Umar Khan Madad Khan ...	Do. ...	29th Aug. do.	...	2,189	2	1,622	...	...	2	1,622	811	...	811
9	113	Jan Mahamad Sher Mahamad	Do. ...	13th July do.	...	2,106	1	1,000	...	...	1	1,000	500	...	500
10	215	Mir Mahamad Mahamad Kazim.	Do. ...	2nd Oct. do.	...	2,497	6	2,099	...	...	6	2,099	1,111	...	1,111
11	302	Wahid Baksh Shahbuldin ...	Do. ...	21st Jan. 1878	...	2,915	2	3,226	...	...	2	3,226	2,207	...	2,207
12	344	Mir Mahamad Mahamad Ali...	Do. ...	14th Aug. do.	...	1,574	2	1,591	...	...	2	1,591	1,007	...	1,007
13	92	Allah Baksh Mahamad Anwar Khan.	Do. ...	22nd June 1877	...	7,345	29	9,007	...	...	29	9,007	6,414	...	6,414
14	76	Gul Mahamad Rohal Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	1st Do. do.	...	8,366	11	9,632	...	...	11	9,632	5,496	...	5,496
15	157	Rashid Khan Pangri ...	Zamindar...	11th Aug. do.	...	2,786	11	2,751	...	...	11	2,751	1,993	...	1,993
16	159	Khuda Baksh Mir Mahamad..	Do. ...	Do. do.	...	2,567	8	4,192	...	...	8	4,192	2,175	...	2,175
17	308	Mahamad Shah Mahamad ..	Do. ...	1st Feb. 1878	...	4,578	16	12,791	...	...	16	12,791	6,377	...	6,377
18	341	Abdul Jalil Mahamad Hayat.	Do. ...	18th April 1878	...	2,880	6	3,091	...	...	6	3,091	1,925	...	1,925
19	284	Sher Mahamad Abdul Rahim Shah.	Do. ...	13th Dec. 1877	...	6,332	2	1,586	...	...	2	1,586	638	...	638
20	271	Khan Mahamad Sabu ...	Do. ...	27th Nov. do.	...	985	2	991	...	...	2	991	472	...	472
21	71	Fatteh Khan Hyder Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	28th May do.	...	10,063	24	15,814	...	...	24	15,814	8,807	...	*8,807

40,609	33,791	.....	.....	40,609	33,791	.....	.....	14th Feby. 1879 ...	18,176	* Rs. 3,467 covered by a lease.
427	‡474	.....	.....	427	474	.....	.....	10th May „ ...	828	† Rs. 335 covered by a lease. ‡ Rs. 47 excess is for interest allowed under Sec. 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1881.
8,822	6,332	.....	.....	8,822	6,332	.....	.....	19th „ „ ...	1,470	*L*
1,485	958	.....	.. ...	1,485	958	.....	.....	27th „ „ ...	246	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1887.
								17th Dec. 1886* ...		* Revised Scheme.
13,639	9,983	.....	.....	13,639	9,983	313	313	19th Feb. 1879 ...	5,739	*L*.
								27th Aug. 1883† ...		† Revised Scheme.
63,315	56,506	.....	.....	63,315	56,506	.....	.....	11th March 1879 ...	17,728	‡ Revised Scheme.
								20th July 1885‡ ...		Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st May 1886.
97,758	79,784	.....	.....	97,758	79,784	915	915	18th March 1879 ...	20,000	*L*
811	632	.....	.....	811	632	.....	.....	8th Nov. „ ...	130	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th November 1883.
500	500	.....	.....	500	500	.....	.....	14th „ „ ...	400	Do. 15th September 1884.
1,111	1,111	.....	.....	1,111	1,111	.....	.....	14th „ „ ...	400	Do. 31st May 1885.
2,207	2,207	.....	.....	2,207	2,207	.....	.....	5th Jan. 1880 ...	700	Do. 20th December 1884.
1,007	1,007	.....	.....	1,007	1,007	.....	.....	22nd „ ...	660	Do. 31st July 1881.
6,414	4,840	.....	.....	6,414	4,840	.....	.....	5th Feb. 1880 ...	1,300	Do. 20th October 1886.
5,496	3,901	.....	.....	5,496	3,901	.....	.....	13th March 1880 ...	800	* Revised Scheme.
								29th Nov. 1884* ...		Management terminated under Section 26 from 25th December 1884.
1,993	1,684	.....	.....	1,993	1,684	.....	.....	17th March 1880 ...	343	*L* † Revised Scheme.
								23rd Feb. 1886† ...		
2,175	1,773	.....	.....	2,175	1,773	.....	.....	17th March 1880 ...	352	*L*
5,576	3,197	.....	.. ...	5,576	3,197	801	801	17th „ ...	704	*L*
1,925	1,925	.....	.....	1,925	1,925	.....	.....	17th „ ...	420	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1880.
638	‡766	.....	.....	638	766	.....	.....	18th „ ...	556	‡ Rs. 128 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th Feb. 1884.
472	472	.....	.....	472	472	.....	.....	11th May 1880 ...	500	Do. 15th June 1881.
‡5,855	‡3,657	.....	.....	5,855	3,657	‡738	738	24th „ ...	1,040	*L* * Rs. 2,214 covered by leases.
								20th Jan. 1886† ...		† Revised Scheme. ‡ Erroneously shown in previous years report as having been paid, *Vide* Report No. 31, dated 11th January 1886.

22	236	Nasir Ali Khan Ghulam Ali Khan.	Zamindar.	25th Oct. 1877	...	4,731	14	5,288	...	...	14	5,288	3,571	...	3,571
23	309	Shah Mardan Ismail Shah ...	Do. ...	1st Feb. 1878	...	2,884	3	3,017	...	...	3	3,017	2,300	...	2,300
24	110	Lashkar Khan Kamal Khan...	Jagirdar ...	13th July 1877	...	3,825	1	3,717	...	...	1	3,717	2,800	...	2,800
25	294	Nabi Baksh Ismail Khan ...	Zamindar.	2nd Jan. 1878	...	4,992	6	5,959	...	...	6	5,959	3,577	...	3,577
26	221	Sawail Shah Ghulam Ali Shah	Do. ...	5th October 1877	...	4,380	10	6,446	...	...	10	6,446	2,095	...	2,095
27	224	Fakir Ali Shah Ghulam Ali Shah.	Do. ...	6th Do. do.	...	2,391	5	2,751	...	...	5	2,751	2,173	...	2,173
28	314	Yar Mahamad Mir Mahamad.	Do. ...	6th Feb. 1878	...	1,890	1	300	...	...	1	300	4,575	...	4,575
29	347	Sultan Golo	Do. ...	26th August do.	...	2,738	2	3,143	...	...	2	3,143	2,045	...	2,045
30	96	Allah Baksh Ghulam Mahamad.	Do. ...	26th June 1877	...	17,585	34	21,800	...	...	34	21,800	10,350	...	10,350
31	252	Iso Mahamad Panah ...	Do. ..	2nd Nov. do.	...	1,172	4	1,516	...	...	4	1,516	520	...	520
32	196	Ali Madad Lal Mahamad Khan.	Do. ...	17th Sept. do.	...	2,354	9	2,857	...	...	9	2,857	1,644	...	1,644
33	123	Khair Mahamad Jam ...	Jagirdar ...	19th July do.	...	3,978	8	3,742	...	...	8	3,742	3,533	...	3,533
34	94	Ghulam Husen Lutif Ali Khan.	Do. ...	26th June do.	...	5,897	14	5,365	...	...	14	5,365	3,411	...	3,411
35	325	Sher Mahamad Nazar Mahamad.	Zamindar.	26th Feb. 1878	...	7,027	13	9,712	...	...	13	9,712	4,480	...	4,480
36	340	Relumal Ranjhumal ...	Do. ...	11th April do.	...	10,125	5	10,387	...	...	5	10,387	4,284	...	4,284
37	331	Gahno Khan Faiz Mahamad.	Do. ...	12th March do.	...	2,775	3	3,058	...	...	3	3,058	1,170	...	1,170
38	293	Dilmurad Pirano	Do. ...	29th Dec. 1877	...	1,582	9	1,759	...	..	9	1,759	1,205	...	1,205
39	164	Ali Mahamad Sultan ...	Do. ...	15th August do.	...	2,577	6	2,844	...	...	6	2,844	2,226	...	2,226
40	261	Ali Murad Sher Mahamad ...	Jagirdar ...	14th Nov. do	...	6,123	13	13,870	...	...	13	13,870	3,685	...	3,685
41	264	Mahamad Hasen Mahamad ...	Zamindar.	16th do. do.	...	11,818	26	20,970	...	...	26	20,970	9,656	...	9,656
42	223	Abul Khair Fatteh Khan ...	Do. ...	6th Oct. do.	...	8,078	16	10,770	...	...	16	10,770	6,878	...	6,878

3,571	2,827	.....	.....	3,571	2,827	.....	.....	31st May 1880 ...	977	*L. P.*	
2,300	2,300	.....	.....	2,300	2,300	.....	.....	30th June do. ...	232	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1881.	
2,800	2,800	.....	.....	2,800	2,800	.....	.....	6th July do. ...	1,600	Do.	Do. 15th January 1881.
3,577	3,577	.....	.....	3,577	3,577	.....	.....	12th do. do. ...	620	Do.	Do. 15th September 1883.
2,095	*2,228	.....	.....	2,095	2,228	.....	.....	26th Oct. 1881 ...	1,931	* Rs. 133 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1880.	
2,173	†2,382	.....	.....	2,173	2,382	.....	.....	26th do. do. ...	628	† Rs. 209 excess is for interest allowed under Section 16. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1881.	
4,488	4,498	60	60	4,548	4,548	87	27	10th Nov. do. ...	912	*L. P.*	
2,045	1,432	.....	.....	2,045	1,432	.....	.....	14th do. do. ...	270	*L.*	
10,350	10,350	.....	.....	10,350	10,350	.....	.....	27th Feb. 1882 ...	3,000	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th January 1886.	
520	520	.....	.....	520	520	.....	.....	4th March 1882 ...	120	Do.	Do. 20th October 1884.
1,644	1,235	.....	.....	1,644	1,235	.....	.....	15th do. do. ...	200	Do.	Do. 1st March 1883.
3,533	2,650	.....	.....	3,533	2,650	.....	.....	21st do. do. ...	400	Do.	Do. 31st July 1883.
2,449	2,449	364	364	2,813	2,813	364	.....	25th do. do. ...	994	‡ Rs. 598 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1887.	
4,480	3,135	.....	.....	4,480	3,135	.....	.....	25th do. do. ... * 22nd Dec. 1885 ...	742	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th November 1886.	
4,284	4,284	.....	.....	4,284	4,284	.....	.....	25th March 1882 ... † 3rd March 1884 ...	800	† Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1884.	
1,170	908	.....	.....	1,170	908	.....	.....	2nd April 1882 ... ‡ 27th June 1883 ...	600	‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 25th September 1886.	
1,205	904	.....	.....	1,205	904	.....	.....	13th April 1882 ...	200	Do.	Do. 10th April 1886.
2,226	2,226	.....	.....	2,226	2,226	.....	.....	21st do. do. ...	.....	Do.	Do. 31st July 1882.
3,685	3,685	.....	.....	3,685	3,685	.....	.....	27th do. do. ...	600	Do.	Do. 15th May 1885.
9,656	7,724	.....	.....	9,656	7,724	.....	.....	27th do. do. ... * 26th Nov. 1882 ...	1,000	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st October 1883.	
6,798	5,383	.....	.....	6,798	5,383	80	80	2nd May 1882 ...	500	*L.*	

43	244	Jan Mahamad Shah Ali Akbar	Jagirdar ...	31st Oct. 1877	...	43,670	37	42,500	...	...	37	42,500	14,597	...	14,597
	245	Ahmad Shah Shah													
44	318	Khan Mahamad Mahamad Ali	Zamindar...	13th Feb. 1878	...	2,808	3	2,645	...	...	3	2,645	1,510	...	1,510
45	182	Ghulam Mahamad Kaisar Khan.	Do. ...	31st Aug. 1877	...	9,442	18	11,031	...	...	18	11,031	7,196	...	7,196
46	197	Abdul Hak Pir Mahamad ...	Do. ...	17th April 1877	...	5,163	7	4,740	...	...	7	4,740	2,690	...	2,690
47	97	Imam Baksh Shahmir ...	Do. ...	26th June do.	...	4,030	10	3,439	...	...	10	3,439	3,135	...	3,135
48	126	Dost Ali Shah Ghulam Husen Shah.	Do. ...	25th July do.	...	5,439	8	3,535	...	...	8	3,535	2,709	...	2,709
49	300	Abdul Ahid Hamdul	Do. ...	21st Jan. 1878	...	23,628	26	28,360	...	...	26	28,360	19,646	...	19,646
	301	Mazahar Ali Hak.													
50	211	Jan Mahamad Ahmad	Jagirdar ...	1st Oct. 1877	...	1,925	8	2,181	...	...	8	2,181	1,287	...	1,287
	212	Shah Mahamad Khan.													
51	319	Allahyar Ghulam Hasan Khan	Zamindar...	13th Feb. 1878	...	655	2	581	...	...	2	581	203	...	203
52	166	Nabi Baksh Shah Mahamad ..	Do. ...	17th Aug. 1877	...	3,614	13	6,729	...	...	13	6,729	4,385	...	4,385
53	310	Hyder Ali Hamdal Hak ...	Do. ...	2nd Feb. 1878	...	18,146	18	19,158	...	...	18	19,158	13,822	...	13,822
54	40	Mahamad Kasim Din Mahamad Arif Mahamad	Do. ...	24th April 1877	...	7,009	17	7,476	...	...	17	7,476	6,543	...	6,543
55	266	Faiz Mahamad Shah Mahamad	Do. ...	20th Nov. do.	...	1,453	4	585	...	...	4	585	344	...	344
56	345	Bachal Khan Taj Mahamad...	Do. ...	17th August 1878...		6,474	12	2,825	...	...	12	2,825	2,295	...	2,295
57	334	Bachal Khan Hasan Khan ...	Do. ...	18th Mar. 1878	...	1,872	8	2,112	...	...	8	2,112	977	...	977
58	247	Abdul Khalik Mahamad ...	Do. ...	31st Oct. 1877	...	18,363	19	26,940	...	...	19	26,940	14,515	...	14,515
59	150	Ali Mahamad Mahamad ...	Do. ..	7th Aug. do.	...	1,888	10	2,132	...	...	10	2,132	1,464	...	1,464
60	343	Jiando Inait	Do. ...	7th June 1878		2,064	5	2,216	...	...	5	2,216	890	...	890
61	292	Nabi Baksh Warisdino ...	Do. ...	29th Dec. 1877 ...		3,347	5	4,903	...	...	5	4,903	1,618	...	1,618
62	323	Kalandar Khan Ali Mardan..	Do. ...	19th Feb. 1878 ...		29,075	16	49,986	...	...	16	49,986	12,705	...	12,705
63	324	Newandrai Bazarimal ...	Do. ...	26th do.		3,922	6	5,708	...	...	6	5,708	2,979	...	2,979
64	304	Kadir Baksh Nowrang ...	Do. ...	28th Jan. 1878 ...		9,378	11	12,408	...	...	11	12,408	3,109	...	3,109

14,597	8,860	.....	.....	14,597	8,860	.....	.....	10th May 1882 ...	2,200	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1883.
1,510	1,510	.....	.....	1,510	1,510	.....	.....	10th „ „ ...	205	*L.*
4,496	4,496	250	250	4,746	4,746	2,700	2,450	5th June 1882 ... *29th May 1886 ...	175	*L.* * Revised Scheme.
2,690	2,594	.....	.....	2,690	2,594	.....	.....	5th June 1882 ...	350	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1885.
3,135	3,094	.....	.....	3,135	3,094	.....	.....	15th „ „ ...	8	Do. Do. 10th April 1886.
2,709	1,625	.....	.....	2,709	1,625	.....	.....	15th „ „ ... †17th August 1885...	352	† Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th July 1886.
19,646	18,849	.....	.....	19,646	18,849	.....	.....	15th June 1882 ...	2,030	Do. Do. 10th February 1884.
1,287	1,287	.....	.....	1,287	1,287	.....	.....	21st „ „ ...	270	Do. Do. 26th May 1884.
203	203	.....	.....	203	203	.....	.....	21st „ „ ... ‡18th July 1885 ...	170	‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 25th January 1886.
4,385	4,385	.....	.....	4,385	4,385	.....	.....	4th „ 1882 ... *5th June 1884 ...	.....	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th February 1885.
12,700	8,569	.....	.....	12,700	8,569	1,122	1,122	7th July 1882 ...	1,620	*L.*
6,543	6,443	.....	.....	6,543	6,443	.....	.....	12th „ „ ... †2nd December 1884	.....	†Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1885.
344	344	.....	.....	344	344	.....	.....	27th July 1882 ...	.....	Do. Do. 30th November 1883.
2,295	2,205	.....	.....	2,295	2,205	.....	.....	29th „ „ ... ‡20th Feb. 1884 ...	360	‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 1st June 1884.
977	790	.....	.....	977	790	.....	.....	18th October 1882...	160	Do. Do. 31st May 1885.
.....	.....	14,515	10,885	14,515	10,885	14,515	.....	26th „ „ ...	700	*L.*
1,464	1,313	.....	.....	1,464	1,313	.....	.....	6th Dec. 1882 ...	387	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1883.
890	890	.....	.....	890	890	.....	.....	14th Feb. 1883 ...	60	Do. Do. 25th December 1884.
1,618	1,423	.....	.....	1,618	1,423	.....	.....	15th „ „ ...	95	Do. Do. 15th January 1884.
11,080	2,770	.....	.....	11,080	2,770	1,625	1,625	5th March 1883 ...	375	*L.*
2,979	2,612	.....	.....	2,979	2,612	.....	.....	17th „ „ ...	122	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st October 1883.
3,109	3,001	.....	.....	3,109	3,001	.....	.....	27th „ „ ... *14th January 1886.	304	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th February 1886.

65	249	Shah Nawaz Fakiruldin ...	Zamindar...	1st Nov. 1877 ...	3,193	13	3,204	...	...	13	3,204	2,186	...	2,186
66	431	Khair Mahamad Sobdar ..	Do. ...	1st May 1882	3,289	6	2,361	..	...	6	2,361	2,294	...	2,294
67	350	Suhrab Ghulam Mahamad ...	Do. ...	20th Jan. 1879	3,745	4	4,328	...	...	4	4,328	4,052	...	4,052
68	185	Sumar Chodio ...	Do. ...	5th Sept. 1877	4,073	5	4,691	...	...	5	4,691	3,796	...	3,796
69	263	Dilawar Khan Allah Jiwayo...	Do. ...	14th Nov. „ ...	1,907	22	2,818	...	...	22	2,818	2,055	...	2,055
70	148	Lutif Ali Fazul Mahamad ...	Do. ...	7th Aug. „	9,563	15	11,147	...	...	15	11,147	5,690	...	5,690
71	54	Mahamad Khan Nazar Mahamad.	Do. ...	12th May „	5,379	26	5,623	...	...	26	5,623	3,170	...	3,170
72	95	Lashkar Khan Kehar Khan...	Do. ...	26th June „	4,981	14	4,979	...	...	14	4,979	4,259	...	4,259
73	307	Bhambho Khan Yaro Khan...	Do. ...	1st Feb. 1878	7,148	6	3,760	...	...	6	3,760	1,331	...	1,331
74	438	Ali Murad) Ghulam Maha- Sher Khan) mad Khan	Do. ...	3rd May 1882.....	1,986	11	2,013	...	...	11	2,013	1,219	...	†1,219
75	146	Mir Khan Alahyar Khan ...	Do. ...	7th Aug. 1877	9,987	10	9,798	...	..	10	9,798	5,922	...	5,922
76	220	Sachal Khan Izmat Khan ...	Do. ...	4th Oct. „	6,795	12	7,740	...	...	12	7,740	4,679	...	4,679
77	328	Sadik Budho	Do. ...	1st Mar. 1878	1,725	2	1,306	...	...	2	1,306	815	...	815
78	312	Pir Baksh Gul Mahamad ...	Do. ...	5th Feb. „	11,158	11	14,970	...	...	11	14,970	5,531	...	5,531
79	436	Mahamad Arshad Shah Mahamad Atta Shah.	Do. ...	3rd May 1882	2,000	9	3,739	...	...	9	3,739	1,667	...	1,667
80	448	Mahamad Azim Khan Ghulam Mahamad Khan.	Jagirdar ...	8th „ „	1,412	7	1,424	...	...	7	1,424	920	...	†920
81	285	Ghulam Mahamad Lakhiadino	Zamindar...	13th Dec. 1877	2,955	7	2,955	...	...	7	2,955	1,757	...	1,757
82	359	Madad Khan Kurban Khan...	Jagirdar ...	19th Jan. 1882.....	1,726	2	312	...	...	2	312	271	...	271
83	380	Nebahu Haji, Arijo ...	Zamindar...	17th Mar. „	434	3	327	...	...	3	327	140	...	140
84	229	Mouladad Mahamad ...	Do. ...	16th Oct. 1877 ...	944	1	1,047	...	...	1	1,047	150	...	150
85	393	Ruhallah Khan Muso Khan...	Jagirdar ...	24th Mar. 1882	3,503	1	3,834	...	...	1	3,834	3,834	...	3,834
86	369	Abdullah Khan Ghulam Mahamad.	Zamindar.	27th Feb. 1882	2,691	7	3,175	...	...	7	3,175	1,999	...	1,999

2,186	1,796	.....	.....	2,186	1,796	.....	.....	27th Mar. 1883	72	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th February 1884.	
2,294	2,294	.....	.....	2,294	2,294	.....	.....	3rd May „ ...	.....	Do.	15th September 1883.
4,052	4,052	.....	.....	4,052	4,052	.....	.....	1st June 1883	319	Do.	10th November 1884.
3,796	2,527	.....	.....	3,796	2,527	.....	.....	6th „ „ ..... * 14th May 1885 ...	380	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th June 1885.	
2,055	1,115	.....	.....	2,055	1,115	.....	.....	18th June 1883 ..... † 2nd Sept. 1886 ...	190	† Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1887.	
5,690	5,690	.....	.....	5,690	5,690	.....	.....	20th June 1883	84	Do.	30th June 1885.
3,170	1,778	.....	.....	3,170	1,778	.....	.....	26th „ „ ..... ‡ 10th Sept. 1885...	292	‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st March 1886.	
4,259	2,592	.....	.....	4,259	2,592	.....	.....	4th July 1883 ..... * 22nd Dec. 1885 ...	400	* Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1886.	
1,319	884	.....	.....	1,319	884	12	12	28th July 1883	408	*L*	
1,044	1,044	.....	.....	1,044	1,044	.....	.....	4th Aug. 1883 ..... ‡ 24th March 1884..	310	† Rs. 175 covered by a lease. ‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th Feb. 1885.	
5,922	5,922	.....	.....	5,922	5,922	.....	.....	17th Aug. 1883 ...	274	Do.	20th September 1884.
2,927	2,927	260	260	3,187	3,187	1,752	1,492	17th „ „ ...	310	*L*	
815	815	.....	.....	815	815	.....	.....	17th „ „ ..... * 2nd Nov. 1885 ...	250	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th June 1886. * Revised Scheme.	
3,383	3,383	.....	.....	3,383	3,383	2,148	2,148	3rd Sept. 1883.....	330	*L.*	
1,667	1,667	.....	.....	1,667	1,667	.....	.....	3rd „ ...	621	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st January 1884.	
830	806	.....	.....	830	806	.....	.....	14th „ ...	128	† Rs. 90 covered by a lease. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st December 1883.	
1,757	1,757	.....	.....	1,757	1,757	.....	.....	18th „ ... ‡ 15th March 1884..	200	‡ Revised Scheme. Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st March 1884.	
271	271	.....	.....	271	271	.....	.....	9th Oct 1883 ...	216	Do.	Do. 31st October 1883.
140	140	.....	.....	140	140	.....	.....	30th „ ...	142	Do.	Do. 31st July 1885.
150	150	.....	.....	150	150	.....	.....	1st Nov. 1883 ...	.....	Do.	Do. 10th November 1883.
3,834	3,834	.....	.....	3,834	3,834	.....	.....	26th „ ...	483	Do.	Do. 31st January 1884.
1,999	1,999	.....	.....	1,999	1,999	.....	.....	22nd Jan. 1884 ...	526	Do.	Do. 15th February 1884.

87	456	Ghulam Jan Sharifuldin ...	Jagirdar ...	15th May 1882	935	4	973	...	...	4	973	774	...	774
88	195	Mahamad Hasan Ghulam Hyder.	Zamindar...	17th Sept. 1877	2,935	15	3,221	...	..	15	3,221	1,750	...	1,750
89	439	Banho Khan Fatteh Mahamad Khan.	Do. ...	8th May 1882	2,021	4	1,319	...	...	4	1,319	1,231	...	1,231
90	366	Bahawal Khan Mahamad Saleh.	Do. ...	2nd Feb. „	1,813	7	1,886	...	...	7	1,886	1,589	...	1,589
91	149	Wadhio Khan Sobdar Khan...	Do. ...	7th Aug. 1877	3,171	7	3,061	...	...	7	3,061	2,170	...	2,170
92	262	Allah Baksh Nibahindo ...	Do. ..	14th Nov. „	3,527	9	3,246	...	...	9	3,246	2,097	...	2,097
93	352	Alam Khan Said Khan ...	Do. ...	7th „ 1881	2,032	4	2,804	...	...	4	2,804	1,475	...	1,475
94	467	Murid Khan Faiz Mahamad...	Do. ...	19th June 1882	7,442	21	7,985	...	...	21	7,985	5,562	...	5,562
95	279	Mahamad Murad Izat Khan...	Do. ...	7th Dec. 1877	4,894	15	4,564	...	...	15	4,564	2,874	...	2,874
96	465	Mahamad Khan Fatteh Khan.	Do. ...	12th June 1882	3,136	4	2,011	...	...	4	2,011	1,573	...	*1,573
97	437	Atta Mahamad Jhangi ...	Do. ...	3rd May „	2,219	4	2,451	...	...	4	2,451	1,712	...	1,712
98	187	Mouledini, Allah Nawazi. — Widows of Mahamad Panah.	Do. ...	5th Sept. 1877	1,501	8	1,973	...	...	8	1,973	1,134	...	1,134
99	127	Muridali Shah Ghulam Hyder. Shah.	Do. ...	25th July „	4,398	3	3,795	...	...	3	3,795	2,794	...	2,794
100	184	Saidali Shah Budhal Shah ...	Do. ...	5th Sept. „	2,036	7	3,377	...	...	7	3,377	1,605	...	1,605
101	315	Gulan Bakho	Do. ...	11th Feb. 1878	1,143	5	1,325	...	...	5	1,325	367	...	367
102	450	Ali Murad Sahib Khan ...	Do. ...	11th May 1882	5,550	23	7,810	...	...	23	7,810	5,422	...	5,422
103	156	Dost Mahamad Lashkar Khan.	Do. ...	11th Aug. 1877	7,680	8	6,537	...	...	8	6,537	4,977	...	4,977
104	361	Mahamad Saleh Khan Mahamad.	Do. ...	25th June 1882	2,121	9	2,122	...	...	9	2,122	1,664	...	1,664
105	482	Dilshad Khan, Bahawal Khan. — Ali Mahamad Khan	Do. ...	19th Sept. „	4,735	23	7,383	...	...	23	7,383	4,369	...	4,369
106	363	Allahdad Khan Sultan Khan.	Do. ...	30th Jan. „	1,639	7	1,813	...	...	7	1,813	1,145	...	1,145
107	452	Pario Abdul Hakim ..	Do. ...	15th May „	2,926	11	3,603	...	...	11	3,603	2,007	...	2,007
108	408	Ghulam Mahamad Unar and four others.	Do. ...	12th April „	6,409	12	6,662	...	...	12	6,662	4,717	...	4,717
109	404	Mahamad Ahsan Mahamad Khan, ... Sher Khan Nabibaksh ...	Do. ...	5th „ „	12,304	24	14,168	...	...	24	14,168	12,472	...	12,472
110	487	Baho Khan Mehwal ...	Do. ...	12th Oct. „	2,580	12	2,546	...	...	12	2,546	1,815	...	1,815
111	272	Udhal Khair Mahamad ...	Do. ...	28th Nov. 1877	677	2	690	...	...	2	690	469	...	469
112	316	Ghulam Hyder Sobdar Khan.	Do. ...	13th Feb. 1878	4,774	8	4,802	...	...	8	4,802	4,131	...	4,131
113	317	Phatuhal Shahdad ...	Do. ...	13th „ „	9,979	5	10,706	...	...	5	10,706	5,292	...	5,292

774	594	.....	.....	774	594	.....	.....	11th Feb. 1884	.....	125	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1884.		
1,750	1,750	.....	.....	1,750	1,750	.....	.....	12th „	„	740	Do.	Do.	10th May 1884.
1,231	1,231	.....	.....	1,231	1,231	.....	.....	12th „	„	.....	Do.	Do.	10th March 1884.
1,589	1,564	.....	.....	1,589	1,564	.....	.....	26th Mar.	„	150	Do.	Do.	30th November 1885.
2,170	2,170	.....	.....	2,170	2,170	.....	.....	4th April	„	.....	Do.	Do.	15th November 1884.
2,097	1,063	.....	.....	2,097	1,063	.....	.....	23rd „	„	653	*L.*		
1,475	1,125	.....	.....	1,475	1,125	.....	.....	30th „	„	305	Management terminated under Section 26 from 20th December 1884.		
5,562	5,562	.....	.....	5,562	5,562	.....	.....	10th May	„	350	Do.	Do.	15th May 1885.
2,874	2,300	.....	.....	2,874	2,300	.....	.....	13th „	„	587	Do.	Do.	31st July 1884.
948	948	.....	.....	948	948	400	400	22nd „	„	360	*L.* * Rs. 225 covered by a lease.		
1,712	1,712	.....	.....	1,712	1,712	.....	.....	6th June	„	237	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1884.		
1,134	1,134	.....	.....	1,134	1,134	.....	.....	14th July	„	.....	Do.	Do.	31st July 1886.
2,794	2,794	.....	.....	2,794	2,794	.....	.....	13th Aug.	„	.....	Do.	Do.	15th June 1885.
1,605	1,605	.....	.....	1,605	1,605	.....	.....	30th Sept.	„	.....	Do.	Do.	15th October 1885.
367	367	.....	.....	367	367	.....	.....	8th Oct.	„	.....	Do.	Do.	31st October 1884.
5,376	2,687	.....	.....	5,376	2,687	46	46	16th Dec.	„	560	*L.*		
4,977	4,977	.....	.....	4,977	4,977	.....	.....	31st „	„	650	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th July 1885.		
1,664	1,485	.....	.....	1,664	1,485	.....	.....	6th Jan. 1885	.....	215	Do.	Do.	30th June 1885.
4,369	4,369	.....	.....	4,369	4,369	.....	.....	7th March	„	143	Do.	Do.	31st January 1886.
1,145	1,145	.....	.....	1,145	1,145	.....	.....	12th „	„	118	Do.	Do.	31st July 1885.
2,007	2,007	.....	.....	2,007	2,007	.....	.....	16th „	„	.....	Do.	Do.	31st July 1885.
4,717	3,978	.....	.....	4,717	3,978	.....	.....	18th „	„	1,117	Do.	Do.	31st July 1885.
9,238	9,238	1,385	1,385	10,623	10,623	3,234	1,849	19th „	„	209	*L.*		
1,815	1,815	.....	.....	1,815	1,815	.....	.....	4th April	„	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 30th November 1885.		
469	469	.....	.....	469	469	.....	.....	4th „	„	60	Do.	Do.	31st July 1885.
4,131	4,131	.....	.....	4,131	4,131	.....	.....	9th May	„	110	Do.	Do.	31st July 1885.
5,292	4,000	.....	.....	5,292	4,000	.....	.....	12th „	„	350	*L.*		

114	202	Yar Mahamad Mahamad Hassan.	Zamindar...	25th Sept. 1877	...	1,244	12	7,783	...	...	12	7,783	864	...	864
115	351	Karim Baksh Ali Baksh ...	Do.	5th Nov. 1881	...	4,010	13	5,415	...	...	13	5,415	1,332	...	1,332
116	111 112	Allah Obhayo Parial, Darhun Khan Ghulam Mahamed.	Do.	13th July 1877	...	19,981	18	20,415	...	...	18	20,415	17,323	...	17,323
117	469	Khair Mahamad Said Khan...	Do.	29th June 1882	...	1,220	13	2,678	...	...	13	2,678	1,339	...	1,339
118	451	Bakar Khan Mahawal Khan...	Do.	15th May „	...	3,630	7	2,831	...	...	7	2,831	2,195	...	2,195
119	356	Mahamad Sadik Mir Mahamad	Do.	17th Jany. „	...	2,121	12	4,372	...	...	12	4,372	2,747	...	2,747
120	474	Gibir Mahrab ...	Do.	11th Aug. „	...	2,128	7	1,458	...	...	7	1,458	707	...	707
121	390	Mir Mahamad Khair Mahamad.	Do.	20th March „	...	1,500	5	4,263	...	...	5	4,263	2,368	...	2,368
122	365	Hasan Fatteh Mahamad ..	Do.	2nd Feb. „	...	1,742	2	864	...	...	2	864	513	...	513
123	378	Fatteh Mahamad Shaffi Mahamad } Mahamad Khan.	Do.	13th Mar. „	...	22,270	23	26,909	...	...	23	26,909	13,963	...	13,963
124	447	Lal Mahamad Khan Rajib Ali Khan.	Do. ...	8th May „	...	3,238	6	2,742	...	...	6	2,742	1,287	...	1,287
						10,33,324	1487	12,50,060	...	.....	1,487	12,50,060	6,45,377	.....	6,45,377
125	*14*	*Bakshan Lutif ...*	*Do. .....*	*21st Feb. 1877*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
126	*88*	*Mahamad Bakar Ahmad Khan*	*Do. .....*	*16th June „*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	..	.....	.....	...	...
127	*90*	*Said Khan Murid Khan ...*	*Do. .....*	*22nd „ „*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
128	*99*	*Dostali Ahmad Khan ...*	*Do. ... ..*	*30th „ „*	..	... ..	...	.....	...	..	...	.....	.....	...	...
129	*101*	*Khan Mahamad Faiz Mahamad.*	*Do. .....*	*30th „ „*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
130	*120*	*Shah Mahamad Sher Mahamad.*	*Do. .....*	*16th July „*	...	*1,673*	*20*	*2,068*	...	...	*20*	*2,068*	*1,505*	...	*1,505*
131	*121*	*Aizam Khan Mubarak Khan..*	*Do. .....*	*16th „ „*	...	*1,796*	*17*	*2,203*	...	...	*17*	*2,203*	*1,630*	...	*1,630*
132	*122*	*Fatteh Mahamad Mubarak Khan.*	*Do. .....*	*16th „ „*	...	*1,608*	*14*	*1,563*	...	...	*14*	*1,563*	*1,305*	...	*1,305*
133	*141*	*Suharo Arzi Khan ...*	*Do. .....*	*3rd Aug. „*	...	*2,122*	*3*	*1,512*	...	...	*3*	*1,512*	*760*	...	*760*
134	*147*	*Mahamad Khan Khudabaksh..*	*Do. .....*	*7th „ „*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	...
135	*181*	*Khudabaksh Aizam Khan ...*	*Do. .....*	*31st „ „*	...	*1,252*	*14*	*2,172*	...	...	*14*	*2,172*	*1,532*	...	*1,532*
136	*186*	*Islam Khan Azim Khan ..*	*Do. .....*	*5th Sept. „*	...	*1,800*	*8*	*1,880*	...	...	*8*	*1,880*	*1,137*	...	*1,137*
137	*194*	*Rahim Khan Muso Khan ...*	*Do. .....*	*15th „ „*	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	...	.....	...	...	.....
138	*201*	*Abubakar Jial ...*	*Do. .....*	*25th „ „*	...	*966*	*5*	*2,401*	...	..	*5*	*2,401*	*2,021*	...	*2,021*
139	*203*	*Akhtiarkhan Jagan Khan ...*	*Do. .....*	*25th „ „*	..	*3,751*	*11*	*2,154*	...	...	*11*	*2,154*	*1,339*	...	*1,339*

864	864	.....	.....	864	864	.....	.....	19th May 1885	114	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th September 1885.	
1,332	1,332	.....	.....	1,332	1,332	.....	.....	23rd „ „	335	Do.	Do. 31st July 1885.
17,323	17,323	.....	.....	17,323	17,323	.....	.....	27th July „	.....	* Revised Scheme.	
								*1st Dec. „		Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st March 1886.	
1,339	1,339	.....	.....	1,339	1,339	.....	.....	17th Aug. „	525	Do.	Do. 30th November 1885.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,195	2,195	24th Feb. 1886	300	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,747	2,747	9th Mar. „	350	*L.*	
707	707	.....	.....	707	707	.....	.....	12th „ „	150	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th September 1886.	
2,368	2,368	.....	.....	2,368	2,368	.....	.....	20th „ „	260	Do.	Do. 31st July 1886.
.....	.....	513	513	513	513	513	.....	29th July „	.....	Do.	Do. 10th December 1886.
.....	.....	141	141	141	141	.....	13,822	1st April 1887.....	1,500	*L.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,287	3rd June „	400	*L. P.*	
5,86,716	4,97,846	17,488	13,858	6,04,204	5,11,704	36,307	34,069				
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 1st November 1877.*	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 27th October 1877.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 3rd April 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 27th October 1877.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 1st August 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 16th August 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 31st August 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 26th March 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 1st November 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 9th February 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 15th April 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 1st June 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 9th February 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 16th July 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do.*	*Do. 1st December 1883.*

140	231	Jan Muhamad Khan Muhamad.	Jagirdar ...	17th Oct. 1877 ...	4,516	6	5,174	...	...	6	5,174	2,926	...	2,926
141	246	Hamzali Shah Gousali Shah...	Do. ..	31st „ „ ...	2,249	7	2,947	...	...	7	2,947	1,295	...	1,295
142	295	Rahim Khan Khudabaksh ...	Zamindar.	2nd Jan. 1878 ...	.....	...	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	...	.....
143	306	Wali Mahamad Allahdino ..	Do. ...	28th „ „ ...	.....	...	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	...	.....
144	320	Dital Khan Agham ...	Do. ...	13th Feb. „ ...	1,774	5	2,881	...	...	5	2,881	1,140	...	1,140
145	337	Sarfraz Khan Bahadur Khan.	Do. ...	23rd Mar. „ ...	9,045	5	17,310	...	...	5	17,310	7,630	...	7,630
146	346	Allahrakhio Ramzan ...	Do.	26th Aug. „ ...	1,010	4	936	...	...	4	936	427	...	427
147	382	Ahmad Allahwarrayo ...	Do.	17th Mar. 1882 ...	1,603	11	2,277	...	...	11	2,277	1,220	...	1,220
148	394	Rawat Khan Shah Nawaz Khan.	Jagirdar ...	24th „ „ ...	3,240	1	211	...	...	1	211	.....	...	.....
149	427	Mahamadali Khan Arzi Khan	Do. ...	25th April „ ...	3,399	4	21,826	...	...	4	21,826	9,644	...	9,644
150	434	Mahamud Allahrakhio ...	Do.	3rd May „ ...	1,300	1	1,127	...	..	1	1,127	700	...	700
151	464	Mittu Khan / Jaffar Khan } Fatteh Khan	Do. ...	3rd June 1882	6,390	15	7,348	...	...	15	7,348	4,491	...	4,491
152	477	Umedali Dostali / Dostali Fazul Mahamad }	Do.	25th Aug. „ ...	7,645	...	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	...	.....
153	480	Ali Baksh Mir Mahamud Khan.	Do.	12th Sept. „ ...	6,318	25	8,707	...	...	25	8,707	6,159	....	6,159
154	486	Sajan Nawab / Pingrio Pingrio Nawab. }	Do.	12th Oct. „ ...	2,460	5	3,183	...	...	5	3,183	.....	...	.....
					65,922	181	89,880	...	...	181	89,880	46,861	...	46,861
		FRONTIER DISTRICT.												
1	79	Pir Baksh Peroz Khan / Mahamadali Pirbaksh }	Zamindar...	6th June 1877 ...	32,578	21	54,255	...	...	21	54,255	18,591	...	18,591
2	298	Ali Baksh, Chand ...	Do. ...	11th Jan. 1878	14,913	3	24,136	...	...	3	24,136	200	...	200
3	305	Khair Shah Gaffur Shah ...	Do. ...	28th „ „ ...	1,487	4	1,585	...	...	4	1,585	1,237	...	1,237
4	371	Jaffar Khan Ghazi Khan ...	Do. ...	27th Feb. 1882 ...	889	1	214	...	...	1	214	.....	...	.....
5	226	Silleman Chandan ...	Do. ...	12th Oct. 1877 ...	1,672	3	3,460	...	...	3	3,460	1,282	...	1,282
6	225	Muso Khan Alimurad :	Do. ...	11th „ „ ...	9,046	8	7,804	...	...	8	7,804	4,975	...	4,975
7	372	Shahbeg Khan Mahamad Salel	Do. ..	27th Feb. 1882	6,570	22	7,044	...	...	22	7,044	5,094	...	5,094
8	227	Faiz Mahamad Dur Mahamad Khan.	Do. ...	13th Oct. 1877	5,124	8	17,901	...	...	8	17,901	9,036	...	9,036

.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from July 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 1st March 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 4th May 1878.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 12th May 1879.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 31st July 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 1st December 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 16th October 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 29th February 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 1st August 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 31st March 1884.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 10th February 1886.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*L.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 31st March 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 23rd December 1885.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	*Do. Do. 31st July 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....	
18,591	18,550	.....	.....	18,591	18,550	.....	.....	7th Oct. 1879	10,144	Management terminated under Section 26 from 10th May 1887.
200	200	.....	.....	200	200	.....	.....	17th Mar. 1882	1,509	Management terminated under Section 26 from 31st July 1882.
1,237	932	.....	.....	1,237	932	.....	.....	19th Aug. „	500	Do. Do. 31st July 1884.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	24th Feb. 1883	.....	Do. Do. 15th March 1883.
372	372	910	910	1,282	1,282	910	.....	9th June „	350	Do. Do. 20th October 1886.
.....	.....	4,975	3,267	4,975	3,267	4,975	.....	13th „ „	1,000	Do. Do. 31st January 1887.
4,635	3,080	.....	.....	4,635	3,080	459	459	27th Feb. 1884	2,000	*L.*
9,036	8,500	.....	.....	9,036	8,500	.....	.....	12th May „	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th September 1884.

9	228	Nur Mahamad Mahamad Khan.	Zamindar...	13th Oct. 1877	5,124	8	17,901	...	...	8	17,901	9,036	...	9,036
10	286	Ghulamali Khuda Baksh ...	Do. ..	13th Dec. „	6,230	8	10,012	...	..	8	10,012	7,466	...	7,466
11	420	Karam Khan Sher Mahamad Khan.	Do. ...	19th April 1882	8,166	8	7,535	...	...	8	7,535	5,644	...	5,644
12	348	Khan Mahamad Amir Baksh...	Do. ...	26th August 1878 ...	6,762	6	9,006	...	...	6	9,006	3,677	...	3,667
13	407	Fatteh Khan Hasan Khan ...	Do. ...	15th April 1882	9,794	3	13,810	...	...	3	13,810	6,033	...	6,033
14	454	Hyder Shah Karam Shah ..	Do. ...	15th May „	640	4	1,634	...	...	4	1,634	669	...	669
15	364	Bachal Khan Mouledino Khan.	Do.	2nd Feb. „	6,440	4	7,421	...	...	4	7,421	4,804	...	4,804
16	232, 233	Allahrakhio, Nasurallah. Rahimdad ...	Do. ...	17th Oct. 1877	42,711	13	57,580	...	...	13	57,580	16,262	...	16,262
17	415	Rasul Baksh Baksho Khan ...	Do. ...	19th April 1882	12,670	6	23,326	...	...	6	23,326	5,475	...	5,475
					1,70,816	130	2,64,624	...	...	130	2,64,624	99,471	...	99,471
18	*376*	*Karim Baksh Husen Khan ...*	*Do.*	*... 8th Mar. 1882 .....*	*7,000*	*1*	*16,133*	...	...	*1*	*16,133*	*7,170*	...	*7,170*
19	*377*	*Husen Khan Kambar Khan...*	*Do.*	*... 8th „ „ .....*	*7,000*	*1*	*16,133*	...	...	*1*	*16,133*	7,170	..	*7,170*
20	*381*	*Khan Mahamad Baloch Khan.*	*Do.*	*... 17th „ „ .....*	*3,400*	*2*	*5,791*	...	...	*2*	*5,791*	*3,188*	...	*3,188*
21	*385*	*Shahdad Khan Khairo Khan.*	*Do.*	*... 17th „ „ .....*	*5,000*	...	...	...	...	...	.....	.....	...	.....
					22,400	4	38,057	...	...	4	38,057	17,528	...	17,528

DIX I.

those in which Liquidation Schemes have been sanctioned by the Commissioner in Sind, and showing the results of the year those of the previous years.

Debits compounded.						Amount that remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1886.	Amount still remaining due to Creditors on 31st July 1887.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated annual net Income according to Liquidation Scheme.	Remarks.
Up to the end of 1885-86.		In 1886-87.		Total.						
Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.					
9,036	8,500	...	.....	9,036	8,500	.....	.....	12th May 1884	.....	Management terminated under Section 26 from 15th September 1884.
7,466	5,970	.....	.....	7,466	5,970	.....	.....	17th „ „	273	Do. Do. 15th September 1884.
5,644	5,644	.....	.....	5,644	5,644	.....	.....	29th July 1884 ...	528	Do. Do. 31st July 1886.
...	...	700	700	700	700	3,667	2,967	8th Oct. „	650	*L.*
1,399	1,399	.....	.....	1,399	1,399	4,634	4,634	22nd Nov. „	1,300	*L.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	669	669	26th April 1886.....	150	*L.*
1,882	1,882	.....	.....	1,882	1,882	2,922	2,922	29th May „	650	*L.*
8,262	4,132	8,000	3,200	16,262	7,332	.....	.....	8th Mar. 1887	1,500	*L.*
.....	.....	1,549	1,549	1,549	1,549	.....	3,926	24th „ „	525	*L.*
67,760	59,161	16,134	9,626	83,894	68,787	18,236	15,577	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Management terminated under Section 19 from 1st September 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 1st September 1883.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 31st July 1886.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*Do. Do. 30th September 1882.*
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	

Up to 1885-86.	In 1886-87.	Total reported.	District.	Liabilities.									Debts	
				As estimated by the Owner under Section 6.	Total of Claims registered under Sections 12 and 14.					Total amount admitted by the Manager.			Up to the end of 1885-86.	
					Up to end of 1885-86.		In 1886-87.		Total.					
					Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Up to end of 1885-86.	In 1886-87.	Total.	Amount of debts.	Amount for which settled.
			SUM												
			I.—ESTATES REPORTED ON												
76	...	76	Karachi	3,81,260	552	3,68,130	...	...	552	3,68,130	2,40,761	...	2,40,761	2,29,190	1,65,595
136 – 1* = 135	2	137	Hyderabad	13,69,931	982	16,01,142	...	...	982	16,01,142	9,80,271	...	9,80,271	7,90,786	6,01,454
121 – 1* = 122	2	124	Shikarpur	10,33,324	1,487	12,50,060	...	...	1,487	12,50,060	6,45,377	...	6,45,377	5,86,716	4,97,846
15	2	17	Upper Sind Frontier ...	1,70,816	130	2,64,624	...	...	130	2,64,624	99,471	...	99,471	67,760	59,161
348	6	354	Total...	29,55,331	3,151	34,83,956	...	...	3,151	34,83,956	19,65,880	...	19,65,880	16,74,452	13,24,056
216+	26	242	*Deduct*—Estates relinquished under Section 26:—												
132	...	112	Balance under management at the end of the year.												

II.—UNDER MANAGEMENT

At the end of 1885-86.	At the end of 1886-87.										Claims remaining at the end of 1885-86.	
											No.	Amount
3	1	Karachi	85,515	102	95,589	..	...	102	95,589	61,926	...	61,926	...	...
3	1	Hyderabad	68,334	75	62,422	...	...	75	62,422	29,607	...	29,609	...	...
3	1	Shikarpur	65,922	181	89,880	...	...	181	89,880	46,861	...	46,861	...	...
2	.....	Upper Sind Frontier ...	22,400	4	38,057	...	...	4	38,057	17,528	...	17,528	...	...
11	3	Total...	2,24,171	362	2,85,948	...	...	362	2,85,948	1,55,924	...	1,55,924	...	...
143	115	Grand Total...	31,97,502	3,513	37,69,904	...	...	3,513	37,69,904	21,21,804	...	21,21,804	...	...

III.—DETAILS OF INDEBTEDNESS OF

District.	Number in which no claim admitted.	Number under Rs. 1,000, average 550.	Number under Rs. 2,500. average 1,685.	Number under Rs. 5,000, average 3,659.	Number under Rs. 10,000, average 6,718.
Karachi	4	3	5	11	5
Hyderabad	2	10	20	9	8
Shikarpur	.....	2	11	7	9
Upper Sind Frontier	.....	1	1	3	3
Total ...	6	16	37	30	25

* One estate belonging to Ghulam Hyder Khattian (No. 11) transferred from

DIX I—*continued.*

Compounded. In 1886-87. Amount of Debts.	Compounded. In 1886-87. Amount for which settled.	Compounded. Total. Amount of Debts.	Compounded. Total. Amount for which settled.	Amount that remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1886.	Amount still remaining due to Creditors on 31st July 1887.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated annual net income according to the Liquidation Scheme.	Of Estates reported under Section 17.				Remarks.
MARY.												
UNDER SECTION 17.								Appeals.				
								Up to 1885-86.			In 1886-87.	
								Modified.	Rejected.	Total.	Modified.	Rejected.
5,080	5,080	2,34,270	1,76,675	8,497	3,417			...	7	7	...	...
27,677	23,699	8,18,463	6,25,153	60,290	47,190			3	3	6	...	...
17,488	13,858	6,04,204	5,11,704	36,307	34,069			5	38	43	...	...
16,134	9,626	83,894	68,787	18,236	15,577			1	4	5	...	...
66,379	52,263	17,40,831	13,76,319	1,23,330	1,00,253			9	52	61	...	...

Of Estates reported under Section 17.				Remarks.
Estates relinquished under Section 26.		Estates remaining under Management.		
Up to 1885-86.	In 1886-87.	Up to 1885-86.	In 1886-87.	
47	9	29	20	Rs. 3,074 covered by leases.
74	7	62–1*=61	56	Rs. 1,14,618 Do.
88	7	33+1*=34	29	Rs. 7,104 Do.
7	3	8	7	
216	26	132	112	Rs. 1,24,796 covered by leases.

BUT NOT REPORTED.

Undisposed of. At the end of 1886-87. No.	Undisposed of. At the end of 1886-87. Amount.	Appeals. Up to 1885-86. Modified.	Rejected.	Total.	In 1886-87. Modified.	Rejected.	Total.
...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	2	1	3	...	...	...
...	...	2	2	4	...	...	...
...	...	11	54	65	...	...	...

ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

Number under Rs. 50,000, average 20,860.	Number over Rs. 50,000, average 1,02,124.	Number of Estates under management during 1886-87.	*Deduct* Estates relinquished in 1886-87. Under Section 19.	Under Section 26.	Total.	Number of Estates remaining under Management on 31st July 1887.
4	...	32	2	9	11	21
11	4	65–1*=64	...	7	7	57
7	1	36+1*=37	...	7	7	30
2	...	10	...	3	3	7
24	5	143	2	26	28	115

Hyderabad to Shikarpur District.

C. E. S. STEELE,
Manager.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing the result of the working of the Sind Encumbered Estates Act up to 31*st July* 1887, *distinguishing the results arrived at up to* 31*st July* 1886 *from those of the current year* 1886-87 *ending* 31*st July* 1887.

District.	Number of Estates for which orders of Management have issued.			Number of Estates of which the Management has ceased.				Number of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1886.	Number of Estates remaining under Management on 31st July 1887.	Total awarded to Creditors.		Paid to Creditors up to 31st July 1887.								Amount that remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1886.	Amount remaining to be paid to Creditors on 31st July 1887.	Account of Government Advances.						Remarks.
				By order under Section 19.		By order under Section 26.						By advance from Government.		By collection from Estates.		Total.											
																Amount actually paid.		Amount settled.								
	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Total.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.			Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.			Amount outstanding on 31st July 1886.	Advance in 1886-87.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1887.	Total Advances with interest.	Amount repaid during 1886-87.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1887.	
Karachi ...	106	...	106	27	2	47	9	32	21	3,02,687	.....	77,117	.....	88,478	5,080	1,65,595	5,080	2,29,190	5,080	73,497	68,417	58,227 *1,319*	...	2,767	62,313	18,703	41,912 *1,608*	
Hyderabad ...	* 161—1=160	...	160	22	...	74	7	64	57	* 10,09,880	.....	4,43,660	10,220	1,24,003	13,479	6,01,454	23,699	7,90,786	27,677	2,19,094	1,91,417	2,31,473 *87*	10,220	11,228	2,53,008	64,584	1,88,323 *101*	
Shikarpur ...	* 153+1=154	...	154	29	...	88	7	37	30	6,92,238	.....	1,98,096	6,500	3,33,541	7,353	4,97,846	13,858	5,96,716	17,488	1,05,522	88,034	1,11,243 *425*	6,500	5,226	1,23,394	30,352	92,485 *557*	
Frontier ...	21	...	21	4	...	7	3	10	7	1,16,999	.....	10,370	2,870	48,791	6,756	59,161	9,626	67,760	16,134	49,239	33,105	10,570	2,870	484	13,924	4,129	9,795	
Total ...	441	...	441	82	2	216	26	143	115	21,21,804	.....	7,29,243	19,590	5,94,813	32,673	13,24,056 †	52,263	16,74,452 †	66,379	4,47,352	3,80,973 ‡	4,11,513 *1,831* ‖	19,590	19,705	4,52,639	1,17,868	3,32,515 *2,266*	

1. * One estate belonging to Ghulam Hyder Khattian, No. 11, was transferred from Hyderabad to Shikarpur District.
2. † For the difference between this and the last year's figures *vide* remarks against serial No. 21, Shikarpur District.
3. ‡ Of this Rs. 1,24,796 are covered by leases and Rs. 1,36,768 are the debts of the estates relinquished under Section 19, so that the actual sum remaining to be paid to creditors on 31st July 1887 is Rs. 1,19,409.
4. ‖ The figure 1,834 in the last year's Report was a mistake, it should have been 1,831.

C. E. STEELE,
Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX III.

Account of Loans of Jagirdars and Zamindars whose estates are under

No.	No. of Estates.	Name of owners.	Government Loan.					Repaid.		
			Date from which interest is calculated.	Balance outstanding on 31st March 1886.	Amount drawn in 1886-87.		Total Rupees.	Up to 31st March 1886.	During 1886-87.	Total Rupees.
					Date of drawing.	Amount.				
		KARACHI.								
1	16	Abdullah Shah Izat Ali Shah.	1st April 1886	3,135 o o	.....	...	3,135 o o	715 o o	265 o o *370 0 0*	1,350 o o
2	21	Gauban Shah Habib Shah..	Do.	5,090 o o	.....	...	5,090 o o	3,075 o o	690 o o	3,765 o o
3	24 — 349	Hussen Ali Shah and Ghulam Ali Shah } Sabur Ali Shah.	Do.	5,660 o o	.....	...	5,660 o o	740 o o	.....	740 o o
4	26	Diwan Wadhuram Gianchand.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,450 o o	.....	1,450 o o
5	27	Mahamad Kasim, Sabun Gul Mahamad, Yakub ...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,000 o o	.....	1,000 o o
6	29	Roshan Ali Shah Lalfikar Ali Shah.	Do.	2,211 13 2	.....	...	2,211 13 2 †491 14 9	288 2 10	.....	288 2 10
7	31	Jaffar Khan Daria Khan...	Do.	2,245 o o	.....	...	2,245 o o	3,055 o o	795 o o *225 0 0*	4,075 o o
8	37	Kambar Ali Shah Hasim Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	650 o o	.....	650 o o
9	38	Misri Khan Tahir Khan ...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	3,500 o o	.....	3,500 o o
10	41	Ali Mahamad Wali Mahamad.	Do.	636 4 3	.....	...	636 4 3 †100 4 7	563 11 9	.....	563 11 9
11	42	Bahadur Ali Shah Ali Shah.	Do.	1,000 o o	.....	...	1,000 0 0	1,000 o o	100 o o *200 0 0*	1,300 o o
12	50	Kadu Bachal	Do.	205 o o	.....	...	205 o o	695 o o	*105 0 0*	800 o o
13	61	Alimir Shah Abdul Karim Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	600 o o	.....	600 o o
14	62	Mahab Ali Mirza Bakar ...	Do.	760 o o	.....	...	760 o o	540 o o	190 o o	730 o o
15	63	Chutto Khan Farid Khan. .	Do.	9,550 o o	.....	...	9,550 o 0	6,130 o o	*1,300 0 0*	7,430 o o
16	65	Bachal Khan Lashkar Khan	Do.	300 o o	.....	...	300 o o	.....	*80 0 0*	80 o o
17	66	Sardar Shah Kaim Shah ...	Do.	1,000 o o	.....	...	1,000 o o	650 o o	.....	650 o o
18	66A	Ghulam Rassul Shah Kaim Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	3,880 o o	.....	3,880 o o
19	72	Silleman Allu	Do.	230 o o	... ..	...	230 o o	120 o o	40 o o *40 0 0*	200 o o
20	73	Darianomal Janjimal	Do.	2,100 o o	.....	...	2,100 o o	600 o o	125 o o	725 o o
21	74	Mahamad Hassan Umed Ali Sanjar Ghulam Hussen.	Do.	580 o o	.....	...	580 o o	570 o o	*580 0 0*	1,150 o o
22	75	Menghraj Thawardas	Do.	500 o o	.....	...	500 o o †124 0 3	.....	.....	.....
23	83	Ghulam Mahamad Daud...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,000 o o	.....	1,000 o o
24	84	Khair Mahamad Malluk ...	Do.	700 o o	.....	...	700 o o †193 6 4	.....	.....	.....
25	86	Ghulam Nabi Shah Silleman Shah	Do.	375 o o	.....	...	375 o o	125 o o	.....	125 o o
26	106	Hotumal Ailmal	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,650 o o	.....	1,650 o o
27	108	Sumar Mar	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	400 o o	.....	400 o o
28	109	Kadir Baksh Shah Ali Mahamad Shah.	Do.	1,755 o o	.....	...	1,755 o o	.....	205 o o *100 0 0*	305 o o
29	114	Makhdum Ibrahim Abdul Karim.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	800 o o	.....	800 o o
30	115	Saindino Mahamad Raffi Moulvi.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,900 o o	.....	1,900 o o
31	129	Makhdum Nur Mahamad Yakub.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,200 o o	.....	1,200 o o
32	131	Jan Mahamad Shah Ghulam Mahamad Shah.	Do.	1,855 o o	.....	...	1,855 o o	645 0 0	155 o o *100 0 0*	900 o o
33	132	Ukirmal Dewraj	Do.	180 o o	.....	...	180 o o †28 5 11	770 o o	.....	770 o o

2,500	0	0	142	7	2	775	8	6	163	9	6	939	2	0	83	3	5	2,583	3	5	Paid on 13th December 1886.
4,400	0	0	231	8	6	667	15	6	207	4	11	875	4	5	145	15	5	4,545	15	5	
5,660	0	0	283	0	0	1,398	3	5	283	0	0	1,681	3	5	188	6	7	5,848	6	7	
.....			.....			372	6	11	.....			372	6	11	.....			.....			
.....			.....			202	15	11	.....			202	15	11	.....			.....			
2,211	13	2	126	15	5	291	12	6	.....			291	12	6	569	12	10	2,781	10	0	
1,225	0	0	82	7	4	994	7	8	116	6	7	1,110	14	3	40	12	5	1,265	12	5	Do. Do.
.....			.....			80	1	9	.....			80	1	9	.....			.....			
.....			.....			479	14	7	.....			479	14	7	.....			.....			
636	4	3	35	2	5	200	3	0	.....			200	3	0	123	2	0	759	6	3	
700	0	0	43	11	5	438	14	5	53	11	2	492	9	7	23	4	11	723	4	11	Do. Do.
100	0	0	8	11	2	171	12	6	12	3	1	183	15	7	3	5	3	103	5	3	Do. Do.
.....			.....			40	0	5	.....			40	0	5	.....			.....			
570	0	0	31	10	10	182	13	9	38	0	0	220	13	9	18	15	8	588	15	8	
8,250	0	0	465	11	11	3,188	14	6	509	0	4	3,697	14	10	274	9	10	8,524	9	10	Paid on 24th January 1887.
220	0	0	12	10	10	80	0	3	15	5	5	95	5	8	7	5	2	227	5	2	Paid on 31st August 1886.
1,000	0	0	50	0	0	273	9	3	50	0	0	323	9	3	33	4	7	1,033	4	7	
.....			.....			612	10	8	.....			612	10	8	.....			.....			
150	0	0	9	9	3	87	5	7	12	3	10	99	9	5	4	15	11	154	15	11	
1,975	0	0	100	13	5	467	10	10	105	0	0	572	10	10	65	11	11	2,040	11	11	Paid on 13th December 1886.
.....			26	12	5	230	12	11	46	1	4	276	14	3	.....			.....			Paid on 3rd March 1887.
500	0	0	28	0	5	72	2	8	112	9	0	184	11	8	1	2	0	501	2	0	
.....			.....			228	5	7	.....			228	5	7	.....			.....			
700	0	0	41	7	0	81	11	2	.....			81	11	2	158	0	4	858	0	4	
375	0	0	18	12	0	127	1	8	18	12	0	145	13	8	12	7	9	387	7	9	
.....			.....			263	14	2	.....			263	14	2	.....			.....			
.....			.....			39	15	0	.....			39	15	0	.....			.....			
1,450	0	0	79	7	2	320	0	11	72	15	2	393	0	1	48	4	4	1,498	4	4	
.....			.....			98	14	8	.....			98	14	8	.....			.....			Paid on 13th December 1886.
.....			.....			527	12	11	.....			527	12	11	.....			.....			
.....			.....			300	0	0	.....			300	0	0	.....			.....			
1,600	0	0	86	1	9	332	7	8	94	9	7	427	1	3	53	4	2	1,653	4	2	
180	0	0	9	15	1	181	13	11	.....			181	13	11	35	8	5	215	8	5	Do. Do.

34	135	Hemraj Jetho.....	1st April 1886	.....		.....	...	.....		580	0	0	.....		580	0	0
35	136	Nur Mahamad Jiandal Jamote	Do.	.....		.....	...	.....		500	0	0	.....		500	0	0
36	143	Partabrai Lekhraj.....	Do.	1,700	0	0	.....	...	1,700 0 0 †22 11 3	600	0	0	.....		600	0	0
37	152	Gopaldas Hardasmal.....	Do.	1,019	11	6	.....	...	1,019 11 6 †224 0 0	80	4	6	.....		80	4	6
38	154	Abdul Hussen, Niaz Hussen, Khuda Baksh } Dhani Baksh Shah.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	400	0	0	.....		400	0	0
39	162	Dato Khan Sher Khan.....	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	275	0	0	.....		275	0	0
40	171 — 172	Ali Baksh, and Jam Shah, } Maledino Shah.	Do.	10,800	0	0	.....	...	10,800 0 0	4,860	0	0	1,000 0 0 *300 0 0* *2,000 0 0*		8,160	0	0
41	188	Imam Baksh Ali Baksh ...	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	250	0	0	.....		250	0	0
42	204	Sabu Ghulam.....	Do.	337	6	9	.....	...	337 6 9 †16 13 11	362	9	3	*80 0 0*		442	9	3
43	205	Ishak Chutto.....	Do.	337	6	9	.....	...	337 6 9 †16 13 11	362	9	3	*80 0 0*		442	9	3
44	213	Allahwarayo Laikdino	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	400	0	0	.....		400	0	0
45	217	Lal Baksh Ghulam Mahamad Jamote.	Do.	984	2	5	.....	...	984 2 5 †100 14 0	415	13	7	.....		415	13	7
46	230	Hamir Tajan Sumro.....	Do.	850	0	0	.....	...	850 0 0	425	0	0	50 0 0		475	0	0
47	242	Sakina widow of Khalifo Ahmed.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	600	0	0	.....		600	0	0
48	250	Utumal Mulomal	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	300	0	0	.....		300	0	0
49	259	Sachedino Haji Abdullah...	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	250	0	0	.....		250	0	0
50	283	Pahlumal Tikiomal	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	220	0	0	.....		220	0	0
51	311 — 322	Murijsing Harchandmal, and Gopalsing Gurbamal,	Do.	1,470 1,030	0 0	0 0	.....	...	1,470 0 0 1,030 0 0	.....			*500 0 0* *530 0 0*		1,030	0	0
52	339	Kiratrai Diwanising.....	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	200	0	0	.....		200	0	0
53	397	Kadu Chatto.....	Do.	750	0	0	.....	...	750 0 0	850	0	0	*750 0 0*		1,600	0	0
54	398	Ahmed Chatto	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	2,300	0	0	.....		2,300	0	0
55	405	Mahamad Rahim Shah Mahamad Shah.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	3,500	0	0	.....		3,500	0	0
56	419	Dhani Baksh Shah Allahdad Shah.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	200	0	0	.....		200	0	0
57	425	Allahdino Shah Hassan Ali Shah.	Do.	1,110	0	0	.....	...	1,110 0 0	90	0	0	90 0 0 *70 0 0*		250	0	0
58	429	Auwar Ali Mahamad Raffi.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	400	0	0	.....		400	0	0
59	430	Ismail Shah Mahamad Shah	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	300	0	0	.....		300	0	0
60	435	Khuda Baksh and Mahamad Khan } Chanas.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	800	0	0	.....		800	0	0
61	458	Mahamad Murad Shah Juman Shah.	Do.	555	0	0	.....	...	555 0 0	.....			*555 0 0*		555	0	0
62	459	Mirzo Khan Umed Ali Khan.	Do.	650	0	0	.....	...	650 0 0	.....			70 0 0 *50 0 0*		120	0	0
63	461	Yar Mahamad Khan Chutto Khan.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	100	0	0	.....		100	0	0
64	473	Shekh Bakadar Shekh Usman.	Do.	1,460	0	0	.....	...	1,460 0 0	400	0	0	370 0 0		770	0	0
65	475	Ghulam Hussen and Mahamad Khan } Gul	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....	100	0	0	.....		100	0	0

.....	.....	62 9 2	.....	52 9 2	.....	.....		
.....	.....	118 4 3	.....	118 4 3	.....	.....		
1,700 0 0	85 1 7	296 15 8	82 12 10	379 12 6	56 9 4	1,756 9 4		
1,019 11 6	58 7 1	123 6 2	.....	123 6 2	257 12 4	1,277 7 10		
.....	.....	43 11 5	.....	43 11 5	.....	.....		
.....	.....	18 5 6	.....	18 5 6	.....	.....		
7,500 0 0	482 4 5	3,307 13 9	592 1 11	3,899 15 8	249 10 7	7,749 10 7	Paid on 13th December 1886. Paid on 17th January 1887.	
.....	.....	3 7 4	.....	3 7 4	.....	.....		
257 6 9	16 11 11	160 1 0	19 6 6	179 7 6	8 4 3	265 11 0	Paid on 7th February 1887.	
257 6 9	16 11 11	160 1 0	19 6 6	179 7 6	8 4 3	265 11 0	Do. Do.	
.....	.....	26 11 0	.....	26 11 0	.....	.....		
984 2 5	52 9 0	302 15 11	.....	302 15 11	136 2 9	1,120 5 2		
800 0 0	40 13 4	117 0 1	42 8 0	159 8 1	26 8 4	826 8 4		
.....	.....	100 0 0	.....	100 0 0	.....	.....		
.....	.....	2 8 9	.....	2 8 9	.....	.....		
.....	.....	54 3 10	.....	54 3 10	.....	.....		
.....	.....	40 10 2	.....	40 10 2	.....	.....		
1,470 0 0	119 4 4	666 12 6	153 9 0	820 5 6	48 14 10	1,518 14 10	Paid on 17th January 1887. Paid on 21st March 1887.	
.....	.....	13 5 6	.....	13 5 6	.....	.....		
.....	8 5 2	97 7 5	33 4 7	130 12 0	.....	.....	Paid on 20th June 1886.	
.....	.....	86 3 2	.....	86 3 2	.....	.....		
.....	.....	131 2 9	.....	131 2 9	.....	.....		
.....	.....	7 7 11	.....	7 7 11	.....	.....		
950 0 0	51 7 7	67 8 3	56 12 9	124 5 0	31 10 0	981 10 0	Paid on 13th December 1886.	
.....	.....	11 1 3	.....	11 1 3	.....	.....		
.....	.....	11 3 11	.....	11 3 11	.....	.....		
.....	.....	29 15 9	.....	29 15 9	.....	.....		
.....	24 10 2	40 1 1	43 1 9	83 2 10	.....	.....	Paid on 18th February 1887.	
530 0 0	29 6 10	38 2 2	33 6 10	71 9 0	17 10 2	547 10 2	Paid on 13th December 1886.	
.....	.....	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	.....	.....		
1,090 0 0	60 10 11	14 15 11	28 12 10	43 12 9	36 4 6	1,126 4 6		
.....	.....	4 11 0	.....	4 11 0	.....	.....		

66	489	Mandar Khan Karam Ali Khan.	1st April 1886	.....		.....	...	.....		200	0	0	.....		200	0	0
		HYDERABAD.															
67	1	Mir Wali Mahamad Ghulam Mahamad Khan.	Do.	11,610	0	0	.....	...	11,610	0	0	8,390	0	0	1,310 *700*	0 *0*	0 *0*	10,400	0	0
68	3	Ali Murad and Ghulam Ullah } Ahmed Khan.	Do.	6,240	0	0	.....	...	6,240	0	0	17,760	0	0	3,740 *2,500*	0 *0*	0 *0*	24,000	0	0
69	4	Mir Mahamad Khan Wali Mahamad.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			2,000	0	0	.....			2,000	0	0
70	5	Dost Ali Khan Khair Mahamad Nawab.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			7,300	0	0	.....			7,300	0	0
71	5A	Hassan Ali Dost Ali Nawab.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			1,700	0	0	.....			1,700	0	0
72	7	Mir Budho Khan Mahamad Ali Khan.	Do.	27,580	0	0	.....	...	27,580	0	0	8,120	0	0	1,580 *1,600*	0 *0*	0 *0*	11,300	0	0
73	8	Bakadar Shah Ali Akbar Shah.	Do.	3,385	0	0	.....	...	3,385	0	0	6,615	0	0	.....			6,615	0	0
74	9	Wali Mahamad Ghulam Ali Khan.	Do.	1,190	0	0	.....	...	1,190	0	0	410	0	0	.....			410	0	0
75	10	Miran Mahamad Shah Mahamad Shah.	Do.	.....			2nd Feb. 1887	6,400	6,400	0	0	4,800	0	0	.....			4,800	0	0
76	15	Dilshad Ghulam Mahamad Bhurgri.	Do.	2,200	0	0	.....	...	2,200	0	0	.....			.....			.....		
77	18	Mir Haji Ghulam Mustapha Ghulam Hyder.	Do.	4,095	0	0	.....	...	4,095	0	0	1,905	0	0	445 *225*	0 *0*	0 *0*	2,575	0	0
78	25	Khan Mahamad Ahmed Khan.	Do.	68	0	0	.....	...	68	0	0	282	0	0	48	0	0	330	0	0
79	30	Ali Baksh Sher Mahamad Nawab.	Do.	3,055	0	0	.....	...	3,055	0	0	1,495	0	0	.....			1,495	0	0
80	32	Mahamad Khan Jam Khan Jam Khan Mahamad Khan }	Do.	42,830	0	0	.....	...	42,830	0	0	30,370	0	0	6,000 *5,530*	0 *0*	0 *0*	41,900	0	0
81	33	Mir Mubarak Khan Ghulam Shah.	Do.	6,620	0	0	.....	...	6,620	0	0	3,880	0	0	1,520 *450*	0 *0*	0 *0*	5,850	0	0
82	34	Ghulam Mahamad Khattian.	Do.	13,365	0	0	.....	...	13,365	0	0	1,435	0	0	865 *1,600*	0 *0*	0 *0*	3,900	0	0
83	35	Taj Mahamad and Dost Mahamad } Ghulam Allah.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			2,000	0	0	.....			2,000	0	0
84	43	Mir Mahamad Nasurallah..	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			250	0	0	.....			250	0	0
85	47	Ghulam Hussen Bijar Khan Mir.	Do.	32,920	0	0	.....	...	32,920	0	0	67,080	0	0	6,800	0	0	73,880	0	0
86	48	Allah Baksh Gul Mahamad.	Do.	285	0	0	.....	...	285	0	0	215	0	0	60	0	0	275	0	0
87	52	Fatteh Khan Fazul Mahamad.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			1,500	0	0	.....			1,500	0	0
88	53	Mir Jam Nindo Khuda Baksh.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			10,500	0	0	.....			10,500	0	0
89	55	Mahamad Hayat Saleh Mahamad.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			1,000	0	0	.....			1,000	0	0
90	59	Jan Mahamad Chuhar.....	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			200	0	0	.....			200	0	0
91	64	Rakhial and Gulan } Budho	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			200	0	0	.....			200	0	0
92	68	Allah Baksh Nur Mahamad Shah.	Do.	300	0	0	.....	...	300	0	0	500	0	0	.....			500	0	0
93	77	Rahadino Radho	Do.	740	0	0	.....	...	740	0	0	360	0	0	*140*	*0*	*0*	500	0	0
94	78	Allah Baksh Assudallah Shah.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			8,000	0	0	.....			8,000	0	0
95	98	Bachal Khan Mahamad Khan.	Do.	720	0	0	.....	...	720	0	0	100	0	0	90	0	0	190	0	0

| | | |

96	100	Jiwatram Lachiram	1st April 1886	2,355 o o	.....	...	2,355 o o	395 o o	.....	395 o o
97	107	Mir Khan Mahamad Ali Khan.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	350 o o	.....	350 o o
98	125	Jan Mahamad Hassan	Do.	500 o o	.....	...	500 o o	.....	225 o o *275 0 0*	500 o o
99	161	Khair Mahamad Khamiso..	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	300 o o	.....	300 o o
100	163	Mirza Mahamad Rahim Beg	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,300 o o	.....	1,300 o o
101	174	Lal Baksh Paru.....	Do.	2,220 o o	.....	...	2,220 o o	380 o o	*350 0 0*	730 o o
102	176	Jan Mahamad Ghulam Hyder.	Do.	460 0 0	.....	...	460 o o	100 o o	.....	100 o o
103	180	Allah Baksh Sahib Khan...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	550 o o	.....	550 o o
104	190	Oomer Mahamad Mahamad Zaman.	Do.	17,950 o o	.....	...	17,950 o o	7,050 o o	*950 0 0* *2,000 0 0*	10,000 o o
105	210	Sahib Khan Pario.....	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	200 o o	.....	200 o o
106	216	Allahdino Shah Ghulam Mahamad Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,300 o o	.....	1,300 o o
107	235	Budho Khan Galo Khan ...	Do.	375 1 2	.....	...	375 1 2 †66 1 3	474 14 10	.....	474 14 10
108	270	Mahamad Akil	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	350 o o	.....	350 o o
109	277	Mir Ali Baksh Mahamad Hassan.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	400 o o	.....	400 o o
110	289	Mithu Shah Ghulam Ali Shah.	Do.	1,200 o o	.....	...	1,200 o o	.....	.....	.....
111	296	Mahamad Hafiz Jumo	Do.	2,885 o o	.....	...	2,885 o o	.....	.....	.....
112	297	Mir Jan Mahamad Ali Mahamad.	Do.	14,600 o o	.....	...	14,600 o o	2,300 o o	*1,300 0 0*	3,600 o o
113	299	Dost Mahamad Mahamad Murad.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	300 o o	.....	300 o o
114	303	Bachal Khan Bhambho Khan Jamali.	Do.	325 o o	.....	...	325 o o	75 o o	*325 0 0*	400 o o
115	313	Basantrai Sahibsing.....	Do.	1,745 o o	.....	...	1,745 o o	275 o o	195 o o	470 o o
116	336	Umed Ali Nabi Baksh.....	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	400 o o	.....	400 o o
117	342	Dost Mahamad Ahmad Khan.	Do.	128 o o	.....	...	128 o o †6 6 5	222 o o	.....	222 o o
118	354	Umed Ali Lakhu	Do.	4,350 o o	.....	...	4,350 o o	.....	*200 0 0*	200 o o
119	355	Fatima widow of Biro Khan	Do.	1,025 o o	.....	...	1,025 o o	225 o o	150 o o *75 0 0*	450 o o
120	358	Ghulam Nabi Mahamad Khan	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	400 o o	.....	400 o o
121	367	Daria Khan Sahib Khan...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	100 o o	.....	100 o o
122	373	Walidad Jian.....	Do.	850 o o	.....	...	850 o o	.....	.....	.....
123	374	Tamachi Jian	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	700 o o	.....	700 o o
124	384	Silleman Din Mahamad ...	Do.	1,920 o o	.....	...	1,920 o o	.....	500 o o	500 o o
125	386	Dado Khan Fazul Khan ...	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	200 o o	.....	200 o o
126	399	Jumromal Lakhimal.....	Do.	.....	11th Sept. 1886.	3,820	3,820 o o	2,500 o o	.....	2,500 o o
127	400	Wali Mahamad Nawab ...	Do.	1,500 o o	.....	...	1,500 o o	.....	110 o o	110 o o
128	402	Jethamal Khattumal	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	800 o o	.....	800 o o
129	403	Bago Khan and Hassan Ali Khan } Mahamad Ashraf.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,200 o o	.....	1,200 o o

2,355 0 0	117 12 0	597 6 11	117 12 0	715 2 11	78 6 4	2,433 6 4	
.....	.....	5 14 4	.....	5 14 4	.....	.....	
.....	12 1 11	78 0 2	28 12 3	106 12 5	.....	.....	These was a mistake of Rs. 0-6-5 in the last years report. Paid on 8th November 1886.
.....	.....	20 0 3	.....	20 0 3	.....	.....	
.....	.....	130 0 0	.....	130 0 0	.....	.....	
1,870 0 0	105 13 2	606 11 2	117 7 7	724 2 9	63 8 11	1,933 8 11	Paid on 13th December 1886.
460 0 0	23 0 0	39 5 8	23 0 0	62 5 8	15 5 0	475 5 0	
.....	.....	68 14 11	.....	68 14 11	.....	.....	
15,000 0 0	858 12 7	4,768 9 0	956 15 9	5,725 8 9	499 5 1	15,499 5 1	Do. Do. Do. Do.
.....	.....	17 8 7	.....	17 8 7	.....	.....	
.....	.....	361 2 5	.....	361 2 5	.....	.....	
375 1 2	20 15 3	141 10 8	.....	141 10 8	54 8 5	429 9 7	
.....	.....	25 1 1	.....	25 1 1	.....	.....	
.....	.....	6 11 10	.....	6 11 10	.....	.....	
1,200 0 0	60 0 0	269 2 8	60 0 0	329 2 8	36 14 3	1,236 14 3	
2,885 0 0	144 4 0	494 13 9	144 4 0	639 1 9	96 0 7	2,981 0 7	
13,300 0 0	710 12 3	4,025 12 4	754 0 8	4,779 43 0	631 3 1	13,931 3 1	Do. Do.
.....	.....	66 11 3	.....	66 11 3	.....	.....	
.....	4 5 1	102 1 10	15 2 2	117 4 0	.....	.....	Paid on 6th July 1886.
1,550 0 0	80 12 1	322 12 5	87 4 0	410 0 5	51 9 6	1,601 9 6	
.....	.....	63 2 10	.....	63 2 10	.....	.....	
128 0 0	6 9 9	75 15 11	.....	75 15 11	10 13 5	138 13 5	
4,150 0 0	214 8 8	115 10 9	221 3 2	336 13 11	138 2 4	4,288 2 4	Paid on 13th December 1886.
800 0 0	44 1 3	91 14 10	51 9 1	143 7 11	26 10 1	826 10 1	Paid on 31st August 1886.
.....	.....	14 15 11	.....	14 15 11	.....	.....	
.....	.....	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	.....	.....	
850 0 0	42 8 0	20 15 10	42 8 0	63 7 10	28 4 8	878 4 8	
.....	.....	29 5 6	.....	29 5 6	.....	.....	
1,420 0 0	79 5 9	71 10 9	96 0 0	167 10 9	47 4 5	1,467 4 5	
.....	.....	0 13 2	.....	0 13 2	.....	.....	
3,820 0 0	105 11 3	142 0 10	.....	142 0 10	105 11 3	3,925 11 3	
1,390 0 0	71 5 5	56 7 6	153 12 0	210 3 6	45 0 3	1,435 0 3	
.....	.....	29 15 9	.....	29 15 9	.....	.....	
.....	.....	24 0 0	.....	24 0 0	.....	.....	

130	406	Dilshad Sabun	1st April 1886	.....		.....	...	.....		200	0	0	.....		200	0	0
131	410	Bharumal Chandumal	Do.	3,200	0	0	23rd July 1886.	5,080	8,280	0	0	3,300	0	0	.....		3,300	0	0
132	413	Mir Ali Baksh Mahamad Ali Khan.	Do.	3,195	0	0	.....	...	3,195	0	0	805	0	0	345 *150*	0 *0*	0 *0*	1,300	0	0
133	417	Silleman Chodio Dadejo ...	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			100	0	0	.....			100	0	0
134	442	Mahamad Shuja Shah Mahamad Ali Shah.	Do.	600	0	0	19th July 1886.	1,980	2,580	0	0	.....			.....			.....		
135	444	Sano Khan Abdullah Khan.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			100	0	0	.....			100	0	0
136	449	Dost Mahamad Ali Mahamad Khan.	Do.	400	0	0	.....	...	400	0	0	.....			*400*	*0*	*0*	400	0	0
137	460	Dewan Murijamal Sukhramdas.	Do.	1,750	0	0	.....	...	1,750	0	0	2,050	0	0	1,000 *750*	0 *0*	0 *0*	3,800	0	0
138	462	Raihan widow of Ramzan..	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			100	0	0	.....			100	0	0
139	466	Sardar Khan Umed Ali Khan.	Do.	550	0	0	.....	...	550	0	0	.....			70	0	0	70	0	0
140	468	Abdullah Arzi	Do.	1,150	0	0	.....	...	1,150	0	0	.....			*275*	*0*	*0*	275	0	0
141	470	Abdul Salam Natho Khan.	Do.	200	0	0	.....	...	200	0	0	.....			.....			.....		
142	471	Ghulam Martza Budhal Khan.	Do.	1,500	0	0	19th July 1886.	8,380	9,880	0	0	.....			.....			.....		
143	472	Mahamad Ali Khan Baksho Khan.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			200	0	0	.....			200	0	0
144	476	Khuda Baksh, Rahim Baksh, Amir Baksh — Kaisar Khan.	Do.	3,155	0	0	.....	...	3,155	0	0	300	0	0	.....			300	0	0
145	478	Diwan Parsram Sadhumal.	Do.	4,300	0	0	.....	...	4,300	0	0	3,600	0	0	.....			3,600	0	0
146	479	Sono Khan Rustom Khan..	Do.	200	0	0	.....	...	200 †15	0 0	0 0	.....			.....			.....		
147	484	Gawar Khan, Jan Mahamad, Ali Mahamad, Atta Mahamad — Rashid Khan.	Do.	8,870	0	0	.....	...	8,870	0	0	.....			.....			.....		
148	485	Mahamad Sharif Naim ...	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			100	0	0	.....			100	0	0
149	488	Pir Baksh Arzi	Do.	1,150	0	0	.....	...	1,150	0	0	.....			*275*	*0*	*0*	275	0	0
150	493	Shaffi Mahamad Mahamad Malluk.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			200	0	0	.....			200	0	0
		SHIKARPUR.																		
151	11	Ghulam Hyder Ghulam Mahamad.	Do.	4,000	0	0	.....	...	4,000	0	0	20,000	0	0	.....			20,000	0	0
152	13	Sarfraz Khan Kazim Khan.	Do.	4,370	0	0	.....	...	4,370	0	0	1,630	0	0	*300*	*0*	*0*	1,930	0	0
153	23	Waliadino Samabo	Do.	640	0	0	.....	...	640	0	0	360	0	0	*140*	*0*	*0*	500	0	0
154	40	Mahamad Kasim and Serif — Din Mahamad.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			700	0	0	.....			700	0	0
155	54	Mahamad Khan Nazar Mahamad.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			1,120	0	0	.....			1,120	0	0
156	71	Fatteh Khan Hyder Khan.	Do.	2,040	0	0	.....	...	2,040	0	0	1,560	0	0	540	0	0	2,100	0	0
157	76	Gul Mahamad Rohal Khan	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			4,000	0	0	.....			4,000	0	0
158	85 — 189	Bhambho Khan, Ghulam Mahamad — Jam Abul Khair.	Do.	.....			.....	...	.....			40,000	0	0	.....			40,000	0	0

.....		.....		2	14	0	.....		2	14	0	.....		.....	
8,280	0	0	335	5	10	512	1	6	166	4	2	678	5	8	275	9	11	8,555	9	11	
2,700	0	0	146	0	8	193	13	10	162	8	5	356	6	3	89	13	11	2,789	13	11	Paid on 13th December 1886.
.....			.....			1	1	1	.....			1	1	1	.....			.....			
2,580	0	0	99	2	8	52	7	9	33	8	5	86	0	2	85	9	10	2,665	9	10	
.....			.....			7	15	11	.....			7	15	11	.....			.....			
.....			5	5	0	34	15	11	18	10	1	53	10	0	.....			.....			Paid on 6th July 1886. These was a mistake of one pie in the lost years report.
.....			35	8	2	287	6	7	93	12	3	381	2	10	.....			.....			Paid on 30th September 1886.
.....			.....			1	15	1	.....			1	15	1	.....			.....			
480	0	0	25	2	9	43	14	7	27	8	0	71	6	7	15	15	9	495	15	9	
875	0	0	53	6	10	23	7	7	62	9	4	86	0	11	29	2	0	904	2	0	Paid on 13th December 1886.
200	0	0	10	0	0	17	7	11	10	0	0	27	7	11	6	10	6	206	10	6	
9,880	0	0	368	14	0	131	7	6	89	14	9	221	6	3	328	14	2	10,208	14	2	
.....			.....			2	11	2	.....			2	11	2	.....			.....			
3,155	0	0	157	12	0	64	6	6	157	12	0	222	2	6	105	0	4	3,260	0	4	
4,300	0	0	215	0	0	247	6	10	73	10	1	321	0	11	143	2	2	4,443	2	2	
200	0	0	10	8	0	7	7	11	5	8	0	12	15	11	21	15	10	221	15	10	
8,870	0	0	443	8	0	181	0	9	443	8	0	624	8	9	295	4	2	9,165	4	2	
.....			.....			3	12	0	.....			3	12	0	.....			.....			
875	0	0	53	6	10	23	7	7	62	9	4	86	0	11	29	2	0	904	2	0	Do. Do.
.....			.....			6	3	4	.....			6	3	4	.....			.....			
4,000	0	0	200	0	0	2,804	3	2	200	0	0	3,004	3	2	133	2	5	4,133	2	5	
4,070	0	0	214	1	0	1,243	6	1	224	0	9	1,467	6	10	135	7	9	4,205	7	9	Do. Do.
500	0	0	31	9	10	223	7	10	36	4	5	259	12	3	16	10	4	516	10	4	Paid on 11th March 1887.
.....			.....			116	10	8	.....			116	10	8	.....			.....			
.....			.....			122	3	8	.....			122	3	8	.....			.....			
1,500	0	0	84	0	5	663	7	9	102	0	0	765	7	9	49	14	11	1,549	14	11	
.....			.....			741	6	4	.....			741	6	4	.....			.....			
.....			.....			9,643	2	7	.....			9,643	2	7	.....			.....			

159	92	Allah Baksh Anwar Khan..	1st April 1886	2,180 0 0	.....	...	80 0 0	2,820 0 0	650 0 0 *1,530 0 0*	5,000 0 0
160	95	Lashkar Khan Kehar Khan	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	2,125 0 0	.....	2,125 0 0
161	97	Imam Baksh Shahmir.....	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	400 0 0	.....	400 0 0
162	111	Allah Obhayo Ghulam Mahamad.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	700 0 0	.....	700 0 0
163	112	Darhun Khan Ghulam Mahamad.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	500 0 0	.....	500 0 0
164	120	Shah Mahamad Sher Mahamad Khan.	Do.	789 1 1	.....	...	789 1 1 †119 15 6	.....	.....	.....
165	121	Aizam Khan Mubarak Khan.	Do.	800 0 0	.....	...	800 0 0 †172 4 0	.....	.....	.....
166	122	Fatteh Mahamad Mubarak Khan.	Do.	239 0 0	.....	...	239 0 0 †24 2 10	61 0 0	.....	61 0 0
167	126	Dost Ali Shah Ghulam Hussen Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,000 0 0	.....	1,000 0 0
186	157	Rashid Pingrio	Do.	895 0 0	.....	...	895 0 0	205 0 0	.....	205 0 0
169	159	Khuda Baksh Nur Mahamad.	Do.	725 0 0	.....	...	725 0 0	275 0 0	300 0 0 *50 0 0*	625 0 0
170	178	Umar Khan Madad Khan..	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	450 0 0	.....	450 0 0
171	181	Khuda Baksh Aizam Khan.	Do.	522 0 0	.....	...	522 0 0 †53 7 10	78 0 0	.....	78 0 0
172	182	Ghulam Mahamad Kaisar Khan.	Do.	500 0 0	.....	...	500 0 0	1,000 0 0	275 0 0 *225 0 0*	1,500 0 0
173	185	Sumar Chodio	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,488 0 0	.....	1,480 0 0
174	186	Islam Khan Aizam Khan. .	Do.	245 0 0	.....	...	245 0 0 †53 15 1	355 0 0	.....	355 0 0
175	197	Abdul Hak Pir Mahamad .	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,000 0 0	.....	1,000 0 0
176	203	Akhtiar Khan Jagan Khan	Do.	18 0 0	.....	...	18 0 0 †0 14 5	382 0 0	*18 0 0*	400 0 0
177	220	Sachal Khan Izmat Khan .	Do.	235 0 0	.....	...	235 0 0	665 0 0	*195 0 0* *40 0 0*	900 0 0
178	222	Dado Khan Pir Baksh	Do.	57,940 0 0	.....	...	11,525 0 0	18,060 0 0	*1,210 0 0* *1,705 0 0*	20,975 0 0
	281	Amir Baksh } Dado					23,210 0 0			
	282	Khuda Baksh } Khan.					23,205 0 0			
179	223	Abul Khair Fatteh Khan Dhaeejo.	Do.	955 0 0	.....	...	955 0 0	445 0 0	155 0 0 *600 0 0*	1,200 0 0
180	236	Nasir Ali Khan Ghulam Ali Khan.	Do.	2,700 0 0	.....	...	2,700 0 0	.....	.....	.....
181	244	JanMahamadShah } Ali								
	245	Ahmed Shah } Akbar Shah.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	5,000 0 0	.....	5,000 0 0
182	249	Shah Nawaz Fakiruldin Ulvi.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	550 0 0	.....	550 0 0
183	255	Ghulam Kadir } Maha-								
	@	Ghulam Nabi } mad								
	258	Abdul Rahim } Hassan.	Do.	17,500 0 0	.....	...	17,500 0 0	500 0 0	*500 0 0*	1,000 0 0
		Khabar Khan }								
184	262	Allah Baksh Nihabindo ...	Do.	.....	30th Dec. 1886.	1,500	1,500 0 0	.....	.....	.....
185	263	Dilawar Khan Allah Jiwayo.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	850 0 0	.....	850 0 0
186	264	Mahamad Hassan Mahamad.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	2,900 0 0	.....	2,900 0 0
187	285	Ghulam Mahamad Laikdino.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	200 0 0	.....	200 0 0
188	293	Dil Murad Pirano Chandio	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	300 0 0	.....	300 0 0

| | | |

| | | |

1,600 o o	80 0 0	80 0 0	80 0 0	160 0 0	127 10 3	1,727 10 3	
2,350 o o	120 14 9	587 7 10	127 12 0	715 3 10	78 3 7	2,428 3 7	
3,800 o o	195 10 11	301 2 1	424 5 7	725 7 8	116 15 8	3,916 15 8	
.....	.....	61 10 4	.....	61 10 4	.....	.....	
1,700 o o	85 0 0	404 8 0	85 0 0	489 8 0	55 3 11	1,755 3 11	
570 o o	47 0 9	1 1 10	66 13 8	67 15 6	18 15 7	588 15 7	Paid on 13th December 1886. Paid on 11th March 1887.
1,000 o o	60 11 2	169 5 9	72 5 7	241 11 4	33 4 7	1,033 4 7	Paid on 31st August 1886. Paid on 13th December 1886. Paid on 11th March 1887.
.....	14 9 2	175 3 9	50 13 8	226 1 5	.....	.....	Paid on 2nd July 1886.
.....	11 12 11	221 0 3	32 12 5	253 12 8	.....	.....	Paid on 7th July 1886.
.....	.....	50 0 0	.....	50 0 0	.....	.....	Paid on 31st August 1886.
.....	.....	82 3 0	.....	82 3 0	.....	.....	
930 o o	46 8 0	195 9 2	46 8 0	242 1 2	30 3 7	960 3 7	
.....	.....	11 3 11	.....	11 3 11	.....	.....	
.....	.....	11 3 11	.....	11 3 11	.....	.....	
200 o o	10 0 0	25 3 11	10 0 0	35 3 11	6 10 6	206 10 6	
.....	.....	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	.....	.....	
.....	6 4 7	30 0 3	16 4 5	46 4 8	.....	.....	Do. Do.
.....	.....	14 0 3	.....	14 0 3	.....	.....	
.....	.....	4 1 9	.....	4 1 9	.....	.....	
100 o o	5 0 0	8 12 0	5 0 0	13 12 0	3 5 3	103 5 3	
.....	6 11 0	.....	6 13 7	6 13 7	.....	.....	
.....	.....	83 4 2	.....	83 4 2	.....	.....	
.....	.....	7 7 11	.....	7 7 11	.....	.....	
2,050 o o	115 5 0	12 15 6	131 10 0	144 9 6	68 3 8	2,118 3 8	Paid on 13th December 1886.
.....	4 11 7	8 12 0	8 0 11	16 12 11	.....	.....	Paid on 11th March 1887.
.....	.....	23 15 9	.....	23 15 9	.....	.....	
.....	.....	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	.....	.....	
.....	.....	4 13 7	.....	4 13 7	.....	.....	
.....	.....	11 3 11	.....	11 3 11	.....	.....	
.....	.....	4 12 0	.....	4 12 0	.....	.....	

219	482	Dilshad Khan } Ali Maha- / Bahawal Khan } mad.	1st April 1886	.....	.....	...	.....	200 0 0	.....	200 0 0
220	487	Baho Khan Mahwal Khan.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	100 0 0	.....	100 0 0
		UPPER SIND FRONTIER.								
221	79	Pir Baksh Peraz Khan... / Mahamad Ali Pir Baksh }	Do.	2,070 0 0	.....	...	2,070 0 0	7,530 0 0	.....	7,530 0 0
222	232 / 233	Allah Rakhio / Nassur Allah } Bhuttas.	Do.	2,500 0 0	30th March 1887.	2,870	5,370 0 0	.....	425 0 0	425 0 0
223	348	Khan Mahamad Amir Baksh.	Do.	.....	.....	...	.....	1,200 0 0	.....	1,200 0 0
224	364	Bachal Khan Moledino Khan.	Do.	500 0 0	.....	...	500 0 0	.....	.....	.....
225	372	Shah Beg Mahamad Laik...	Do.	5,500 0 0	.....	...	5,500 0 0	1,000 0 0	*1,300 0 0* *150 0 0*	2,450 0 0
226	381	Khan Mahamad Baloch Khan.	Do.	100 0 0	.....	...	100 0 0	.....	*75 0 0* *15 0 0* *10 0 0*	100 0 0
227	415	Rasul Baksh Baksho Khan.	Do.	100 0 0	.....	...	100 0 0	500 0 0	*100 0 0*	600 0 0
228	420	Karam Khan Sher Mahamad Khan.	Do.	300 0 0	.....	...	300 0 0	.....	*300 0 0*	300 0 0
229	454	Hyder Shah Karam Shah..	Do.	300 0 0	.....	...	300 0 0	.....	.....	.....
		Total.....	.....	4,32,395 15 1	.....	30,030	4,62,425 15 1 †1,831 8 3	4,10,968 2 0	33,383 0 0 *39,208 0 0*	4,83,559 2 0

† This mark denotes sums outstanding on account of unpaid interest.

The figures in Italic represent payments made during the year whilst those in ordinary type represent payments made on 31st July 1886.

III.

agreement under Act XX of 1881 for the financial year 1886-87.

Balance due.	Interest account for 1886-87.	Repaid for interest			Balance of interest now due.	Total principal and interest now due.	Remarks.
		Up to 31st March 1886.	During 1886-87.	Total Rs.			
.....	.....	77 7 11	.....	7 7 11	.....	.....	
.....	.....	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	.....	.....	
2,070 0 0	103 8 0	1,234 4 3	103 8 0	1,337 12 3	68 14 6	2,138 14 6	
4,945 0 0	111 10 3	89 11 7	125 0 0	214 11 7	69 13 9	5,014 13 9	
.....	.....	190 0 6	.....	190 0 6	.....	.....	
500 0 0	25 0 0	43 11 9	25 0 0	68 11 9	16 10 4	516 10 4	
4,050 0 0	235 0 5	436 0 8	283 4 9	719 5 5	133 4 4	4,183 4 4	Paid on 31st August 1886. Paid on 13th December 1886.
.....	1 11 3	8 12 0	5 0 5	13 12 5	.....	.....	Paid on 15th July 1886. Paid on 31st August 1886. Paid on 2nd November 1886.
.....	4 11 7	27 7 9	8 0 11	35 8 8	.....	.....	Paid on 11th March 1887.
.....	4 5 8	10 1 1	14 5 6	24 6 7	.....	.....	Paid on 15th July 1886.
300 0 0	15 0 0	26 3 11	15 0 0	41 3 11	9 15 9	309 15 9	
3,89,834 15 1	20,597 10 6	1,49,663 11 1	21,953 3 8	1,71,616 14 9	14,648 7 0	4,04,483 6 1	

C. E. S. STEELE,
Manager.

APPENDIX V.

Statement showing the balance outstanding on account of Government Revenue on the 31st July 1886, amount claimed for 1886-87, amount paid during the year and balance outstanding on 31st July 1887.

District.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1886.	Amount claimed for 1886-87.	Amount paid on 31st July 1887 on account of			Balance outstanding on 31st July 1887 on account of			Remarks.
			Former years.	1886-87.	Total.	Former years.	1886-87.	Total.	
Karachi	7,905 11 0	30,351 5 9	7,338 5 0	28,915 10 9	36,253 15 9	567 6 0	1,435 11 0	2,003 1 0	The figures entered in last year's statement were Rs. 7,873-6-0, but they were since changed on Mukhtiarkar's Reports.
Hyderabad	28,091 11 6	93,494 6 0	10,327 14 0	89,276 13 0	99,604 11 0	17,703 13 6	4,217 9 0	21,981 6 6	Do. 27,372-13-6 Do.
Shikarpur	30,858 12 11	47,352 15 9	24,144 15 7	45,324 12 9	69,469 12 4	6,713 13 4	2,028 3 0	8,742 0 4	Do. 23,228-13-11 Do.
Upper Sind Frontier	1,649 6 3	41,399 0 0	1,099 6 3	31,783 13 0	32,883 3 3	550 0 0	9,615 3 0	10,165 3 0	Do. 1,544-9-0 Do.
Total	68,505 9 8	2,12,597 11 6	42,910 8 10	1,95,301 1 6	2,38,211 10 4	25,595 0 10	17,296 10 0	42,891 10 10	

Note.—Of the total sum of Rs. 42,891-10-10 outstanding on 31st July 1887 Rs. 9,963 0 4
have since been paid, reducing the actual balance to Rupees 32,928 10 6

The cause of delay in the payment of which amount is explained below—
Under reference for remission Rs. 2,996 3 0
Do. owing to other causes ,, ... 1,042 2 0
By reason of want of funds ,, ...28,890 5 6

Total amount to be paid hereafter 32,928 10 6

C. E. S. STEELE,
Manager.

No. 17 OF 1887.

INCUMBERED ESTATES DEPARTMENT.

From

THE MANAGER, INCUMBERED ESTATES,

Thar and Parkar.

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

Manager's Office,

Hyderabad, 14th September 1887.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the annual Administration Report with appendices of the Incumbered Estates in the Thar and Parkar District for the year ending 31st July 1887.

2. Five estates remained under management at the beginning of the year under report, liquidation schemes for which had been sanctioned in previous years.

3. The liabilities of these estates, admitted by the Manager, aggregate Rs. 7,667-2-0 but Rs. 3,258-0-0 of this amount, being the debts of 2 estates were compounded for a lump payment of Rs. 1,950-0-3. The amount still remaining due to creditors at the end of the year is therefore only rupees 4,406-1-1

4. The estate of Silleman Chang was cleared during the year and restored to the owner. It was found necessary to sell one half of this estate to clear the other half, as provided for in the revised liquidation scheme sanctioned under the Commissioner's No. 4, dated 2nd January 1887.

5. The marginally noted details show the amounts due at the close of the year by each estate to Government and to private creditors respectively. The sums shown as due to Government consist of advances made from time to time for current expenses in working the estates, except in the case of the estate of Karam Khan, which includes a sum of Rs. 1,227-5-11 taken as an advance from Government for the compounding of debts. This was the only advance taken for that purpose; in the other case in which a settlement of this kind was effected it was not found necessary to apply for assistance.

Name.	Government.	Creditors.	Total.
Ahsanali	534 4 1	2,082 7 0	2,616 11 1
Haji Hingoro	2,031 10 7	776 10 1	2,808 4 8
Karam Khan	2,677 9 0	.....	2,677 9 0
Nazar Ali	.....	1,550 0 0	1,550 0 0
	5,243 7 8	4,409 1 1	9,652 8 9

6. From the year 1884-85 the system of leasing out the estates was adopted, three estates were leased in that year and the rest in 1885-86. These leases were to run from 5 to 8 years and terminate between the years 1891

and 1893, but the leases of Haji Hingoro's estate did not furnish the necessary security and this estate is consequently being now managed departmentally.

7. The amount outstanding on account of Government advance on 31st July 1886 was Rupees 7,866-6-5; with interest up to 31st July 1887 it came up to Rs. 8,295-9-4. The amount repaid during the year was Rs. 2655-4-11 leaving an outstanding advance of Rs. 5,640-4-5 at the close of the year. Of the sum repaid, Rs. 1,217-7-8 were recovered from the estate of Sileman Chang which was completely cleared and restored to the owner and the rest in due proportions from the other estates under management *vide* details in the margin.

PAID TOWARDS GOVERNMENT LOAN EXCLUSIVE OF INTEREST.

Ahsanali	... Rs.	452	4 6
Haji Hingoro	... „	18	4 4
Karam Khan	... „	370	13 10
Nazar Ali...	... „	167	3 8
Total Rs.....		1,008	10 4

8. Looking to Statement A of receipts and expenditure it will be seen that nothing has been paid towards debts, this was unavoidable because, after payment of the interest charges shown in that statement, the balance was in each case appropriated for repayment towards the Government Loan as explained in the preceding paragraph. There was a balance of Rupees 395-15-9 for disposal in favour of the estate of Ahsanali, but it came in so close to the end of the year that no adjustment of it was practicable before the accounts for 1886-87 were closed.

9. Appendix No. III being for the financial year will not agree with Appendix No. II, or Statement A, both of which are for the revenue year.

10. Appendix No. IV is blank.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. E. WATSON,

Manager, Incumbered Estates,

Thar and Parkar.

APPENDIX I.

Statement of Estates placed under Management according to the provisions of Act XX of 1881 for which liquidation schemes have been sanctioned by the Commissioner in Sind.

Serial No.	No. of Estate.	Name of owner.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	As estimated by owner under Section 6.	Liabilities.			Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1887.	Estimated amount of net income according to liquidation scheme.	Date of sanction of liquidation scheme.
						Total claims presented under Sections 12 & 14.		Total amount admitted by the Manager.	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.			
						No.	Amount.						
1	116	Silleman Chang...	Zamindar ...	13th July 1877 ...	980 0 0	4	5,864 9 2	803 5 0	803 5 0	722 10 5	.....	500 0 0	3rd May 1880.
2	119	Ahsan Ali	Do. ...	Do.	2,100 0 0	2	2,332 7 0	2,082 7 0	.....	.....	2,082 7 0	700 0 0	8th May 1880.
3	183	Haji, heir of Sawan	Do. ...	4th Sept. 1877 ...	1,860 12 0	1	1,714 1 4	776 10 1	.....	.....	776 10 1	250 0 0	4th July 1883.
4	192	Karam Khan	Do. ...	14th October 1877.	1,200 0 0	2	4,290 4 9	2,454 11 11	2,454 11 11	1,227 5 11	.....	500 0 0	7th March 1882.
5	421	Nazar Ali	Do.	19th April 1882...	380 0 0	1	1,862 4 6	1,550 0 0	.....	.....	1,550 0 0	200 0 0	20th July 1885.
		Total... ...	.....	.....	3,520 12 0	10	16,063 10 9	7,667 2 0	3,258 0 11	1,950 0 3	4,409 1 1	5,311 0 0	

H. E. WATSON,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing the working of the Sind Incumbered Estates Act up to 31st July 1887.

District.	No. of estates for which orders of Management have been issued.	Restored or relinquished.		No. of estates remaining under Management.	Total amount awarded to creditors.	Paid to creditors up to 31st July 1887.			Remaining to be paid to creditors on 31st July 1887.	Account of Government advance.						
		By order under Section 19.	By order under Section 26.			By advance from Government.	By collection from estates.	Total.		Advance outstanding on 31st July 1886.	Balance of interest on 31st July 1886.	Advance drawn in 1886-87.	Interest accruing up to 31st July 1887.	Total advance with interest.	Amount repaid up to 31st July 1887.	Advance outstanding on 31st July 1887.
Thar and Parkar.....	24	4	16	4	16,250 11 5	1,227 5 11	9,306 4 9	10,533 10 8	4,409 1 1	7,866 6 5	69 1 2	.....	360 1 9	8,295 9 4	2,655 4 11	5,640 4 5

H. E. WATSON,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

APPENDIX III.

Account of loans to Jagirdars and Zamindars whose Estates are under Management under the Sind Incumbered Estates Act made up to 31st March 1887.

Serial No.	No. of Estate.	Name of owner.	Government loan.	Repaid.	Balance due.	Interest accruing.	Repaid for interest.	Balance of interest now due.	Total principal and interest due.	Remarks.
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	116	Silleman	1,217 7 8	.....	1,217 7 8	62 2 1	36 0 6	25 2 3	1,242 9 11	
2	119	Ahsanali	1,382 8 4	361 11 8	1,020 12 8	66 5 4	45 2 10	21 2 6	1,041 15 2	
3	183	Haji Hingoro	2,049 14 11	18 4 4	2,031 10 7	101 6 5	59 7 1	41 15 4	2,073 9 11	
4	129	Karam Khan	3,049 3 10	31 6 5	3,017 13 5	154 2 11	91 11 1	62 7 10	2,080 5 3	
5	421	Nazar Ali.....	167 3 8	.....	167 3 8	8 6 6	4 15 2	3 7 4	170 11 0	
—		Total Rs.	7,866 7 5	411 6 5	7,455 0 0	391 7 11	247 4 8	154 3 3	7,609 3 3	

H. E. WATSON,
Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

APPENDIX IV.

List of claims adjudicated by or on behalf of the Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.

H. E. WATSON,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

STATEMENT A.

Statement showing the detail of Receipts and Expenditure of each of the Incumbered Estates under management from 1st August 1886 to 31st July 1887.

No. of Estate.	Name of owner.	Receipts.							Expenditure.											Debit Balance.
		Balance in hand on 1st August 1886.	From 1st August 1886 to 31st July 1887.					Grand Total.	Balance due to Government by Zamindars on 1st Aug. 1886.	From 1st August 1886 to 31st July 1887.									Grand Total.	
			Actual Produce.	Refunds.	Sale proceeds of estate.	Cash.	Total.			Cost of management.	Maintenance.	Government demand.	Payment towards debts.	Payment of interest.	Refunds.	Adjusting accounts.	Miscellaneous.	Total.		
116	Silleman	.....	200 0 0	.....	1,600 0 0	200 0 0	2,000 0 0	2,000 0 0	1,217 7 8	.....	...	.....	722 10 4	56 12 5	.....	...	3 1 7	782 8 4	2,000 0 0	.....
119	Ahsan Ali	.....	921 0 0	.....	.....	.....	921 0 0	921 0 0	1,382 8 4	.....	...	.....	.....	69 8 6	.....	...	3 3 3	72 11 9	1,455 4 1	534 4 1
183	Haji Hingoro	.....	426 8 0	140 0 0	.....	.....	566 8 0	566 8 0	2,049 14 11	.....	...	426 8 0	.....	118 9 3	.....	...	3 2 5	548 3 8	2,598 2 7	2,031 10 7
192	Karam Khan	0 13 0	500 0 0	50 8 0	.....	.....	550 8 0	551 5 0	3,048 6 10	.....	...	.....	.....	176 7 9	.....	...	3 2 5	179 10 2	3,228 1 0	2,677 9 0
421	Nazar Ali	.....	200 0 0	.....	.....	.....	200 0 0	200 0 0	167 3 8	.....	...	.....	.....	7 13 0	.....	...	3 1 4	10 14 4	178 2 0	.....
	Total ...	0 13 0	2,247 8 0	190 8 0	1,600 0 0	200 0 0	4,238 0 0	4,238 13 0	7,865 9 5	.....	...	426 8 0	722 10 4	429 2 11	.....	...	15 11 0	1,594 0 3	9,459 9 8	5,243 7 8

H. E. WATSON,
Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Parkar.

No. 2859 OF 1887.

From

ROBERT GILES, ESQUIRE, M.A.,

Manager, Incumbered Estates,

Upper Sind Frontier.

To

C. B. PRITCHARD, ESQUIRE, C.S., C.S.I.,

Commissioner in Sind.

Manager's Office,
Jacobabad, 22nd August 1887.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit, with four appendices, the report for the year 1886-87 on the working of the Incumbered Estates Act, as regards the nine estates remaining under my management at the commencement of the year.

2. The estates were transferred to me under your No. 3,940, dated the 8th October 1884.

3. Liquidation schemes for seven of the nine estates had been sanctioned by you previously, and you sanctioned schemes for the remaining two during the year under report.

4. The management of two estates terminated during the year, leaving seven only in my hands at its close.

5. Of the seven, five are entirely leased and two partially, in full satisfaction of their debts. Two leases will expire and the management consequently terminate during the current year, one in 1888-89, two in 1890-91 one in 1892-93, and one in 1895-96.

6. The lessees who, were also the creditors of the estate of Sayad Gulab Shah, whose liquidation scheme was sanctioned under your No. 2,771, dated the 11th July 1883, threw up their lease early in the year and executed a deed of release for their entire claim. I then gave the owner a lease for two years, viz., 1886-87 and 1887-88 at a net profit sufficient to cover the arrears due to Government, but the management will now terminate during the current year instead of in 1889-90, as provided in the liquidation scheme.

7. The balance shown in last year's report as due to creditors was Rs. 20,836-14-0 ; but this included, I think erroneously, a sum of Rs. 650, for which amount the creditors held a lease of a portion of the estate for the year under report, the management having terminated subject to such lease (vide Section 26 of the Act). The lease did, in fact, liquidate the debt and the estate being no longer under management, the sum should not have been shown.

8. Deducting it, Rs. 20,186-14-0 was the sum left unliquidated at the close of the last year. Rs. 7,197-15-11 was paid or compounded for during 1886-87, and the balance at its close therefore was Rs. 12,988-14-1.

9. No loans have been made by Government to the estates.

10. There have been no Civil Suits connected with them.

11. The outstanding balance of Land Revenue for former years was reduced from Rs. 2,294-14-0 at the close of 1885-86 to Rs. 234-5-0. The balance for 1886-87 shewn in Statement IV has since been paid all but Rs. 34 so that the actual balance due today is Rs. 268-5-0 only.

12. The gross receipts for the year were Rs. 16,468-12-11. All expenses of management ceased on the 1st August 1886; but the salaries for June and July were paid subsequently and hence the entries in Statement II which only came to 75 per cent. on the total receipts.

13. No establishment was entertained.

14. The work of management is practically completed. All leases are protected by good security, and as they terminate the management of each estate ceases.

15. From the 1st instant seven other estates were transferred to my management from the Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind, in whose report they will appear for the last time.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. GILES,

Manager Incumbered Estates,

Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX I.

Statement of Estates placed under Management according to the provisions of Act XX of 1881, *showing the results of the year* 1886-87

No.	No. of Estate.	Name of Owner.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of Management.	Liabilities.									Total amount admitted by the Manager.		
					As estimated by the Owner under Section 26.	Total of claims registered under Sections 12 and 14.										
						Up to end of 1885-86.		In 1886-87		Total.						
						Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.					
1	357	Jafar Khan, Rind	Jagirdar...	18th Jany. 1882	1,44(	8	17,496 8 3	...	...	8	17,496 8 3			7,641 9 0	...	7,641 9 0
2	360	Jan Mahomed, Rind	Zamindar.	23rd do. ...	1,827	5	3,286 7 0	...	...	5	3,286 7 0			1,822 12 2	...	1,822 12 2
3	370	Godho Khan, Rind...	Do. ...	27th Feb. 1882.	2,63(	2	2,114 2 6	...	...	2	2,114 2 6			1,616 12 0	...	1,616 12 0
4	383	Sayad Gulab Shah	Do. ...	17th Mar. 1882	2,01(	8	2,705 11 1	...	...	8	2,705 11 1			1,607 13 3	...	1,607 13 3
5	388	Fazal Mahomed, Hajana	Do. ...	20th do. ...	1,342	10	9,884 3 10	...	...	10	9,884 3 10			3,909 15 0	...	3,909 15 0
6	396	Shaikh Ismail	Do. ...	30th do. ...	15,000	...	.....	...	...	...	.....			.....	...	.....
7	422	Khan Mahomed, Dombki	Do. ...	22nd April 1882	4,510	5	4,736 8 6	...	...	5	4,736 8 6			2,466 5 5	...	2,466 5 5
8	453	Faiz Mahomed, Burro	Do. ...	15th May 1882.	1,180	2	2,176 13 3	...	...	2	2,176 13 3			1,119 4 7	...	1,119 4 7
9	455	Sayad Khan, Bugti	Do. ...	15th do. ...	9,420	5	4,091 14 11	...	...	5	4,091 14 11			2,014 5 0	...	2,014 5 0
					39,368	45	46,492 5 4	...	...	45	46,492 5 4			22,198 12 5	...	22,198 12 5

DIX I.

distinguishing those in which Liquidation Schemes have been sanctioned by the Commissioner in Sind, and in contrast with those of the previous year.

Debts Compounded.						Amount that remained due to creditors on 31st July 1886.	Amount still remaining due to creditors on the 31st July 1887.	Date of Sanction of Liquidation Schemes.	Estimated annual net income according to Liquidation Scheme.	Remarks.
Up to end of 1885-86.		In 1886-87.		Total.						
Amount of debts.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of debts.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of debts.	Amount for which settled.					
.....	.....	2,137 8 9	2,000 12 1	2,137 8 9	2,000 12 1	7,641 9 0	5,504 0 3	25th June 1887.	1,502 9 7	
19 1 2	7 2 0	360 11 10	360 11 10	379 13 0	367 13 10	1,803 11 0	1,442 15 2	7th May 1886.	360 11 10	
.....	.....	359 5 7	359 5 7	359 5 7	359 5 7	1,616 12 0	1,257 6 5	30th July 1886.	314 5 7	
720 0 0	720 0 0	.....	.....	720 0 0	720 0 0	887 13 3	.....	11th July 1883.	.....	The lessee of Sayad Gulab Shah's estate threw up the lease and abandoned his claim giving a deed of release, and the L. scheme therefore fell through. Hence the blanks in cols. 18, 19, 23 and 25.
298 0 0	149 0 0	361 3 1	361 3 1	659 3 1	510 3 1	3,611 15 0	3,250 11 11	2nd July 1886.	361 3 1	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2nd Oct. 1886.	.....	Management terminated from 20th July 1887.
.....	.....	2,466 5 5	2,155 7 2	2,466 5 5	2,155 7 2	2,466 5 5	.....	24th July 1886.	.....	Do. 18th Sept. 1886.
471 4 0	471 4 0	100 0 0	100 0 0	571 4 0	571 4 0	648 0 7	548 0 7	26th Nov. 1883.	365 0 0	
503 9 3	475 0 0	525 0 0	525 0 0	1,028 9 3	1,000 0 0	1,510 11 9	985 11 9	20th April 1886.	525 0 0	
2,011 14 5	1,822 6 0	6,310 2 8	5,862 7 9	8,322 1 1	7,684 13 9	20,186 14 0	12,988 14 1	.....	.....	

R. GILES,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing detail of Receipts and Expenditure of each of the Estates under management from 1st August 1886 *to the 31st July* 1887.

No. of Estates.	Name of Owner.	Receipts.					Expenditure.											Credit balance.	Debit balance.
		Balance in hand on 31st July 1886.	1st August 1886 to 31st July 1887.			Grand Total.	Balance due on 31st July 1886.	1st August 1886 to 31st July 1887.										On 31st July 1887.	On 31st July 1887.
			Actual Produce.	Refunds.	Total.			Cost of Management.	Actual cultivation.	Canal clearance and other expenditure.	Government demand.	Local Fund.	Payments towards debts.	Maintenance and advance.	Refunds.	Miscellaneous.	Total.			
357	Jafar Khan, Rind.....	672 15 3	5,719 10 7	.....	5,719 10 7	6,392* 9 10	1,204 8 8	27 7 1	84 0 0	...	2,880 14 0	180 0 0	2,000 12 1	...	...	...	5,173 1 2	6,377 9 10	15 0 0	.....
360	Jan Mahomed, Rind.	180 2 4	847 0 11	.....	847 0 11	1,027 3 3	.....	7 6 8	...	...	425 0 0	40 3 0	360 11 10	...	...	...	833 5 6	833 5 6	193 13 9	.....
370	Godho Khan, Rind...	171 5 3	1,393 0 0	5 3 0	1,398 3 0	1,569 8 3	.....	7 3 6	21 0 0	...	.....	...	359 5 7	130 0 0	...	...	517 9 1	517 9 1	1,051 15 2	.....
383	Sayad Gulab Shah....	21 12 11	1,557 11 3	.....	1,557 11 3	1,579 8 2	.....	0 15 9	...	...	290 12 0	18 3 0	887 13 3	...	...	...	1,197 12 0	1,197 12 0	381 12 2	.....
388	Fazal Mahomed Hajano.	182 4 8	942 10 10	.....	942 10 10	1,124 15 6	.....	7 7 4	...	...	510 9 0	66 8 0	361 3 1	...	...	3 15 4	949 10 9	949 10 9	*175 4 9	.....
396	Shaikh Ismail.....	395 2 0	639 12 5	.....	639 12 5	1,034 15 0	1,018 10 0	16 4 0	...	...	.....	...	.....	...	...	0 1 0	16 5 0	1,034 15 0	.....	.....
422	Khan Mahomed, Dombki.	609 6 8	1,700 0 0	.....	1,700 0 0	2,309 6 8	.....	25 1 2	...	...	.....	...	2,155 7 2	50 0 0	...	78 14 4	2,309 6 8	2,309 6 8	.....	.....
453	Faiz Mahomed, Burro	766 15 1	2,289 11 0	.....	2,289 11 0	3,056 10 1	.....	31 4 6	...	...	1,722 8 0	105 14 0	100 0 0	132 0 0	...	499 10 9	2,591 5 3	2,591 5 3	465 4 10	.....
455	Sayad Khan, Bugti...	109 3 1	1,374 0 11	.....	1,374 0 11	1,483 4 0	.....	4 7 0	...	...	775 5 0	48 7 0	525 0 0	40 0 0	...	3 13 9	1,397 0 9	1,397 0 9	86 3 3	.....
		3,109 3 3	16,463 9 11	5 3 0	16,468 12 11	19,578 0 9	2,223 2 8	127 9 0	105 0 0	...	6,605 0 0	459 3 0	6,750 5 0	352 0 0	...	586 7 2	14,985 8 2	17,208 10 10	2,369 5 11	.....

*Government Promissory Note Rs. 100-0-0, cash Rs. 75-4-9.

R. GILES,
Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX III.

Statement showing the results of the working of the Upper Sind Frontier, Incumbered Estates Act up to 31st July 1887, distinguishing the results arrived at up to 31st July 1886, from those of the year 1885-86 ending 31st July 1886.

District.	Number of Estates for which Orders of Management have issued.			Number of Estates of which the Management ceased.				Number of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1886.	Number of Estates remaining under Management on 1st Aug. 1887.	Total awarded to Creditors.		Paid to Creditors up to 31st July 1886.						Amount that remained to be paid to Creditors on 31st July 1886.	Amount remaining to be paid to Creditors on 31st July 1887.	Account of Government Advances.						Remarks.
				By order under Section 19.		By order under Section 26.						By Advance from Government.		By Collection from Estates.		Total.						Amount outstanding on 31st July 1886.	Advance in 1886-87.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1887.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1886-87.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1887.	
																Amount actually paid.		Amount settled.									
	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Total.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.			Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.	Up to 31st July 1886.	In 1886-87.								
Upper Sind Frontier...	13	...	13	...	...	4	2	9	7	22,193 12 5	..	...	...	1,822 6 0	6,750 5 0	1,822 6 0	6,750 5 0	2,011 14 5	7,197 15 11	20,186 14 0	12,988 14 1	...	...	...	...	...	...	In both statements I and III last year a sum of Rs. 650-0-0 was included in the balance due to creditors, although the estates had passed out of management subject to a lease of a portion of it for one year for that amount.

R. GILES,
Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the Balance outstanding on account of Government Revenue on the 31st July 1886, amount claimed for 1886-87, amount paid during the year and balance outstanding on 31st July 1887.

District.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1886.	Amount claimed for 1886-87.	Amount paid up to 31st July 1887 on account of					Balance outstanding on 31st July 1887 on account of		
			Former years.		1886-87.					
			Paid.	Remitted by the Commissioner in Sind and otherwise.	Paid.	Remitted by the Commissioner in Sind 1886-87.	Total.	Former years.	1886-87.	Total.
Upper Sind Frontier.....	2,536 12 0	8,498 7 0	2,080 6 8	222 0 4	6,605 0 0	894 11 0	9,802 2 0	234 5 0	998 12 0	1,233 1 0

R. GILES,

Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier.

Administration Reports for the year 1886-87 *of the Managers, Encumbered Estates in Sind, Thar and Párkar and the Upper Sind Frontier District.*

No. 2178.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 9th April 1888.

Letter from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 5527, dated 29th October 1887—Submitting the annual reports received from the (1) Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind, (2) the Manager, Incumbered Estates, Thar and Párkar District, and (3) the Manager, Incumbered Estates, Upper Sind Frontier District, for 1886-87; making remarks; and stating as follows:—

"17. In conclusion, I would recommend that this report should no longer be submitted, as the special Manager, Incumbered Estates Department, has ceased to exist. It will probably be considered sufficient for the Collectors to open a sub-paragraph in paragraph 27 of their annual administration reports and there give shortly the results of their administration of the estates during the year."

RESOLUTION.—The estates in charge of the Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind, were reduced in number, during the year under review, from 143 to 115 by the release of 26, cleared of debt and 2 found to be hopelessly involved. At the close of the year there were only 3 estates for which original, and 7 for which revised, liquidation schemes remained to be sanctioned.

2. Of the total sum, Rs. 21,21,804 awarded to creditors, further claims from whom are now reported to be time-barred, the amount remaining to be paid at the close of the year under review was Rs. 3,80,973, or, if the debts of the relinquished estates and debts covered by leases are deducted, only Rs. 1,89,557. The payments made during the year amounted to Rs. 52,263 by which debts aggregating Rs. 66,379 were satisfied. The debts to Government were reduced from Rs. 4,13,344 to Rs. 3,34,781.

3. The progress made in paying the revenue demands was generally satisfactory.

4. No comment is needed on the few estates which still remain under management in the Thar and Párkar and Upper Sind Frontier Districts. Satisfactory liquidation schemes for all have been sanctioned.

5. The appointment of special Manager, Encumbered Estates Department, having been abolished, this report need not be submitted in future: but Collectors should briefly state in their general administration reports the results of their management of the estates in their charge.

E. LAWRENCE,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Accountant General (with a copy of the report),
The Government of India,
The Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

No. of 188 .

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

Administration Reports.

Report of the Encumbered Estates in Sind for the 16 months ending 31st July 1898.

No. 2425.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 7th April 1899.

Letter from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 5762, dated 26th November 1898—Forwarding with his remarks the Administration Report of the Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind, for the 16 months ending 31st July 1898.

RESOLUTION.—This is the first report of the operations under Act XX of 1896 for the relief of Encumbered Estates in Sind. Similar Acts were passed in 1876 and 1881, the latter of which repealed the former. Its scope was limited to estates which were brought under management within six months of the passing of the Act. The special department which was created ceased to exist in 1887, when the majority of estates, having been freed from embarrassment were released from management, and the duty of completing the operations in regard to the remainder devolved upon the Collectors.

The results of the period of six years from 1881 to 1887 are summarized below:—

District.	Number of Estates	Number of Estates of which Management ceased		Total awarded to creditors.	Paid to Creditors	
	For which orders of management issued.	By order under Section 19.	By order under Section 26.		By advance from Government.	By collection from Estates.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Karáchi	106	29	56	3,02,687	77,117	93,558
Hyderabad	160	22	81	10,09,880	4,53,880	1,37,482
Shikárpur	154	29	95	6,92,238	2,04,596	3,40,899
Thar and Párkar ...	21	4	10	1,16,999	13,240	55,547
Total ...	441	84	242	21,21,804	7,48,833	6,27,486

2. In 1895 it was represented by the local officers that assistance was again urgently needed by estate owners in Sind, and in the following year the Government of India passed Act XX of 1896, amending the earlier Act by the repeal of the limit of time prescribed. At the same time it was deemed inexpedient to permit the adjustment of private debts by loans from the public funds, and the power formerly possessed by the Manager of borrowing from Government was annulled by an amendment of Section 24 (*c*).

3. Mr. L. J. Mountford, I.C.S., was appointed Manager and entered upon his duties on the 1st April 1897. During the sixteen months ending 31st July 1898, 383 estates were under his administration, and numerous applications were still being received. Initial enquiries were delayed by the prescription of six months for the registration of claims, and subsequently by difficulties arising from the plague epidemic; liquidation schemes, however, were prepared and sanctioned for 14 estates, and the examination of 49 estates so far progressed that the liquidation schemes only waited for a favourable turn of the market. In two cases only was it found necessary to relinquish management under Section 19 of the Act.

4. The number of claims registered against the 383 estates in question was 3,351, and their amount Rs. 31,72,249. The Manager enquired into 885 claims to the value of Rs. 10,94,962, of which he awarded Rs. 4,58,770. The rejection of nearly 60 per cent. of the claims is, in view of the Commissioner's corroboration of the Manager's discretion, striking evidence of the

extortions practised or attempted. Some explanation should, however, have been given of the nature or extent of the modifications directed by the Commissioner in 20 out of the 48 cases in which appeals were laid against the Manager's awards.

The difficulties with which Mr. Mountford was confronted in his endeavours to raise money from private sources owing to the monetary stringency which prevailed during this period are appreciated by Government. Debts to the amount of Rs. 1,645 only were paid to creditors, but it is to a small extent a hopeful sign that private capitalists have proffered tenders amounting to half a lákh of rupees. No avoidable delay should be allowed to occur in staying by prompt payment the accumulation of interest upon determined awards.

5. The gross realizations of the estates amounted to Rs. 4,88,356, and the balance in hand on the 31st July was Rs. 2,05,598. To show however the results of the operations up to the latest date Mr. Mountford has carried on the accounts to the date of his report (September), and after deducting all expenditure and outstanding current debts, and taking to credit outstanding claims, has estimated the net income of the period of management at Rs. 1¼ lákh. This sum is available for the discharge of arrears of assessment of past years and the debts of the estates. It is true that, as the Commissioner states, the season's harvest was exceptionally prosperous; but the result is for the first year of administration eminently satisfactory. Economy appears to have been exercised in the management, the cost of which amounted to 7·1 per cent. of the gross income, against a percentage of 10 to 12 of the late Department. A statement in the form of the first part of Annexure II should, as suggested by the Commissioner, be appended to future reports, but it should not again be necessary to enter hypothetical figures after the close of the year. No entry appears in paragraph 1 on account of cost of management; it is not clear why the sum of Rs. 34,696 should have been debited to this head after the close of the year. In paragraph 14 of the report the item is spoken of as an arrear of debt. The Commissioner should be requested to obtain and submit an explanation of the calculations.

6. Advances for agricultural purposes out of Government funds amounted to Rs. 74,912, of which Rs. 1,070 were repaid before the 31st July 1898. The representation referred to by the Commissioner in paragraph 8 of his review has been disposed of by Government Resolution No. 840, dated the 2nd February 1899. When the Manager is able to obtain takávi under the ordinary rules, it would appear inadvisable to make advances for the same purposes from funds placed at his disposal under the Encumbered Estates Act at the higher rate of 8 per cent. interest.

The total Government demand, including Rs. 36,781 arrears of previous years, was Rs. 3,26,196. Of this the sum of Rs. 1,05,033 remained undischarged at the close of the year, but after enquiry into the circumstances of the accrual of the arrears, the Manager anticipates no difficulty in effecting payment from the balances at his command.

7. His Excellency the Governor in Council notes with satisfaction the commendation bestowed by Mr. Giles upon the Manager and looks to Mr. Mountford to complete the successful launching of the Department by winning the confidence of capitalists and securing a sufficiency of funds from private sources.

H. S. LAWRENCE,
Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Accountant General,
The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor. } With copies of the report.
The Government of India,
The Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

No. of 1899.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

No. 5762 OF 1898.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner's Office,

Camp Sanwalpur, 26th November 1898.

From

R. GILES, ESQUIRE, M.A.,
Acting Commissioner in Sind,

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
THE LORD SANDHURST, G.C.I.E.,
Governor and President in Council,
Bombay.

MY LORD,

I have the honour to forward the report of the Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year ending the 31st July 1898. In Government Resolution No. 3113, dated the 16th June 1880, Government decided that the report under the former Act should be prepared for the Revenue instead of the Financial year, and the same practice is therefore followed now.

2. Between the 16th October 1896 and the 31st July 1898, *i. e.*, a period of 21 months, 383 estates had come under management, of which two had been relinquished. In the first report submitted after the previous Act had been in force 29 months, 335 estates were reported as having come under management, of which 21 were relinquished, so that the advantages of the Act appear to have been more sought after than they were on the first occasion. The management of estates in the Thar and Parkar District was not then placed under the Manager, as it is now, but was under the Deputy Commissioner. The figures above, however, include the estates under both.

3. The Manager disposed of nearly one-third of the claims, *i. e.*, having regard to their money value, as he settled claims amounting to Rs. 10,94,962 out of a total sum claimed on the 383 estates of Rs. 31,72,249, reducing the former sum to Rs. 4,58,770—a large reduction, but not, I think, an excessive one, as in my enquiry on the appeals presented to me I have been struck by the exorbitancy and falseness of many of the claims, and been fully satisfied with the Manager's mode of dealing with them.

4. The Manager has been hampered in his transactions by the want of ready money with which to pay off the debts, but his difficulties will gradually disappear.

5. The mistake made by the Civil Courts referred to in paragraph 8 of his report is an extraordinary one, as Section 39 of the Act clearly relates to questions of "succession" only.

6. The total outstanding balances on account of Government revenue are large (Rs. 1,05,033); but a considerable portion of it represents arrears due when the estates were taken over, and it is a small sum compared to the arrears which were sometimes due under the former management, *e. g.*, in 1883-84, when the sum due at the close of the year was Rs. 3,41,697, notwithstanding the fact that the Manager received then much more assistance from Government in the way of advances. He should, however, endeavour to settle up all arrears in the current year.

7. The repetition under the head of "General Remarks" of information already given appears superfluous.

8. I have already forwarded the Manager's representation on the subject of the interest on Takavi advances, and would be glad if Government could reduce it to the rate sanctioned for distribution by the Collectors.

9. The Manager's establishment does not, I am confident, err on the side of excess; but I agree with the Manager that effective departmental management of the estates is generally impossible, because, even if the cost of the largely increased supervision, which would be necessary, could be met, the supervision itself would still remain unreliable.

10. The Manager shows in Annexure No. II, which will, if Government approve, be attached to future reports, and in his 14th paragraph that the net income (*i. e.*, after payment of cost of management, &c.,) available for payment of debts (including in them arrears of assessment for past years) was Rs. 1,25,581, and adds that, after careful scrutiny and appeal to the Collector, if necessary, the entire amount of arrears to Government will be paid at once. Notwithstanding, however, all that Mr. Mountford adduces to the contrary, the year must be deemed to have been a very favourable one, and, if accepted as a guide for future years, would inevitably lead to disappointment.

11. I shall await with great interest Mr. Mountford's report and suggestions on "the system of Government supervision of money-lenders' accounts," and trust that he will maintain his dictum that "much can be done by a very trifling remedy." His remarks on usury, especially in grain transactions, and on the unreliability of the Banyas' accounts are interesting, and appear to me to strongly support the view that Government interference in order to protect the zamindar is necessary—notably the prohibition of the class of mortgages (simple) of which he has given an illustration.

12. In my opinion, the selection of Mr. Mountford for the appointment of Manager of Incumbered Estates was a happy one. He has carried out its duties, with zeal, tact and ability.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient Servant,

R. GILES,

Acting Commissioner in Sind.

SIND INCUMBERED ESTATES

ADMINISTRATION REPORT

FOR

THE YEAR ENDING 31ST JULY 1898.

INDEX.

Paragraph No.	Subject.	Page No.
1, 2 & 3	Introductory	1
	Explanation of Appendices.	
4	General working of the Act	1
5	Claims against Estates	*ib.*
6	Working of the Estates	2
7	Government Loans	*ib.*
8	Civil Suits	*ib.*
9	Outstanding Balances of Government Revenue ...	*ib.*
	General Remarks.	
10	Enquiry into Claims	2
11	Loans	3
12	Liquidation Schemes	*ib.*
13	Establishment	4
14	Income of Estates	*ib.*
15	The Season and Crops	6
16	System of Accounts	*ib.*
17	The Money-lender	*ib.*

No. 933 of 1898.

Sind Incumbered Estates Office,
Hyderabad, 26th September 1898.

From

L. J. MOUNTFORD, Esquire, I. C. S.,
Manager of Incumbered Estates in Sind,

To

R. GILES, Esquire,
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit my report, with 5 appendices, on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind from the 1st April 1897—on which date I was appointed Manager under Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. 2792 of 8th April 1897—up to the 31st July 1898, a period of one year and four months. This is the first report under the Act, and the newness of the work, coupled with the inexperience of many of the members of the office, is the cause of the late submission of this report.

2. The Department has been under my charge the whole time. The office establishment was increased in anticipation of Government sanction last July, and I received the services of an Assistant Manager about that time. Two Deputy Managers have since been appointed to supervise the field establishment. But for this augmentation of the office staff, it would not have been possible to submit this report.

3. The Act was passed on 16th October 1896, but the work developed gradually, as, after an estate has been admitted to the benefits of the Act, a period of six months must first expire before the claims can be enquired into. The first few enquiries were possible by October 1897, but the real work of enquiry did not begin until November. No limit for the admission of estates is fixed, as under the old Act (XIV of 1876), which allowed six months only; the work, therefore, is gradually increasing, and it is hard to say when the limit will be reached, as applications are received daily. Up to the 31st July 1898, 383 estates had come under management, and over 50 applications were under reference. By the end of the present Revenue year, the number of estates under management will exceed 500.

4. The general working of the Act is shown in Appendix I. A summary at the end of this appendix shows that, of the 383 estates under management, 2 estates have been relinquished under S. 19, leaving 381 estates to be accounted for. In the case of 14 of these, liquidation schemes have been sanctioned under S. 18 of the Act. Of the remainder, enquiries into claims have been completed in respect of 49 estates, and liquidation schemes are under preparation. The low rates of grain that have obtained for the last year have prevented the successful negotiation of leases, without which the liquidation schemes cannot be submitted.

5. The total sum claimed against these 383 estates was Rs. 31,72,249 as against Rs. 25,98,634 estimated by the estate owners. Claims to the extent of Rs. 10,94,962 have been settled and Rs. 4,58,770 awarded. At present, only Rs. 1,645 of the debts have been paid off, as it has not yet been found possible to raise a loan on the estates, either locally or through the various banking firms (*vide* Annexure I). It will be possible to raise loans on the larger estates locally, but the liquidation of the smaller estates must be carried out each year from the surplus profits.

Summary No. III of Appendix I shows the indebtedness of the estates. In the case of 4 estates (No. 330 of Karachi and Nos. 224, 225 and 241 of Hyderabad), no claims have been registered, but as liquidation schemes will be submitted, I have included these 4 estates in columns 2 and 9. If these estates were to be relinquished under S. 19 without any liquidation scheme, all debts would revive, and there would then be the danger of claimants against future estates holding back, in order to ensure the relinquishment of an estate, against which they would then proceed in a Civil Court. The liquidation scheme, however, bars all claims not registered, and as a safeguard the liquidation scheme will be submitted in the case of each of these 4 estates.

The total amount of claims against these estates is Rs. 2,99,997, and the average indebtedness of the 59 estates against which claims have been decided is Rs. 5,084. The average is, however, raised by the 6 large estates which are included in columns 7 and 8 The 4 estates against which no debts have been proved are not included in this average.

6. Appendix II shows the results of the working of the estates. It will be seen that the Government advances for takavi amount to Rs. 74,912, on which interest to the extent of Rs. 2,060-2-3 accrues, making the total liability Rs. 76,972-2-3. Of this, Rs. 1,070 have been repaid by 31st July 1898, and by this time over Rs. 20,000 have been recovered. There is no immediate likelihood of default being made in respect of the remainder.

7. Appendix III shows details of Government loans, totals of which have been given in Appendix II. Details are not uniform with Appendix II, as the advances are given up to the end of the Financial year.

8. Appendix IV.—Owing to the misinterpretation of S. 39, the Civil Courts, including the District Court, Shikarpur, awarded possession to bondholders and others who, they considered, had a right to the land claimed. I pointed out that this was contrary to the meaning of the section, which gives the Civil Courts powers over questions relating to "succession" only, and not over questions of transfer of property. I have had to appeal against these decisions, and the result will probably turn in my favour, as the Sadar Court has already held that the Courts have no jurisdiction in such cases in its order of 21st March 1898.

9. Appendix V shows the balances outstanding on account of Government revenue on the 31st July. It will be observed that Rs. 1,05,033-8-6 are outstanding. Of this, Rs. 36,781-11-7 represent the arrears of revenue for past years with which the estates were encumbered at the time of admission under the Act. Some of the remaining arrears are due to the zamindars applying for protection after they had carried away the rabi produce of 1896-97, and thereby saddling this Department with the assessment without means to discharge it. If we except past arrears, we have Rs. 68,251-12-11 as balance for the year during which the income was duly credited to the estates. This figure is in reality far less than it appears, as close on half a lakh has already at the time of writing been paid off, and the result is therefore satisfactory. It is further hoped that it will be possible to obtain remission of some of the outstanding arrears of past years, which in several cases comprise heavy fines on account of unauthorized cultivation which are beyond the zamindars' means to pay. Thus, the arrears may be put down at a little over half a lakh, of which over Rs. 36,000 are on account of past years.

General Remarks.

Enquiry into Claims.

10. By the 31st July 1898, 383 estates had come under management, of which 2 had been relinquished under S. 19 of the Act, leaving 381 to be disposed of. In the case of 14 estates, liquidation schemes have been sanctioned, while 49 estates are ready for the liquidation scheme as soon as favourable leases have been secured. At present, the low rate of grain is against this. Up to the 31st July 1898, 3,351 claims had been registered for Rs. 31,72,249. By the end of September 1897, the period required under S. 12 of the Act for the registration

of claims against the first estates admitted had expired, but through dread of a recrudescence of plague no one would attend my Court at Karachi, and it was not until I moved out into camp in November that I was able to seriously deal with the claims against the estates. 885 claims were decided in the 9 months ending 31st July, but I was unable to give my full attention to this important branch of the work as my office was very small and I had no assistance. The correspondence work engrossed a large portion of my time. The total claimed on these claims amounted to Rs. 10,94,962, of which I awarded Rs. 4,58,770.

Against my 885 decisions, 48 appeals have been made, and in 20 cases my decisions have been modified.

In all cases, where estate owners had shown liabilities for which no claims were made, the creditors were summoned, and their reasons for omitting to register claims were enquired into.

Loans.

11. Under the original Act, Government advanced eleven lakhs under Government of India's No. 902, dated 31st May 1879, in order to put the Act into working order and to pay off the debts of the estates. Government now no longer see their way to advance money to pay off the debts of the estates, and it is necessary to have recourse to local investors, if such can be found. Rs. 73,842 were, however, advanced for takavi, and it is to be hoped that the advances will continue for this purpose; otherwise, it will be well nigh impossible to ensure the proper cultivation of the unleased estates each year.

In Sind, it is the custom for the Banya to advance money to the temporary hari only on the security of the zamindar himself, and as the zamindar cannot now contract any liability, it is necessary for me to supply the hari with the necessary means of cultivation and of existence until the harvest; otherwise, the lands would be deserted. The demands for takavi have therefore been considerable, and are likely to remain so.

All the estates to which I am now advancing takavi would, but for the Act, be taking takavi in the ordinary way from the Collectors at 5 %, and it seems hard that they should be charged 8 % now that they have come under the Act. As a matter of fact, coming under the Act should rather be a reason for securing advances on less or at least on equal terms with other estates that are not so deeply indebted as to require the benefits of the Act. On this point, however, I will address you separately.

Liquidation Schemes.

12. Liquidation schemes have been sanctioned in the case of 14 estates, while in the case of 49 more, liquidation schemes are under preparation. The reasons for the delay, which is unavoidable, have been pointed out elsewhere. As soon as satisfactory offers can be obtained for the leases, the schemes will be presented. Only Rs. 1,645 have been paid off of the debts. I have addressed various banks, mortgage agencies and firms, and have from time to time informed you demi-officially of the result, which has not been encouraging. One and all refuse to take up loans, the chief reason being that there is no Government guarantee to secure the debt in case of default. The loans, moreover, must be separately raised for each estate, and it is not to be expected that small loans of a few thousands will be taken up by any firm. As, however, more estates are settled, it will be possible to group estates that require loans for the same length of time under one class, and thus a more satisfactory basis of operations can be obtained. Up to the present, the lending capacity of the local market is an unknown quantity, for it has hitherto never been put to the test.

Tenders for loans to the extent of Rs. 30,000 have been received in the local markets, which will be shortly taken up. It may be that, when once a start is made, local investors will take heart of grace, for the interest is good and the investment secure. But as regards English Houses, so long as Government cannot specifically guarantee investors against loss, it is impossible for heads of firms out here to persuade Directors at home to sanction investments: the investor at home will not be satisfied by any statement that the guarantee

is practically a Government guarantee and that every precaution will be taken. If the local markets do not respond, it will be necessary to again address Government on the subject of advancing money to liquidate the estates. The investment is secure, and the interest (7 % at present) is sufficiently high to render the investment lucrative. Under the old Act, of some 13 lakhs advanced, there was not a single bad debt. Annexure I shows the steps taken to secure loans.

Establishment.

13. Up to the end of July last, there were 21 overseers (there are now 40) scattered over the Province, whose duty it is to look after the estates, to report on all matters connected with them, to collect the dues, to arrange for proper cultivation in consultation with the zamindar, to report what takavi is required, to keep the haris together, and finally to see that the produce is not surreptitiously appropriated by the estate-owners at the time of the harvest. Their duties are delicate and intricate, and upon their integrity and zeal the success of the estate as a paying concern largely depends. Over these are 2 Deputy Managers, who were appointed, in anticipation of sanction, subsequent to 31st July, to enable me to exercise supervision over the overseers, who have up to the present been pretty well left to themselves, to the detriment of some of the estates, as the Mukhtyarkars cannot find time to look after them.

Income of the Estates.

14. To secure the produce of the estates and to forestall all peculation on the part of the zamindar or overseer, I lease the estates, where possible, for one year, pending the arrangement for leases of a longer term. In some cases, I leased the estates to the zamindars themselves for a fixed sum, with due security, and this was successful in many cases. But the fall in the price of grain and the slackness of the markets owing to the large importations from the Panjab were sometimes too much for the zamindars. Some of the arrears of such leases are being recovered from the sureties of the zamindars, and last year's returns will of course be affected; but, on the other hand, these collections will swell the current year's income. I hope to eventually be able to lease out all the estates, as I am convinced that it is not possible to manage the estates departmentally with much success. Effective supervision over the overseers can only be secured at enormous and prohibitive cost, as there are estates in nearly every taluka in Sind, and a reliable officer would be required at least for each division. Leases for a term of years will, moreover, conduce to the improvement of the estates and the well-being of the haris, as the capitalist will secure continuous cultivation and will sink money in the land.

The total gross income from the estates was Rs. 4,88,356 up to the 31st July 1898, *plus* Rs. 73,842 advanced by Government as takavi; and payments made by estate-owners (1) on account of Government takavi, Rs. 20,668, and (2) on account of takavi advanced to them in the beginning of the year out of estates' balance, Rs. 19,967,—making a total of Rs. 6,02,833. Details of the income and expenditure are given in Annexure II. If you approve, this annexure will be given each year. Without it, it is not possible to see the financial condition of the Department as a whole. The Government demand as paid up to 31st July amounts to ... ... Rs. 2,24,805
Maintenance paid from produce of estates ... ... „ 67,470
Government takavi disbursed ... ... „ 73,842
Lent from produce of estates for expenses of cultivation ... „ 27,104
Miscellaneous expenditure ... ... „ 2,369
Paid to private creditors ... ... „ 1,645

Making total deductions ... ... Rs. 3,97,235

Up to the time of writing this report, the following arrears of debt have been discharged :—

Cost of management ... ... Rs. 34,696
Government assessment... ... ... „ 48,818
Takavi repaid to Government ... ... „ 20,668
——— Rs. 1,04,182

This leaves an actual balance of Rs. 1,01,416.

We have yet to pay to Government—

Rs. 53,174 to repay takavi advanced.
„ 24,714 assessment of last year.

Rs. 77,888

We have, however, to recover from the estates Rs. 50,000 arrears of produce, and Rs. 44,916 arrears of Government takavi advanced, and Rs. 7,137 arrears of takavi advances made out of produce of estates—in all, Rs. 1,02,053. Rs. 1,02,053, therefore, represent the arrears that will be collected to the credit of the estates to meet the debit arrears of Rs 77,888. As a matter of fact, I may here note that, since 31st July, we have already collected nearly Rs. 16,000 of the arrears, the figures standing at—

Rs. 4,047 recovery of takavi advanced.
„ 11,712 ditto of produce.

Rs. 15,759

By the time, therefore, that all arrears of last year's income are collected and all debts of last year discharged, the net income of last year will work out to Rs. 1,25,581. This will be the real income of last year.

The net income of Rs. 1,25,581 is attained as follows:—

Balance shown above... ... Rs. 1,01,416

Add amount to be recovered for takavi and produce arrears to be credited to estates.
Rs. 44,916 Government takavi.
„ 50,000 Produce.
„ 7,137 Takavi advanced.

Rs. 1,02,053 From income of estates.

Rs. 2,03,469

Deduct as follows:—

Debt due to Government for takavi and assessment to be paid by the estates.
Rs. 53,174 Takavi.
„ 24,714 Assessment.

Rs. 77,888

Remainder—Net Income ... Rs. 1,25,581

This balance will be devoted to discharging arrears of assessment of past years and the debts of the estates. The arrears of past years amount to the high figure of Rs. 36,781-11-7, of which Rs. 5,279 have been paid in September, leaving Rs. 31,502. These arrears accumulated before the estates came under management, and will now be paid off. The circumstances, however, under which the arrears accrued will be scrutinized, and if there be any likelihood of remission in any case, the matter will be put before the Collectors. The first tangible result, therefore, of this Department will be the discharge of all the arrears of past years which have accumulated on the estates.

The cost of management is very low, indeed, being only Rs. 7-2-8 per cent., while the cost of management under the old Acts varied between 12% and 10%. At present, the cost of management has been calculated on the gross produce of each estate, although some estates have been under management the whole time and some for only a portion of the time. As the outturn of the estates varies from year to year, while the amount of supervision an estate requires is practically the same, it would be better, when accurate data can be ascertained, to settle the average annual income of an estate, and to debit the estate proportionately with its share of the management expenses on that basis.

The balance, *viz.*, Rs. 1,01,416, at present in hand, which will amount to Rs. 1,25,581 when all arrears of income have been collected and arrears of takavi and assessment paid off, will be devoted to defraying the debts of the estates, and I think that this balance is a very satisfactory item in the result

of last year's administration, for the first two years are bound to be the most expensive, as no income is received from leased or mortgaged lands until the lands are set free, which will require a year or two. In the meantime, the owner of the leased estate is a drag upon the resources of the Department, as he has to be maintained while his lands yield no return whatever. Such estates are the subject of early enquiry.

The Season and Crops.

15. The year has been fair on the whole. The inundation was very high, and in many talukas—such as Kakar, Sehwan, Sakrand, Guni, Badin and Sujawal—damage was done by the floods to the kharif crops. Shahbandar was so badly flooded that rabi cultivation was greatly restricted.

Kharif crops suffered from the ravages of rats in the Tatta Taluka.

The rabi crops were fair, but rain fell too late to be of much benefit. The rabi crops were damaged by locusts in the Larkana Division and the Upper Sind Frontier District. In spite of these destructive agencies, however, the year would have been a good one, had the rates held out. But by February the rates had fallen very low, indeed. In September 1897, the rate for juari was Rs. 87 per kharar. In February, it had fallen to Rs. 56 per kharar. The rates of all grain were similarly affected. Some estates have yielded less than the Government demand, due chiefly to lack of effective supervision. The appointment of two Deputy Managers will, however, go far to minimise peculation and mismanagement on the part of the overseers and the zamindars themselves. Unless the rates improve, this year's outlook will not be favourable, as the inundation is very low.

System of Accounts.

16. A brief account of the financial branch of the Department may not be out of place. I draw upon the takavi that is placed at my disposal by the Accountant General at the Huzur treasuries by a receipt, and it is then credited to my personal deposit. The Treasury Officer, on receipt of my cheque, drawn on my personal deposit, issues cash orders on sub-treasuries for the amounts ordered to be paid to the estate owners. When money is required to be paid on account of maintenance, the cheques are issued by me on the Huzur treasury and entered in the Roznama at once. On receipt of the cheques, the Huzur Treasury Officer issues cash orders on the various sub-treasuries where the maintenance is to be paid out. At the time of actual disbursement, the Treasury Officer at the sub-treasury sends me intimation of payment. At the end of each month, each Huzur treasury sends me a pass-book, in which the issue of cash orders is recorded together with the number of the cheque on which the cash order issued. When money on account of leases, &c., is received to the crdit of the estate at the sub-treasury, the Treasury Officer sends me notices of the amounts credited, which are compared with the amounts shown in the pass-book each month to the credit of the estate.

The Money-lender.

17. Before closing the report, it is necessary to record a few observations on the money-lender in general. The claims are in many cases extremely involved, and the connection between the zamindar and the money-lender has often to be traced some 15 or 20 years back. In the case of mortgages, profits have seldom been duly credited against the debts : if any profit is shown at all, the rates allowed for grain are far below the market rates.

Running accounts, owing to the money-lender often not keeping a Day-book, can be increased indefinitely. The zamindar never attempts to check his accounts beyond affixing his signature to a stamp when called upon, generally in ignorance of the amount which he is acknowledging, as the accounts are kept in the Banya character, which no zamindar understands. (I may mention that the Banya character, which has been hitherto supposed to differ in nearly every village in Sind, is a very simple character, and is the same for all Sind, except Rohri and Shikarpur, which have characters of their own imported from the Panjab.) If no Day-book is kept, the total of a running account, which often contains a large percentage of interest, is written up in bonds as a "principal sum paid in cash." But it is in grain transactions that the money-lender takes the greatest advantage of the zamindar's pressing needs by buying grain in advance far below the lowest possible value that it could fall to by the date of delivery.

To safeguard the zamindars, some Government supervision of account books, such as obtains in Italy, and the compulsory keeping of a Roznama or Day-book are necessary. I intend, however, to address you separately as to how far the system of Government supervision of money-lenders' accounts now obtaining in some parts of Europe should be made applicable to Sind. Much can be done by a very trifling remedy. As regards usury, I think on the whole the peasant proprietor in Sind is by no means more hardly dealt with than the peasant proprietors of Europe. Excessive interest is, of course, met with, but the rates of 12 % and 18 % do not appear to be exceeded in 50 per cent of the bonds Where the security is particularly good, 9 % and 6 % are occasionally met with: where the security is doubtful, 75 % is often taken. Still, interest at even 12 % goes far to swallow up the profits on land improvements. We do not have the hire-system as applied to cattle which is so common in Europe, where failure to pay one instalment results in forfeiture of cattle, nor do we have the rate of 1,200 per cent., which was said to be a common rate in an Italian village. Usury is, of course, prevalent in all its protean shapes, but in a less degree than it would appear to be in many other countries. In grain transactions, however, the money-lender, by purchasing below the lowest rate ever reached, makes enormous profits, and depends as a rule more on these profits than on interest to make his business a paying one. Whether or not this could be prevented by legislation, is a moot point. In one State in Europe, it is forbidden in the Penal Code to purchase grain at more than 10% below current prices (Bulgaria). As regards the account books themselves, forgeries are common. The accounts often show interlinear additions, erasures and alterations. Inserting fresh pages is not usual as it is too risky and is seldom necessary, as, when a Banya desires to write up a fair copy of an account he has tampered with, he selects one of the many account ledgers of the approximate date in which he has always left a number of blank pages here and there, and he writes up the fair copy on one of these. The cash items that tally in the Day-book he copies in correctly, but the various petty items—ghi, tobacco, cloth and grain, &c.—he adds *ad libitum*, and as he keeps as a rule no Day-book for petty items, the fraud cannot be detected, unless the original account is discovered and compared. Time-barred accounts are written up as account balances under a later date; decrees that award no interest are written up as cash debts on bonds, which, of course, carry interest. Accounts of profits of mortgage show profits set off against the principal account only. The profits that are set off against the interest account are never shown in the accounts. All these and many more tricks are commonly practised, and a most rigorous scrutiny of the account books is necessary in order to discover them. But the greatest harm is done by mortgage bonds which convey possession of the land for a fixed term of years with the proviso that, if the debt be not paid off by the expiry of the term, possession will continue until the debt is discharged. Needless to say, the mortgagee takes good care to cultivate so little, or to arrange his accounts so cleverly, that the debt is not defrayed by the required term. Thus, for a mortgage debt of Rs. 300, land yielding Rs. 600 a year remained in possession of the mortgagee for 30 years, until freed by the Act (Estate No. 1). This is one example of many. Where the money-lender and zamindar are partners, profits are suppressed in the same way, and at the end of a few years the result of the partnership, so far from being the liquidation of the debt, shows a debt double or treble what it was previous to the inauguration of partnership. Many of these evils are inevitable, owing to the ignorance and indolence of the zamindar; others can easily be remedied by law, but the future of the zamindar depends upon himself. Without thrift, prudence and foresight, farming can never result in anything but hopeless failure. Education and co-operation are necessary, and both these can only be attained by slow degrees.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

L. J. MOUNTFORD,

Manager.

ANNEXURE I.

Correspondence anent raising Loans.

1. On 13th November 1897, a circular was addressed to the Mukhtyarkars and Deputy Collectors to invite tenders for loans. Two tenders to the extent of Rs. 11,000 resulted from Hyderabad.

2. They were again addressed on 21st April 1898 with a list of loans required for various estates, and tenders for Rs. 9,000 were received.

3. Bank of Bombay was addressed on 22nd April 1898.

4. Messrs. Ritchie, Steuart of Bombay on 22nd April 1898.

5. Messrs. Gillanders, Arbuthnot & Co., Calcutta do.

6. The Commercial Bank of India on 6th June 1898. Correspondence with the above houses was carried on for some time.

7. Messrs. Gillanders, Arbuthnot & Co. objected to the small loans, as estates could not be offered as joint security. (2) They objected to loans of less than Rs. 3,00,000 each. (3) They objected to payments in discharge at any time in addition to the instalments, and required loans for 10 years.

8. Messrs. Ritchie, Steuart regretted they had no capital available.

9. Bank of Bombay and Commercial Bank of India required Government guarantee for repayment.

10. Subsequent tenders of Rs. 30,000 have been received from local investors.

11. *N.B.*—Loans on the large estates, such as Messrs. Gillanders, Arbuthnot might be led to negotiate, can be obtained locally. The difficulty is to negotiate a variety of small loans.

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

ANNEXURE II.

1. INCOME.

Produce	... Rs.	4,88,356
Takavi advanced by Government	... „	73,842
	Rs.	5,62,19

Deduct Expenditure on account of—

Government Assessment... ...	... Rs.	2,24,805
Maintenance from Estates' income	... „	67,470
Miscellaneous expenditure, marriages, &c.	... „	2,369
Paid to creditors	... „	1,645
Government Takavi disbursed ...	... „	73,842
Takavi disbursed from Estates' balances	... „	27,104
	Rs.	3,97,235
	Rs.	1,64,963

Add—

Payments by Estate-owners on account of Government Takavi	Rs.	20,658
Do. do. Takavi advanced from Estates' balances	„	19,967
	Rs.	40,635
Balance in hand on 31st July 1898	Rs.	2,05,598

2. To arrive at the results of the year, the accounts are carried on—

Deduct Payments since 31st July 1898.

Cost of Management	... Rs.	34,696
Assessment	... „	48,818
Government Takavi	... „	20,668
	Rs.	1,04,182
	Rs.	1,01,416

Deduct due to Government—

On account of Takavi	... Rs.	53,174
On account of Assessment of last year	... „	24,714
	Rs.	77,888
	Rs.	23,528

Add Outstandings to be paid into Estates—

(Approximate)—On account of Produce	... Rs.	50,000
On account of Government Takavi...	„	44,916
On account of Takavi from income of Estates	„	7,137
	Rs.	1,02,053
	Rs.	1,25,581

Note.—Of the outstandings, Rs. 15,759 have since been recovered.

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

ANNEXURE III—TAKAVI ACCOUNT.

I.

Takavi advanced by Government		Rs. 73,842
Distributed as follows:—		
On account of cultivation expenses		Rs. 65,584
On account of maintenance of Estate-owners ...		„ 8,258
		Rs. 73,842
Deduct payments by Estate-owners and credited to Government		„ 20,668
Balance due to Government		Rs. 53,174

II.

Takavi advanced out of income of Estates		Rs. 27,104
Deduct payments credited to Estates		„ 19,967
Remainder due to Estates		Rs. 7,137
Total Takavi utilised by Estates for cultivation expenses		Rs. 65,584
		„ 27,104
		Rs. 92,688

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

APPENDIX

Statement of Estates placed under Management according to the provisions of by the Commissioner in Sind.

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
		Karachi District.					
1	39	Gul Muhammad wd. Geduro and Sajan wd. Pinio, Garhas ...	Zamindar ...	1st April 1897 ...	4,415	5	7,157
2	192	Wadero Gul Muhammad Khan wd. Wadero Fateh Muhammad Khan, Rind	Jagirdar ...	25th May 1897...	3,260	9	4,324
3	75	Haji Khalifo Mubarak, Kasim Khan, Saleh Muhammad and Jamal sons of Bijar, Jat ...	Zamindar ...	13th April 1887 .	19,886	4	61,532
4	201	Shahdad Khan *alias* Parial Khan wd. Gul Muhammad Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	28th May 1897...	11,730	18	14,041
					39,291	36	87,654
5	15	Ahmed wd. Salih, Pawhar ...	Zamindar ...	13th March 1897.	2,481	2	98
6	20	Fazul Khan wd. Fateh Khan, Malkani	Do. ...	15th March 1897.	9,340	3	10,831
7	23	Ali Khan, Muhammad Sharif, Dost Muhammad and Ghulam Muhammad sons of Hasan, Pawhar ...	Do. ...	18th March 1897.	3,698	17	3,773
8	30	Pir Baksh wd. Arzi, Mirbahar ...	Do. ...	26th March 1897.	7,038	32	9,431
9	38	Lutif Ali Shah wd. Kurban Ali Shah	Do. ...	1st April 1897 ...	2,564	5	3,668
10	42	Muhammad Rahim Shah son of Mahsum Shah and Musmat Bibi Gous wife of Sayad Amin Muhammad Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,728	2	4,982
11	50	Punhu Khan wd. Thango Khan, Lund	Do. ...	2nd April 1897...	1,893	8	3,568
12	52	Chutal Khan wd. Lutif Ali Khan, Bozdar	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,741	10	4,351
13	60	Ismail Khan, Shah Muhammad and Taj Muhammad sons of Fateh Muhammad, Laghari ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	8,763	11	9,060
14	61	Sileman Khan son of Haji Baksh Ali, Rind...	Do. ...	Do. ...	554	2	334
15	64	Alam Khan wd. Fazul Muhammad and Sumro Khan wd. Alam Khan	Do. ...	Do. ...	934	3	1,021
16	65	Sohrab Khan wd. Khair Muhammad Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,275	9	10,176
17	66	Muhammad Ishak wd. Ibrahim Khan, Manghwar	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,697	6	6,169
18	73	Wadero Ali wd. Fazul, Lanjar ...	Do. ...	1st April 1897 ...	14,355	11	15,619
19	76	Mian Khuda Baksh Khan son of Farid Khan	Do. ...	13th April 1897 .	11,996	4	3,825
20	85	Hote Khan wd. Sadik Muhammad, Laghari	Do. ...	21st April 1897 .	2,836	8	4,396
21	87	Wadero Sher Muhammad Khan and Mir Muhammad Khan sons of Bachal Khan, Jatoi	Do. ...	Do. ...	13,864	36	20,404
22	107	Bahadin son of Miao Bakhsho, Chano	Do. ...	27th April 1897 .	3,202	8	4,151
23	103	Alahrakhio son of Ali, Chano ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,656	14	3,887
24	110	Jiandal Shah and Bachal Shah sons of Jurial Shah	Do. ...	1st March 1897 .	11,245	17	6,857
25	115	Bhai Khan and Khuda Baksh Khan sons of Jafar Khan, Jamali ...	Do. ...	26th April 1897 .	2,857	3	783
26	120	Sayad Rabdino Shah son of Alah Baksh Shah	Do. ...	30th April 1897 .	2,213	3	3,900
27	121	Sayad Roshan Ali Shah wd. Alahdino Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,900	5	4,582
28	126	Imam Baksh, Lashkar Khan and Ghulam Shah sons of Ghulam Hyder Khan	Do. ...	5th May 1897 ...	6,620	5	2,651
29	128	Baksh Ali Khan son of Tawkuli Khan, Jamali	Do. ...	3rd May 1897 ...	1,990	7	2,964
				Carried over ...	1,36,440	231	1,40,722

I.

Act XX of 1896, distinguishing those in which Liquidation Schemes have been sanctioned

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
2,879	...	...	2,879	1st April 1898.	340	
1,813	...	...	1,813	6th May 1898.	315	4 appeals, which were rejected.
6,000	...	...	6,000	27th July 1898.	3,300	
633	...	...	633	29th July 1898.	4,874	
11,325	...	...	11,325			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,102	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
272	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
3,559	...	...	...	...	...	
20	...	...	...	...	...	5 claims amounting to Rs. 6,096 not disposed of.
4,185	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed : 2 appeals, which were rejected.
903	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 1,897 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
4,476	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed : 4 appeals which were rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
3,206	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
117	...	...	...	...	...	3 claims for Rs. 2,530 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	4 claims for Rs. 1,008 have been since disposed of.
17,839	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
				Brought forward..	1,36,440	231	1,40,722
30	134	Alah Baksh Khan wd. Fazul Muhammad Khan, Laghari ...	Zamindar ...	5th May 1897 ...	1,032	4	721
31	136	Sumar wd. Ashah Muhammad, Kehar	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,531	19	14,524
32	139	Karam Ali Khan Gulam Ali Khan and Murad Ali Khan sons of Umed Ali Khan	Do. ...	8th May 1897 ...	19,789	20	8,809
33	148	Loung Khan wd. Haji Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,380	3	2,832
34	156	Jan Muhammad and Muhammad Khan sons of Lal Baksh Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	10th May 1897...	7,066	8	6,175
35	171	Wadero Yar Muhammad Khan wd. Bahawal Khan, Rind ..	Jagirdar ...	14th May 1897...	14,200	22	18,295
36	172	Dost Muhammad wd. Dhandino, Jamat	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	7,164	23	12,325
37	173	Gul Muhammad Khan, wd. Parial Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,755	15	4,236
38	174	Wadero Chutal Khan wd. Muhammad Ali, Kachar	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,370	5	2,181
39	177	Sayad Muhammad Zaman Shah son of Sayad Kadirdino Shah ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,505	14	4,504
40	178	Wali Muhammad son of Bakshan Khan and Musmat Siani wife of Biloch Khan	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,860	7	7,154
41	187	Lahno wd. Manthar, Dadu wd. Dham Ali and Manthar wd. Dadu	Do. ...	22nd May 1897...	4,900	8	2,767
42	188	Sumar wd. Haji Mauledino ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,517	3	2,561
43	189	Sayad Taj Muhammad Shah and Pir Muhammad Shah sons of Alahobhayo Shah	Do. ...	25th May 1897...	4,400	5	7,205
44	193	Makhdum Muhammad Hasan, and Khan Muhammad sons of Makhdum Sachal, Janot	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,255	3	238
45	196	Usan Shah and his two minor brothers Ghulam Nabi Shah and Din Muhammad Shah sons of Kasim Shah	Do. ...	26th May 1897...	1,735	5	2,275
46	200	Alah Baksh Khan son of Bilo Khan, Khushk	Do. ...	28th May 1897...	2,454	6	3,496
47	204	Misri Khan wd. Haji Tahir, Jakhro.	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,370	1	235
48	214	Vidhomal wd. Chandumal and Dholomal, Dulomal, Asanmal and Kodumal wd. Panjandas and Dinomal wd. Vidhomal ...	Do. ...	5th June 1897...	6,274	26	57,254
49	226	Niazu wd. Bsksh Ali (minor) ...	Do. ...	12th June 1897..	3,303	10	8,240
50	228	Din Muhammad wd. Sher Muhammad, Jamali	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,145	7	1,825
51	231	Sayad Ghulam Rasul Shah wd. Sayad Kaim Shah, Niaz Husen Shah, Abdul Husen Shah, Kaim Shah, Rustom Shah, Alahdad Shah and Ghulam Kadir ...	Jagirdar ...	15th June 1897...	89,501	93	1,44,994
52	244	Muhammad Ali wd. Khair Muhammad, Malukain	Zamindar ...	29th June 1897...	3,220	5	2,616
53	252	Bibi Bakhan widow of Sayad Bahadur Ali Shah	Do. ...	7th July 1897 ...	9,586	3	14,683
54	253	Muhammad Yusuf wd. Wadero Ahmed, Mirbahar	Do. ...	12th July 1897...	11,106	11	14,183
55	255	Umed Ali wd. Bahadur Khan, Jehan Khan wd. Umed Ali and Bakhar Khan wd. Mirzo Khan, Jamalis...	Do. ...	19th July 1897...	5,803	14	6,174
56	256	Rasul Baksh Khan and Parial Khan sons of Imam Baksh Khan.	Do. ...	20th July 1897...	41,095	36	32,305
57	268	Rabdino son of Ibrahim, Sumro ...	Do. ...	28th July 1897...	2,700	4	2,855
58	272	Sumar wd. Arbab Muhammad and Pirdino wd. Ibrahim, Kehars ...	Do. ...	5th August 1897.	10,019	4	7,392
				Carried over ...	4,10,475	615	5,33,776

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
17,839	...	...	...	...	...	
183	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
57	...	...	...	...	...	19 claims for Rs. 8,752 undisposed of, out of which 10 claims for Rs. 911 have since been disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
10,692	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed: 1 appeal, which was rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
3,525	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	8 claims for Rs. 1,390 since disposed of in August.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,171	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
2,989	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 1,100 undisposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed and no claim proved.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
63,374	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed: 21 appeals, of which 4 were rejected, and in 17 decision modified.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
99,830	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. No.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward..	4,10,475	615	5,33,776
59	286	Beg Muhammad son of Chungal, Bajar	Zamindar ...	14th August 1897	13,312	11	3,445
60	299	Sayad Kadir Baksh Shah son of Sayad Ali Muhammad Shah ...	Do. ...	20th Sept. 1897 .	2,980	10	4,846
61	313	Sayad Bachal Shah wd. Sayad Shah Nawaz Shah	Do. ...	25th Oct. 1897 ...	2,144	12	1,870
62	317	Khuda Baksh son of Mondar Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	9th Nov. 1897 ..	3,537	3	5,654
63	318	Miamad son of Shahdad Khan, Jamali	Do. ...	23rd Nov. 1897...	3,150	4	1,145
64	319	Gianchand wd. Chandumal, Hindu.	Do. ...	29th Nov. 1897...	11,555	7	7,095
65	323	Gul Muhammad and Lal Baksh sons of Chhuto	Do. ...	21st Dec. 1897 ...	16,797	19	20,277
66	328	Haji Hasham wd. Budhan and Haji Abdulah wd. Haji Hasham, Hingorjo	Do. ...	19th Jan. 1898 ...	1,400	7	4,201
67	329	Yusuf son of Ghulam, Hingorjo ...	Do. ...	Do.	4,363	3	830
68	330	Rustom Khan, Sher Muhammad Khan and Karmali Khan sons of Mondar Khan, Machi ...	Do. ...	24th Jan. 1898 ...	4,858	...	...
69	331	Misri Shah wd. Mowla Baksh Shah.	Do. ...	26th Jan. 1898 ...	2,190	3	558
70	335	Hayat Khan wd. Baksho Khan Jamali	Jagirdar ...	25th Feb. 1898 ...	44,730	...	...
71	338	Haji Muhammad wd. Bhaledino and Talih wd. Khuda Baksh, Abras ...	Zamindar ...	14th March 1898.	431	...	...
72	341	Sayad Habib Alah Shah wd. Ali Muhammad Shah ...	Do. ...	6th April 1898 ...	34,156	3	5,078
73	347	Bilu Khan wd. Shadi Khan and Chakar Khan and Shadi Khan sons of Bilu Khan, Shahani ...	Do. ...	19th April 1898 .	6,646	...	...
74	352	Umed Ali wd. Lalu, Pawhar ...	Do. ...	4th May 1898 ...	2,493	...	...
75	356	Bachal Shah wd. Juman Shah and Musmat Jadul wife of Alahdino Shah	Do. ...	17th May 1898...	2,178	...	...
76	366	Pir Saifuldin and his brothers Nasruldin, Shamsuldin, Shahbuldin, Bahawaldin Pir Ziabuldin, Ghulam Mahiuldin, Fakharuldin, Muyunuldin sons of Pir Badruldin, Kureshi	Do. ...	24th June 1898...	6,150	...	...
77	369	Shekh Jalaldin Shah and Sheikh Muhammad Hashim Shah sons of Bakadar Shah	Do. ...	9th July. 1898 ...	9,244	...	...
78	379	Umed Ali Khan wd. Mirzo Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	21st July 1898...	2,560	...	...
		Hyderabad District.		Total ...	5,85,649	697	5,88,775
1	47	Pir Baksh wd. Ali Murad Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	2nd April 1897...	225	1	320
2	69	Mir Bakadar Khan wd. Mir Ahsan Ali Khan, Talpur	Do. ..	3rd April 1897...	853	3	888
3	67	Mirza Ali wd. Loung and Punhu wd. Jam, Kaloi	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,000	1	1,000
4	77	Arbab Rabdino wd. Radho Sathir .	Do. ...	21st April 1897 .	3,377	10	4,916
				Total ...	5,455	15	7,124
5	16	Kazi Muhammad Kabul wd. Fazul Ali	Do. ...	13th March 1897	2,194	6	5,030
6	51	Mir Rajo Khan wd. Mir Muhammad Khan, Talpur	Jagirdar ...	2nd April 1897 .	30,774	23	27,265
7	71	Phatu wd. Miran, Kirio ...	Zamindar ...	13th April 1897 .	3,554	8	4,674
8	72	Bago Khan and Haji Khan wd. Jamal Khan, Jatoi	Do. ...	1st April 1897 ...	5,435	2	2,467
9	78	Yakub son of Alu, Khodi ...	Do. ..	21st April 1897 .	509	2	1,258
10	79	Muhammad Hasham and Muhammad Ali sons of Pir Muhammad...	Do. ...	Do. ...	20,544	3	28,253
11	80	Khalifo Rabdino son of Sabu, Samo.	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,852	4	2,338
				Carried over ...	66,862	48	71,285

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
99,830	...	...	...			
1,214	...	...	...	...	...	6 claims for Rs. 2,064 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claims disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claims registered within 6 months.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claims disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claims disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,01,044	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	31st Jan. 1898.	265	No claim proved.
60	...	...	60	27th July 1898.	470	1 appeal, which was rejected.
...	...	...	...	Do.	...	No claim proved.
1,709	...	...	1,709	27th July 1898.	525	
1,769	...	...	1,769			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
13,988*	...	...	...	...	...	* Excludes Rs. 2,100 covered by a lease. 3 claims for confirmation of leases for Rs. 3,900 to be disposed of : 1 appeal, which was rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
109	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed : 1 appeal, which was rejected.
11,616	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 10,000 not disposed of.
391	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
26,104	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. No.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward.	66,862	48	71,285
12	81	Mirza Ghulam Murtaza son of Mirza Khusrobeg.	Jagirdar ...	21st April 1897	1,176	3	992
13	86	Mir Ahmed Khan and Mir Haji Khan sons of Mir Muhammad Ali Khan	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	3,986	8	4,388
14	88	Ahmed Shah *alias* Ghulam Shah and Pinial Shah sons of Khan Muhammad Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,400	4	7,213
15	90	Mirza Muhammad Rahim Beg son of Mirza Khusrobeg	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	5,798	9	8,191
16	96	Lal Baksh wd. Nazar Ali Khan Khatian, Minor	Do. ...	24th April 1897	3,775	8	3,604
17	103	Imam Baksh wd. Salar Mamur ...	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	5,144	14	6,719
18	117	Karimdino wd. Shah Mir Rahu ...	Do. ...	26th April 1897	1,811	9	2,972
19	118	Jahan Khan wd. Dost Khan and Mowladar Khan and Khudadad Khan wd. Nabi Baksh Khan ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,764	4	10,936
20	122	Faiz Muhammad wd. Ghulam and Musmat Jamal wife of Khuda Baksh	Do. ...	30th April 1897	2,160	12	7,226
21	124	Jalal wd. Alam Khan, Dahri ...	Do. ...	5th May 1897 ...	2,833	6	3,134
22	130	Sayad Biland Shah wd. Azim Shah...	Do. ...	4th May 1897 ...	6,175	9	10,114
23	132	Sayad Ghulam Shah wd. Sayad Ali Shah	Do. ...	5th May 1897	450	4	1,885
24	133	Salim wd. Darya Khan, Dahri ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,226	4	2,730
25	147	Mir Mahrab Khan and Mir Khudad Khan wd. Mir Ali Murad Khan, Talpur	Zamindar and Jagirdar ...	8th May 1897 ...	16,616	10	39,944
26	149	Hamzo Khan wd. Ali Murad Khan, Bagrani	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	1,785	4	2,600
27	150	Yusif wd. Saindino, Samo ...	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	1,065	3	1,425
28	157	Jan Muhammad and Muhammad Khan wd. Bahadur Khan ..	Do. ...	11th May 1897...	5,796	11	10,650
29	158	Mato wd. Sainrakhio, Machi ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,600	4	2,083
30	164	Masmat Fatma, Rahmat and Naimat (minors) daughters of Haji Daud ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	18,754	5	28,566
31	169	Mirs Muhammad Ali Khan and Sher Muhammad Khan sons of Budho Khan Shahvani, Talpurs...	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	32,419	22	45,671
32	170	Muhammad Khan wd. Gazi, Mahur.	Zamindar ...	14th May 1897...	2,260	2	3,767
33	179	Karam wd. Wadero Jam Shoro ...	Do. ...	21st May 1897...	1,342	5	1,029
34	190	Aligohar Khan wd. Haji Khan, Budhani	Do. ...	25th May 1897...	3,200	3	4,484
35	191	Mir Mubarak Khan wd. Mir Ghulam Shah Khan, Talpur, ...	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	26,722	12	23,539
36	199	Rahib wd. Hyder Moro ...	Zamindar ...	28th May 1897...	2,710	14	3,582
37	202	Nawab Wali Muhammad wd. Nawab Ahmad Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	62,249	29	57,920
38	203	Morand wd. Meghan, Vagan ...	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	3,281	11	6,887
39	207	Iso and Dino sons of Dost Muhammad, Khizmatgars	Do. ...	29th May 1897 ...	100	3	1,448
40	208	Makhdum Muhammad Rahim son of Ghulam Muhammad ...	Do. ...	2nd June 1897 ...	825	4	892
41	209	Mir Evas wd. Murid Khan, Unar ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,838	15	2,977
42	211	Sayad Khair Muhammad Shah and Sabab Ali Shah wd. Sayad Dadan Shah and Sayad Ahmed Shah and Abdul Samand wd. Sayad Dinal Shah	Do. ...	3rd June 1897 ...	8,265	19	19,274
43	212	Khair Muhammad wd. Rato Khan, Khokhar...	Jagirdar ...	5th June 1897 ...	1,767	3	1,605
				Carried over ...	3,05,154	321	3,99,742

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
26,104	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
3,782	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
1,370	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
3,695	...	...	...	...	...	Do. 2 appeals, out of which 1 was rejected and in the other decision modified.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
4,051	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
847	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 500 not disposed of.
7,485	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
14,771	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
875	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 100 not disposed of.
349	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
14,467	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 1,146 not disposed of.
33,949*	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed. 3 appeals, of which 1 was rejected and in 2 decision modified. * Out of this amount, Rs. 1,500 have been paid in satisfaction of debt.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
10,250	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for 9,000 for confirmation of lease and the other for Rs. 518 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
28,691	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed. 2 appeals, which were rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
5,365	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 3,135 not disposed of. These have since been disposed of.
260	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for confirmation of lease for Rs. 1,230 not disposed of.
1,56,311	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. No.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward.	3,05,154	321	3,99,742
44	213	Dars Umar wd. Dars Muhammad...	Zamindar ...	5th June 1897...	981	2	1,230
45	216	Jumau wd. Shahmir Rahu ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,409	8	1,705
46	218	Imam Baksh Khan wd. Nabi Baksh Khan, Jarwar ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,980	3	3,004
47	224	Khuda Baksh wd. Khair Muhammad Khan and Saleh Muhammad wd. Bahadur Khan, Khizmatgars.	Do. ...	11th June 1897...	7,275	...	...
48	232	Shah Muhammad wd. Ilahi, Baksh Gahoti	Do. ...	15th June 1897...	1,332	4	1,945
49	233	Kesowdas wd. Mewaram, Hindu Amil	Do. ...	17th June 1897...	2,570	4	3,724
50	234	Sayads Ghulam Ali Shah and Sidik Muhammad Shah sons of Sayad Ali Baksh Shah ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,318	5	21,464
51	236	Yar Muhammad wd. Chuto Khan Thoro, Talpur	Jagirdar ...	19th June 1897...	7,015	9	12,606
52	238	Sayad Ghulam Husan Shah wd. Sayad Fateh Ali Shah ...	Zamindar ...	24th June 1897...	6,330	10	2,880
53	240	Mir Ali Baksh Khan wd. Mir Muhammad Ali Khan, Talpur ...	Jagirdar ...	26th June 1897...	17,240	3	15,205
54	241	Hesab Khan wd. Ghulam Husen Khan, Jamali	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	2,030	...	...
55	242	Muhammad Sadik wd. Panah Rahu.	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,180	13	3,909
56	243	Loung wd. Sukhio Bukero ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,395	7	4,232
57	245	Sabzal Khan wd. Imam Baksh Khan, Mari	Do. ...	2nd July 1897 ...	26,041	18	4,064
58	250	Muso Khan wd. Nabi Baksh (minor) and Imam Ali Khan wd. Umed Ali	Do. ...	7th July 1897 ...	4,657	22	4,135
59	251	Ranwal Khan wd. Haji Alu, Katiar.	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,825	1	1,360
60	260	Mulomal wd. Isarmal ...	Do. ...	23rd July 1897...	3,354	4	4,968
61	269	Sayad Yar Muhammad Shah wd. Sayad Jalal Shah	Do. ...	28th July 1897...	9,648	14	6,421
62	271	Usman wd. Sidik and Habib wd. Ali Muhammad	Do. ...	3rd August 1897..	3,824	14	7,219
63	273	Sayad Ali Baksh Shah wd. Sayad Ali Muhammad Shah ...	Do. ...	9th August 1897..	24,123	14	32,282
64	274	Jiand Khan wd. Ali Baksh Khan, Jamali	Do. ...	12th August 1897	10,721	6	10,048
65	277	Mir Khan wd. Shah Muhammad Sahto and Nazar Muhammad and Muhammad Ali and Bachal (minors)	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,648	22	7,916
66	278	Imam Baksh Khan, Ahmed Khan, Darya Khan and Khuda Baksh sons of Jafar Khan and Baloch Khan and Hyder Khan sons of Imam Baksh Khan	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	9,914	8	2,344
67	282	Muso Khan wd. Wahio, Memon ...	Zamindar ...	Do. ...	1,607	1	1,025
68	284	Ghulam Shah Nabi Baksh Khan and Ali Baksh Khan wd. Yakhtiar Khan and Mir Khan wd. Nabi Baksh Khan	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	29,622	23	9,472
69	285	Fateh Khan wd. Rustom Khan, Khokhar	Do. ...	Do. ...	29,610	16	25,664
70	290	Musmat Bhawan widow of Fateh Khan and Musmat Mabandani daughter of Fateh Khan, Mari ...	Zamindar ...	25th August 1897	6,590	1	8,793
71	293	Mir Kamal Khan wd. Mir Mubarak Khan, Talpur	Do. ...	6th September 1897.	5,063	2	748
72	296	Pir Mian Pirdino Shah wd. Bhawan Shah	Do. ...	13th September 1897.	13,920	10	17,031
73	310	Ghulam Shah wd. Abdulah Khan, Nizamani	Jagirdar ...	15th October 1897.	28,733	11	24,765
				Carried over ...	5,80,109	576	6,39,901

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
1,56,311	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,418	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim registered within 6 months.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
7,393	...	...	...	...	...	4 claims for Rs. 7,786 not disposed of.
728	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 7,200 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
269	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for confirmation of lease for Rs. 14,800 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim registered within 6 months.
1,125	...	...	...	...	...	4 claims for Rs. 1,528 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
1,661	...	...	...	...	...	5 claims for Rs. 1,819 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
1,050	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed
908	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 2,630 not disposed of.
2,301	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 31 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
*16,229	...	...	...	...	...	* Excluding Rs. 6,000 covered by 2 leases. 2 claims for Rs. 3,119 not disposed of.
* 726	...	...	...	...	...	* Excluding Rs. 2,000 covered by a lease. 2 claims for Rs. 7,300 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
87	...	...	...	...	...	5 claims for Rs. 1,757 not disposed of, out of which 2 claims for Rs. 405 since disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
3,983	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 2,874 not disposed of.
2,048	...	...	...	...	...	11 claims for Rs. 22,485 not disposed of, out of which 8 claims for Rs. 6,468 disposed of in August 1898.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,96,237	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. No.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward...	5,80,109	576	6,39,901
74	322	Khabar wd. Yaro Khan, Junejo ...	Zamindar ...	15th Dec. 1897...	1,631	2	1,053
75	324	Sayad Miran Shah wd. Sayad Hasan Ali Khan	Do. ...	21st Dec. 1897 ...	1,691	2	2,265
76	325	Khalifo Taib wd. Khalifo Pario ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,621	...	...
77	326	Bago Khan and Hasan Ali Khan wd. Muhammad Ashraf, Jodhejo...	Do. ...	29th Dec. 1897 ...	5,402	6	5,387
78	327	Muhammad wd. Dahud, Hingoro ...	Do. ...	19th Jan. 1898...	1,700	4	1,935
79	333	Alahdino wd. Umed Ali, Sahto ...	Do. ...	18th Feb. 1898...	3,277	...	...
80	334	Pir Salahuldin Shah and Mukim Shah sons of Pir Kaim Muhammad Shah, Kureshi	Do. ...	22nd Feb. 1898...	2,000	1	2,547
81	336	Ghulam Muhammad wd. Ghazi Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	3rd March 1898...	2,251	1	2,710
82	337	Alahdito son of Imam Baksh, Mubara Khan and Ali Baksh Khan sons of Sahib Khan and Muhammad Hasan son of Kadir Baksh Khan, Lagharis	Do. ...	14th March 1898.	2,900	...	...
83	339	Fakir Amin Muhammad wd. Fakir Kasim, Halepoto	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,753	...	...
84	346	Masmat Dhanjani wife of Haji Lal Khan and Masmat Guma wife of Ahmed Khan	Do. ...	16th April 1898...	3,439	...	...
85	348	Makhdum Pir Sidik Muhammad wd. Pir Alah Baksh Shah, Kureshi	Do. ...	19th April 1898...	9,021	...	...
86	350	Haji son of Abdul Karim, Unar ...	Do. ...	28th April 1898...	2,165	...	...
87	355	Husan Ali Khan wd. Pir Baksh Khan, Talpur	Do. ...	17th May 1898...	1,567	...	...
88	361	Jumo wd. Kambir, Bogio ...	Do. ...	6th June 1898 ...	6,429	...	...
89	362	Muhammad Hasan wd. Alahobhayo, Bogio	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,850	...	...
90	364	Masmat Izmat wife of Muhammad and Rahmat wife of Junas ...	Do. ...	13th June 1898 ..	9,060	...	...
91	367	Sayad Mir Muhammad Shah wd. Aligohar Shah	Do. ...	25th June 1898 ..	2,780	...	...
92	371	Tamachi wd. Mitho, Kalhoro ...	Do. ...	15th July 1898...	1,528	...	...
93	377	Fakir Muhammad and Haji wd. Bachal	Do. ...	19th July 1898...	3,422	...	...
94	378	Faiz Muhammad Khan wd. Mir Muhammad Khan, Nizamani ...	Do. ...	19th July 1898...	3,663	...	...
95	381	Miho wd. Taj Muhammad, Bogio...	Do. ...	28th July 1898...	2,003	...	...
				Total ...	6,57,262	592	6,55,798
		Thar and Parkar District.					
1	152	Kaisar wd. Sumar, Rajar ...	Do. ...	8th May 1897 ...	5,590	10	9,026
2	166	Muhammad and Ahmed wd. Katal, Unar	Do. ...	11th May 1897...	2,500	2	6,294
3	220	Husun Ali and Walu and Faizu wd. Janu, Wasan	Do. ...	5th June 1897 ...	12,090	4	70,748
4	235	Fateh Khan wd. Perozshah, Mari...	Do. ...	17th June 1897...	4,900	7	7,763
5	247	Jarar and Perun wd. Biro, Sando...	Do. ...	9th July 1897 ...	1,810	3	1,541
6	265	Sayad Haji Abdulah Shah wd. Sayad Kutib Shah	Do. ...	24th July 1897...	18,000	1	13,755
7	279	Mataro wd. Safro and Satil wd. Shahati, Chahans	Do. ...	12th August 1897	3,019	8	3,010
8	283	Dars Kabul wd. Dars Chuto ...	Do. ...	Do.. ...	12,300	5	35,711
9	287	Sayad Muhammad Shah and Sayad Auladi Shah wd. Sayad Ali Baksh Shah	Do. ...	18th August 1897.	6,100	1	400
10	306	Ghulam Muhammad and Saleh wd. Moro, Bhambhro	Do. ...	1st Oct. 1897 ...	6,425	2	13,804
11	340	Jaromal son of Karamchand ...	Do. ...	18th March 1898.	2,728	1	4,209
12	354	Jurio Khan wd. Jiand Khan, Lashari	Do. ...	7th May 1898 ...	4,000	...	...
13	382	Mir Ahmed Khan, Mir Ghulam Muhammad Khan and Yar Muhammad Khan sons of Mir Rajo Khan, Talpur	Do. ...	29th July 1898...	3,650	...	...
				Total ...	83,112	44	1,66,261

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
1,96,237	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim registered within 6 months.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,96,237	...	...	...			
420	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 5,160 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
208	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 69,202 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed and no claim proved.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed and no claim proved.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
628	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
		Shikarpur District.					
1	1	Jumo wd. Ismail, Panjabi ...	Zamindar ...	24th Feb. 1897 ...	2,000	11	2,215
2	113	Musmat Hava Khatun (minor) daughter of Chutal Khan ...	Do. ...	26th April 1897...	9,364	9	7,823
3	68	Sumar wd. Husan and Motan wd. Alahdino and Safar wd. Bahadur, Odhas	Do. ...	3rd April 1897...	1,955	6	6,750
4	259	Pathan wd. Janu, Pawhar ...	Do. ...	23rd July 1897 ...	2,750	2	2,389
5	24	Pir Baksh Khan wd. Muhammad Khan, Gabol	Do. ...	18th March 1897..	5,500	7	9,270
6	254	Kazi Muhammad Hasan wd. Kazi Muhammad, Chano ...	Do. ...	12th July 1897 ...	7,446	13	9,021
				Total ..	29,015	48	37,468
7	2	Hamzo wd. Sultan, Dakhan ...	Do. ...	1st March 1897..	7,639	13	31,452
8	3	Kaim Khan wd Abdul Karim Khan and Nasir Muhammad Khan wd. Pir Baksh Khan ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,105	6	7,416
9	4	Sher Muhammad Khan wd. Ali Baksh, Khawar	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,849	3	4,969
10	5	Mir Muhammad wd. Muhammad Kazim, Shimro, and Musmat Fatma widow of Jan Muhammad..	Do. ...	Do. ...	10,482	17	13,742
11	6	Muhammad Saleh and Wali Muhammad wd. Sher Muhammad, Kalhoras	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,603	13	2,627
12	7	Alahdino Khan wd. Khan Muhammad, Mahesar	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,739	9	2,108
13	8	Khair Muhammad wd. Sayad Khan, Jaghar	Do. ...	3rd March 1897..	4,372	8	6,520
14	9	Khush Muhammad wd. Alah Rakhio, Bapur	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,165	21	8,218
15	10	Ali Nawaz Khan wd. Bachal Khan, Pathan	Do. ...	Do. ...	15,366	15	21,178
16	11	Wahid Baksh wd. Lal Baksh and Ja Muhammad wd. Sultan ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,926	16	8,448
17	12	Wahid Baksh Khan and Abdul Majid Khan sons of Shahbuldin Khan	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,982	12	10,387
18	13	Parial wd. Mir Muhammad, Magsi.	Do. ...	13th March 1897..	5,450	4	5,690
19	14	Serai Khair Muhammad wd. Darya Khan and Alah Baksh and Ahmad Khan wd. Khair Muhammad	Do. ...	Do. ...	8,869	25	10,147
20	17	Muhammad Murad wd. Izat Khan, Dahar	Do. ...	Do. ...	13,735	20	13,155
21	18	Muhammad Alim wd. Nur Muhammad, Ali Baksh *alias* Janghal and Ilahi Baksh sons of Wadero Abdul Majid and Musmat Bhenti.	Do. ...	Do. ...	12,337	27	19,725
22	19	Lal Muhammad wd. Murid Khan...	Do. ...	15th March 1897..	5,114	15	8,272
23	21	Alah Warayo wd. Gahno Khan, Khakhrani	Do. ...	18th March 1897..	8,378	7	13,221
24	22	Wali Muhammad, Wahid Baksh, Ali Hyder, Ali Nawaz sons of Saindino and Khair Muhammad wd. Sohar.	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,826	15	3,807
25	25	Muhammad Baksh Khan wd. Fateh Khan, Wasiar ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,434	7	5,567
26	26	Razikdino wd. Lal Khan, Dharejo...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,187	12	4,104
27	27	Saheb Khan wd. Murad Khan, Matelo	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,271	9	1,880
28	28	Muhammad Ismail wd. Saindino, Dakhan	Do. ...	26th March 1897..	1,155	8	2,191
29	29	Muhammad Khan wd. Sherdil Khan, Mugal	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,778	8	8,692
30	31	Lal Baksh wd. Ghulam Muhammad, Gajrani	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,169	10	2,682
				Carried over ...	1,38,931	300	2,16,198

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
157	...	...	157	18th April 1898.	110	
3,079	...	...	3,079	27th May 1898.	2,619	
33	...	...	33	30th June 1898.	350	
130	...	...	130	8th July 1898.	410	
5,644	...	...	5,644	29th July 1898.	500	
4,876	...	...	4,876	29th July 1898.	980	2 appeals, which were rejected.
13,919	...	...	13,919			
3,511	...	...	...	...	...	1 claim for Rs. 11,838 not disposed of. 3 appeals, which were rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
3,640	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 2,368 since disposed of. These have been since completed.
10,857	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
1,798	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
9,825	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
195	...	...	...	...	...	24 claims for Rs. 19,518 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
2,393	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed. 1 appeal, which was rejected.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
32,219	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered. No.	Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward...	1,38,931	300	2,16,198
31	32	Karam Khan wd. Abdul Rahim Khan, Sangi	Zamindar ...	26th March 1897	1,933	6	4,470
32	33	Wahid Baksh, Rasul Baksh, Ata Muhammad, and Ghulam Rasul sons of Ghulam Hyder ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	11,368	23	17,289
33	34	Angan wd. Nabi Baksh, Dhanidino wd. Kadir Baksh (minor) and Mahrab wd. Pir Baksh ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,627	36	9,594
34	35	Chhato Khan wd. Fateh Muhammad and Fateh Muhammad and Muhammad Sharif wd. Chhato Khan	Do. ...	1st April 1897....	4,000	17	5,377
35	36	Nabi Baksh wd. Yaro, Alah Baksh wd. Kain, Amir Baksh wd Kadur Baksh, Gichal, and Musmat Bai wife of Jiandal	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,710	8	18,219
36	37	Sher Muhammad wd. Muhammad Ali, Sang	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,376	9	4,755
37	40	Umed Ali wd. Saindino, Wali Muhammad wd. Baziat, Khial wd. Nur Muhammad, Kaman wd. Jan Muhammad	Do. ...	Do. ...	13,201	34	21,577
38	41	Sileman wd. Saindino, Charan ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,177	19	8,306
39	43	Ismail Khan wd. Muhammad Khan and his sister Sardar Bibi Khatun.	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,315	14	4,677
40	44	Muhammad Maluk wd. Izat Khan, Bhambo	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,255	10	7,032
41	45	Bahawal Khan wd. Islam Khan, Sundrani	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,507	23	7,463
42	46	Chhuto Khan wd. Manik Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,429	5	5,676
43	48	Khuda Baksh wd. Gul Muhammad, Odho	Do. ...	2nd April 1897...	2,100	4	6,076
44	49	Pinio wd. Ismail, Unar ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,750	13	7,005
45	53	Jalal Khan wd Nawab Khan, Ghoto.	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,949	7	14,988
46	54	Bhai Khan and Bayazad wd. Gul Muhammad, Phul	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,873	13	4,732
47	55	Sileman wd. Bachu (minor), Chano, through his guardian uncle Ghulam Ali wd. Silaman ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,975	6	8,128
48	56	Kazim Khan wd. Sarfaraz Khan, Mughul	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,405	14	4,901
49	57	Kazi Abdul Khalik wd. Kazi Muhammad	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,000	9	10,501
50	58	Shahbazdino and Alahdino sons of Faiz Muhammad, Kalhoro ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,880	16	7,390
51	59	Dost Muhammad wd. Khan Muhammad, Kalhoro	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,434	8	3,241
52	62	Fateh Muhammad wd. Ghulam Hyder, Gad	Do. ...	Do. ...	696	11	1,703
53	63	Sayad Aliakhar Shah wd. Janalah.	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,963	12	3,776
54	70	Sainrakhio and Khuda Baksh wd. Bhaledino. Hakro	Do. ...	13th April 1897...	3,700	3	9,118
55	74	Gahno Khan wd. Saleh and Nur Muhammad wd. Sabul ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	8,117	4	8,421
56	82	Nur Muhammad wd. Ghulam Muhammad	Do. ...	21st April 1897...	2,117	11	4,067
57	3	Ghulam Nabi and Ghulam Kadir and Ghulam Ali wd. Wadero Muhammad Taki (minors) ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,600	4	3,936
58	84	Dil Murad wd. Pirano Khan, Chandio	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,157	23	3,998
59	89	Sayad Ghulam Husein Shah and Sayad Ishak Ali Shah wd. Sayad Kalandar Shah and Sayad Bahadur Ali Shah wd. Ghulam Husein Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	70,117	45	1,49,635
60	91	Khuda Baksh wd. Alahdino and Abdul Rahiman wd. Khuda Baksh	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,380	13	4,380
				Carried over ...	3,43,042	720	5,86,629

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
32,219	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
4,812	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
4,731	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
614	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
..	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
89	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 7,527 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
*49,121	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 50,650 and 1 for confirmation of lease amounting to Rs. 7,000 not disposed of. * Out of this amount, Rs. 50-6-0 have been paid to creditors.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
91,586	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of order of Management.	Liabilities. As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. No.	Liabilities. Total of Claims registered. Amount.
				Brought forward.	3,43,042	720	5,86,629
61	92	Sayad Imam Baksh Shah *alias* Sayad Imam Ali Shah wd. Sayad Idal Shah	Zamindar ...	6th March 1897...	2,038	9	2,418
62	93	Muhammad Khan and Sardar Khan wd. Razakdino Khan, Ghotas.	Do. ...	24th April 1897...	32,167	14	29,351
63	94	Muhammad Amin wd. Kauro and Ghulam wd. Ganho (minors), Jhinjhan...	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,599	6	6,891
64	95	Nawazio, Ghulam and Dost Muhammad wd. Alah Baksh ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,949	14	2,472
65	97	Ahmed Khan wd. Laldino Khan, Sario	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,999	7	2,657
66	99	Gelo Khan wd. Gul Muhammad Khan Ghulam, Jam and Ali Sher wd. Pir Muhammad and Alu wd. Gul Muhammad, Khowras ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,191	23	4,123
67	100	Sayad Mian Rajan Shah wd. Sayad Ghulam Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	13,416	8	22,434
68	101	Wadero Sumar wd. Wadero Chodahi, Rahujo	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,212	37	8,738
69	102	Serai Fazul Muhammad and Ali Nawaz wd. Alahdino, Chagra ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,564	9	4,990
70	104	Biland Khan and Murid Khan wd. Baloch Khan, Bozdar ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,390	6	2,730
71	105	Sayad Chhatan Shah wd. Umed Ali Shah...	Do. ...	27th April 1897..	6,265	18	4,441
72	109	Karim Baksh Khan wd. Ali Baksh Khan, Chachu	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,487	7	5,720
73	164	*Jam Arbab Khan wd. Jam Ahmed Khan, Dhareja ... ...*	*Do. ...*	*26th April 1897..*	...	...	...
74	116	Wario Khan wd. Baksh Ali, Jarwar.	Do. ...	26th April 1897..	2,868	6	3,501
75	119	Ali Baksh wd. Lal Baksh, Meno ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,017	14	4,065
76	123	Muhammad Khan and Usman sons of Ghulam Hyder and Kadir Baksh wd. Ali Baksh, Gopang ...	Do. ...	5th May 1897 ...	3,890	12	4,217
77	127	Abdul Gani wd. Chhuto, Muhammad Baksh wd. Faiz Muhammad, Gahi wd. Akil	Do. ...	3rd May 1897 ...	1,800	6	3,091
78	129	Abdul Rahman wd. Usman, Harun wd. Abdul Rahim and Karim Khan wd. Khairulah Khan ...	Jagirdar ...	Do. ...	15,840	22	23,961
79	131	Wali Muhammad wd. Khair Muhammad, Aloi	Do. ...	Do. ..	7,076	11	11,129
80	135	Ali Muhammad wd. Saiful, Wagan.	Zamindar ...	5th May 1897 ...	3,415	12	3,914
81	137	Bakhar wd. Darvesh and Fateh Muhammad wd. Bakhar, Abrepoto.	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,367	9	2,483
82	143	Muhammad Khan wd. Rahim Khan, Alah Baksh, Ata Muhammad and Khalir Khan wd. Madad Khan	Do. ...	8th May 1897 ...	8,946	39	10,572
83	144	Sher Muhammad wd. Khuda Baksh, Jetho	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,150	6	3,967
84	145	Sayad Khan wd. Khan Muhammad, Phulpoto	Do. ...	Do. ...	11,200	12	7,858
85	146	Khalikdino wd. Budho, Burro ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,000	3	3,356
86	151	Ali Khan wd. Wali Muhammad Khan, Fateh Muhammad wd. Khair Muhammad	Do. ...	Do. ...	10,214	29	12,404
87	153	Wadero Udhal Khan wd. Sajan Khan, Gahejo	Do. ...	10th May 1897...	1,902	4	1,765
88	154	Mian Wadhal Shah and Muhammad Ali Shah wd. Umed Ali Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,355	8	13,329
89	155	Haji Khan wd. Ghulam Alah Khan and his minor brother Ghulam Kadir and two sisters Musmat Arbab Khatu and Alahrakhio ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	17,432	18	20,406
90	160	Wadero Dost Muhammad wd. Ghulam Muhammad and Muhammad Murad wd. Kando, Junejo...	Do. ...	11th May 1897...	8,265	14	20,904
				Carried over ...	5,31,056	1,103	8,34,516

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
91,586	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	Management terminated under S. 19 from 15th May 1898.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
2,908	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
164	...	...	...	...	...	2 claims for Rs. 1,544 not disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
94,658	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of Order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
				Brought forward..	5,31,056	1,103	8,34,516
91	161	Bacho wd. Muhbat, Gahejo ...	Zamindar. ...	11th May 1897 ...	1,578	6	1,669
92	162	Parial wd. Muhammad Khan ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,910	4	2,029
93	163	Bindal wd. Shahnawaz, Bhayo ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,725	3	4,352
94	165	Imam Baksh wd. Muhbat, Langah..	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,015	20	5,774
95	167	Imam Baksh wd. Fateh Muhammad, Rahur	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,640	28	8,117
96	175	Muhammad Yusif and Wali Muhammad sons of Jan Muhammad.	Do. ...	14th May 1897 ...	2,850	18	3,511
97	176	Budhal Khan wd. Nuru Khan, Khuharo	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,025	9	8,257
98	180	Alahyar Khan wd. Lal Baksh Khan, Awan	Do. ...	21st May 1897 ...	2,801	10	4,170
99	182	Bahram Khan wd: Muhammad Khan, Chajro	Do. ...	22nd May 1897 ...	5,440	8	6,606
100	184	Farid Khan wd. Alam Khan, Laghari, and Musmat Bankhu (minor) ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,072	25	9,260
101	185	Wadero Banawal Khan wd. Muhammad Khan	Do. ...	Do. ...	9,075	14	11,804
102	186	Laldino wd. Muhammad Panah ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,477	7	2,491
103	194	Mithal Khan wd. Muhammad Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	25th May 1897 ...	2,867	27	6,004
104	198	Musmat Hava widow of Shahdad Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	28th May 1897 ...	5,987	12	6,090
105	210	Ali Baksh and Darya Khan wd. Alahudo, Abro	Do. ...	3rd June 1897 ...	3,000	8	6,611
106	215	Serai Muhammad Khan wd. Jan Muhammad, Jalbani ...	Do. ...	5th June 1897 ...	3,930	11	3,812
107	217	Muhammad Sharif wd. Alah Baksh, Kalhoro	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,200	12	7,172
108	219	Serai Alah Baksh wd. Serai Shah Muhammad, Ghulam Muhammad wd. Mulan Varayo and Shah Muhammad wd. Ghulam Husen...	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,934	10	7,822
109	221	Din Muhammad and Ismail wd Muhammad Kazim, Maher ...	Do. ...	8th June 1897 ...	5,727	8	12,897
110	223	Pir Baksh and Amir Baksh wd. Gul Sher	Do. ...	9th June 1897 ...	1,548	4	2,145
111	225	Amir Baksh wd. Pir Muhammad, Chajro	Do. ...	11th June 1897 ...	3,928	9	6,166
112	227	Ariz Muhammad wd. Hayat Khan, Laghari	Do. ...	12th June 1897 ...	13,624	37	13,680
113	230	Musmat Khairi widow of Bachal Khan and Pario Khan wd. Walio Khan	Do. ..	15th June 1897 ...	1,688	20	2,224
114	239	Muhah Nabi wd. Ghulam Muhammad, Junejo	Do. ...	24th June 1897 ...	4,025	2	6,053
115	246	Gul Muhammad wd. Banho, Rahur.	Do. ...	3rd July 1897 ...	5,282	17	6,509
116	258	Saindad wd. Muhammad Hasan ...	Do. ...	22nd July 1897 ...	1,600	3	3,066
117	261	Alim Khan wd. Ali Baksh, Khowar.	Do. ...	23rd July 1897 ...	1,414	2	3,579
118	262	Hiro Khan wd. Dato Khan, Chandio	Do. ...	Do. ...	5,000	1	766
119	263	Bhambho Khan wd. Yaro Khan, Chandio	Do. ...	Do. ...	13,200	13	20,268
120	264	Wali Muhammad wd. Hot Muhammad, Akro	Do. ...	24th July 1897 ...	2,842	16	3,167
121	270	Jial Khan wd. Tharo Khan; Bubar.	Do. ...	31st July 1897 ...	7,598	5	19,636
122	280	Chhutal wd. Alahudo, Khakhur	Do. ...	12th August 1897.	16,190	13	14,464
123	281	Sayad Murad Shah wd. Chhutan Shah	Do. ...	Do. ...	14,308	16	22,276
124	288	Imam Baksh wd. Ali Nawaz (minor), Masan	Do. ...	18th August 1897.	6,711	8	6,131
125	291	Rasul Baksh, Imam Baksh, Ata Muhammad, Lal Muhammad, and Faiz Muhammad wd. Jan Muhammad, Khuhawar	Do. ...	28th August 1897.	1,400	2	3,636
126	292	Kazi Khush Muhammad wd. Khair Muhammad and Kazi Abdul Hak wd. Khush Muhammad ...	Do. ...	1st Sept. 1897 ...	2,630	9	6,934
				Carried over ...	7,18,697	1,520	10,97,164

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
94,658	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
1,000	...	...	...	...	...	3 claims for Rs. 1,029 not disposed of.
2,000	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
*2,272	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed. * Out of this amount, Rs. 94-6-0 have been paid in satisfaction of debt.
1,402	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
6,779	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
9,622	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,17,733	...	...	...			

APPENDIX.

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of Order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
				Brought forward.	7,18,697	1,520	10,97,164
127	294	Mir Jamaldin and Kamaldin wd. Abdul Abad	Jagirdar ...	10th Sept. 1897 .	9,344	9	10,968
128	295	Mir Muhammad wd. Muhammad and Pir Muhammad wd. Gojhro...	Zamindar ...	14th Sept. 1897 .	9,447	24	8,321
129	297	Motan Khan wd. Shahadat Khan...	Do. ...	15th Sept. 1897 .	5,525	9	7,303
130	298	Shah Nawaz Khan wd. Alisher Khan	Do. ...	20th Sept. 1897 .	6,154	14	6,282
131	302	Alimurad Khan wd. Ali Sher Khan	Do. ...	22nd Sept. 1897 .	3,269	6	3,051
132	303	Abdulah wd. Khan Muhammad ...	Do. ...	25th Sept. 1897 .	11,022	4	5,959
133	307	Muhammad Hasan and Muhammad Saleh wd. Bahawal Khan ...	Do. ...	2nd Oct. 1897 ...	9,678	4	5,678
134	308	Kuhundal Khan wd. Alah Baksh Khan, Pathan	Do. ...	Do. ...	17,460	4	11,400
135	309	Fazul Alah, Madad Alah and Shafi Alah sons of Muhammad Bakir	Do. ...	15th Oct. 1897...	3,174	12	2,740
136	311	Alah Rakhio and Muhammad Bachal sons of Pir Muhammad ...	Do. ...	16th Oct. 1897 ...	2,515	12	3,331
137	312	Sayad Kubul Shah wd. Karam Ali Shah	Do. ...	18th Oct. 1897 ...	2,829	8	3,740
138	314	Muhammad Ali, Bero Khan and Khan Muhammad sons of Hakim Khan and Pir Baksh Khan wd. Dital Khan	Do. ...	25th Oct. 1897 ...	5,104	23	7,608
139	315	Ghulam Hyder and Ghulam Allah sons of Fakir Muhammad, Naich..	Do. ...	30th Oct. 1897 ...	3,161	7	3,067
140	316	Muhammad Saleh wd. Abdul Kadar and Abdul Kadar wd. Abdul Wahab, Bukecho	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,502	7	3,490
141	320	Mir Wali Muhammad *alias* Alahdino wd. Mir Mubarak Khan ...	Do. ...	8th Dec. 1897 ...	10,000	6	18,776
142	332	Pir Baksh wd. Nabi Baksh (minor), Nagur, through his guardian mother Musmat Jinat wife of Nabi Baksh and Musmat Kurbanu wife of Wali Muhammad	Do. ...	9th Feb. 1898 ...	3,325	6	2,159
143	342	Fateh Khan and Muhammad wd. Husen Khan, Pitafi	Do, ...	15th April 1898 .	3,858	...	...
144	843	Nur Muhammad wd. Saindad, Akro.	Do. ...	Do. ...	2,750	...	...
145	344	Pir Shah wd. Pir Ali Muhammad Shah	Do. ...	16th April 1898 .	14,618	...	...
146	345	Alahdino wd. Bahar Khan, Rahu...	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,196	8	10,024
147	349	Gul Hasan Khan wd. Ghulam Shah and Panial Khan, Ghulam Rasul and Ghulam Sidik	Jagirdar. ...	26th April 1898 .	4,543	...	...
148	351	Rajan Shah and Duman Shah sons of Sayad Jahan Shah ...	Zamindar ...	28th April 1898 .	10,507	...	...
149	353	Juman Shah wd. Ghulam Husen Shah and Rasul Baksh and Gous Baksh wd. Wali Shah ...	Do. ...	7th May 1898 ...	2,910	...	...
150	357	Kaimuldin wd. Bhano, Shekh ...	Do. ...	30th May 1897 .	590	...	...
151	358	Alahdito wd. Lal Baksh, Rahujo ...	Do. ...	31st May 1898 ...	1,285	...	...
152	359	Ali Baksh wd. Parial	Do. ...	Do. ...	832	...	...
153	363	Wahid Baksh, Amir Baksh and Muhammad Baksh wd. Parial, Kalhoro	Do. ...	13th June 1898 .	2,445	...	...
154	365	Mir Muhammad wd. Raza Muhammad, Kamangir	Do. ...	18th June 1898 .	2,264	...	...
155	368	Khan Muhammad wd. Ibrahim Khan, Dahar	Do. ...	25th June 1898 .	2,925	...	...
156	372	Muhammad Saleh and Ali Baksh wd. Muhammad Sidik and Muso wd. Bhaledino and Khavinddino son of Ismail and Pario son of Jhangi	Do. ...	19th July 1898...	10,587	...	...
157	373	Nabi Baksh wd. Abdul Rahman, Dharejo	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,950	...	...
158	374	Wali Muhammad wd. Dost Muhammad and Abdul Rahman wd. Abdul Halim, Mahesars ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,404	...	...
				Carried over ...	8,99,670	1,683	12,11,001

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
1,17,733	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...		
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,17,733	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of Order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
				Brought forward...	8,99,870	1,683	12,11,001
159	375	Ali Nawaz, Shah Nawaz and Ghulam Kadir wd. Dato Khan, Kalhoro ...	Zamindar ...	19th July 1897...	4,675	...	...
160	376	Sodho wd. Pir Muhammad Fakir, Mari	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,587	...	...
161	30	Tajio son of Wadero Bakshan ...	Do. ..	22nd July 1897...	2,550	...	...
				Total ...	9,11,682	1,683	12,11,001
		Upper Sind Frontier District.					
1	98	Chakar Khan wd. Mir Muhammad Khan	Do. ...	24th April 1897...	2,345	7	2,691
2	106	Shahbeg Khan and Baloch Khan wd. Dado Khan	Do. ...	27th April 1897...	2,484	10	14,164
3	111	Saindino wd. Yakhtyar Khan, Khoso	Do. ...	1st April 1897 ...	6,618	7	7,278
4	112	Hashmatrai wd. Dhiromal, Hindu..	Do. ...	Do. ...	9,742	35	23,456
5	125	Mehar Khan wd. Haji Khan, Sahejo	Do. ...	5th May 1897 ...	2,220	1	2,800
6	138	Manjhi Khan wd. Suhrab Khan, Jakhrani...	Do. ...	8th May 1897 ...	3,060	1	3,600
7	140	Ghulam Nabi wd. Gul Muhammad.	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,080	3	1,119
8	141	Sardar Khan wd. Gulbeg Khan and Khan Muhammad wd. Din Muhammad, Jatoi	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,600	5	1,993
9	142	Hasul Khan wd. Murad Ali Khan, Jamali	Do. ...	Do. ...	7,370	4	14,446
10		Khairo Khan wd. Shahdad Khan, and Shahdad Khan wd. Khairo Khan, Sahrani	Do. ...	11th May 1897 ...	950	1	52,621
11	168	Alah Rakhio Khan and Wali Muhammad Khan sons of Lahno Khan	Do. ...	30th April 1897...	8,240	2	206
12	181	Bahawal Khan wd. Bahram Khan, Thahim	Do. ...	21st May 1897 ...	4,900	3	1,569
13	183	Sardar Khan wd. Yaro Khan, Khoso	Jagirdar ...	22nd May 1897 ...	2,890	3	3,175
14	195	Musmat Hakum Zadi and Musmat Bachi daughters of Alah Baksh and Begum wife of Alah Baksh and Rajbai	Do. ...	25th May 1897 ...	6,790	3	5,991
15	197	Karim Baksh Khan, Khair Muhammad Khan, Saindino Khan, Alah Baksh Khan wd. Wadero Husen Khan and Muhammad Panah wd. Alah Rakhio, Sahrani	Do. ...	28th May 1897 ...	80,304	1	80,504
16	205	Dur Muhammad Rahim Baksh and Wahid Baksh wd. Bachal Khan, Mahar	Do. ..	29th May 1897 ...	1,411	1	344
17	206	Musmat Alahwasai wife of Abdulah Khan, Mahar	Do. ...	Do. ...	4,232	1	420
18	222	Wasir Khan wd. Din Muhammad Khan, Khoso	Do. ...	9th June 1897 ...	3,000	2	6,973
19	229	Nek Muhammad wd. Shah Beg Khan, Dakhan	Do. ...	12th June 1897...	12,360	27	21,296
20	237	Darya Khan wd. Dildar Khan, Sahrani	Do. ...	24th June 1897...	2,639	4	1,908
21	248	Ali Muhammad Khan wd. Rajo Khan, Sahrani	Do. ...	7th July 1897 ...	1,264	2	5,665
22	249	Taj Muhammad wd. Rajo Khan, Sahrani	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,725	7	3,934
23	257	Ibrahim Khan wd. Piaro Khan, Jamali	Zamindar ...	21st July 1897 ...	9,673	4	19,066
24	266	Jafar Khan wd. Mubarak Khan, Khoso, and Jumo Khan, and Chakur Khan and Vazir Baksh sons of Jafar Khan, Khoso ...	Do. ...	26th July 1897 ...	1,761	3	3,775
25	267	Wadero Kaim Khan wd. Hot Khan and Muhammad Hayat wd. Kaim Khan, Widho	Do. ...	Do. ...	3,990	7	4,915
				Carried over...	1,84,648	144	2,83,909

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded. Amount of Debts.	Debts Compounded. Amount for which settled.	Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
1,17,733	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,17,733	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
6,988	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
1,850	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of. 1 claim for Rs. 1,420 since disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
6,936	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed.
...	...	...	...	...	...	Do. and no claim proved.
341	...	...	...	...	...	Enquiry completed. ¼th to be paid by estate No. 205.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
16,115	...	...	...			

APPENDIX

No.	No. of Estate.	Names of Owners.	Jagirdar or Zamindar.	Date of Order of Management.	Liabilities.		
					As estimated by the owner in the Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.	
						No.	Amount.
				Brought forward .	1,84,648	144	2,83,909
26	*275*	*Ghulam Rasul Khan, Dost Ali Khan and Lutif Ali Khan wd. Ishak Ali Khan, Massi ... ...*	*Zamindar ...*	*12th Aug. 1897...*	...	...	...
27	276	Muhammad Baksh wd. Shahdad Khan	Zamindar ...	12th Aug. 1897...	3,734	4	2,434
28	289	Gul Muhammad and Dur Muhammad wd. Shah Beg, Dakhan ...	Do. ...	18th Aug. 1897 .	27,794	28	42,174
29	300	Muhammad Fazul and Walid wd. Saidad and Muhammad wd. Walid	Do. ...	21st Sept. 1897 .	3,900	3	1,475
30	301	Ghulam Nabi wd. Muhammad Saleh	Do. ...	Do. ...	6,687	15	8,278
31	304	Rasul Baksh Khan wd. Parial Khan.	Do. ...	25th Sept. 1897 .	5,173	9	9,485
32	305	Chakar Khan, Khuda Baksh Khan, Lashkar Khan, and Mirzo Khan wd. Bakht Ali Khan and their uncle Atal wd. Chakar Khan, Sahrani...	Do. ...	28th Sept. 1897 .	20,716	6	62,744
33	321	Khair Muhammad and Khuda Baksh sons of Kando and Walidino son of Chhuto, Selras ...	Do. ...	1st Dec. 1897 ...	4,270	26	7,669
34	360	Mira Khan wd. Baloch Khan, Domki	Do. ...	6th June 1898 ...	26,400	...	...
35	370	Umed Ali Khan wd. Muhammad Khan and Ghulam Muhammad wd. Ali Baksh Khan... ...	Do. ...	9th July 1898 ...	2,880	...	...
36	383	Mir Dost Khan, Beram Khan, Chakar Khan, Habib Khan, and Khileri Khan sons of Bahram Khan, Jakhranis	Do. ...	30th July 1898...	966	...	...
				Total ...	2,87,168	235	4,18,168

I—*continued.*

Total amount admitted by the Manager up to 31st July 1898.	Debts Compounded.		Amount still remaining due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Date of sanction of Liquidation Scheme.	Estimated Annual Net Income according to Liquidation Schemes.	Remarks.
	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.				
16,115	...	...	...			
...	...	...	...	...	...	Management terminated under S. 19 from 1st May 1898.
...	...	...	...	...	...	No claim disposed of.
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
16,115	...	...	...			

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

SUMMARY OF APPENDIX I.

I.—Reported under Section 17.

No. of Estates.	District	Liabilities.				Debts compounded.		Amount still due to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Appeals.			Estates relinquished under S. 27.	Estates remaining under Management.
		As estimated by owner in Schedule.	Total of Claims registered.		Total Amount admitted by Manager.	Amount of Debts.	Amount for which settled.		Modified.	Rejected.	Total.		
			No.	Amount.									
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4	Karachi	39,291	36	87,654	11,325	...	...	11,325	...	4	4	...	4
4	Hyderabad ...	5,455	15	7,124	1,769	...	...	1,769	...	1	1	...	4
...	Thar and Parkar ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
6	Shikarpur ...	29,015	48	37,468	13,919	...	...	13,919	...	2	2	...	6
...	U. S. Frontier ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		73,761	99	1,32,246	27,013	...	...	27,013	...	7	7	...	14

II.—Under Management, but not reported on.

No. of Estates.	District.	Liabilities.				Claims not disposed of.		Appeals.			Estates relinquished under S. 19.	Estates remaining under Management.
		As estimated by owner in Schedule.	Total No. of Claims registered.		Total Amount admitted by Manager.	No.	Amount.	Modified.	Rejected.	Total.		
			No.	Amount.								
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.							
74	Karachi	5,85,649	697	5,88,775	1,01,044	457	3,50,567	17	11	28	...	74
91	Hyderabad	6,87,262	592	6,55,798	1,96,237	324	2,84,467	3	6	9	...	91
13	Thar and Parkar	83,112	44	1,66,261	628	31	1,46,694	...	...	...	...	13
155	Shikarpur	9,11,682	1,683	12,11,001	1,17,733	1,432	9,75,623	...	4	4	1	154
36	Upper Sind Frontier... ...	2,87,168	236	4,18,168	16,115	222	3,19,936	...	...	...	1	35
	Total ...	25,24,873	3,252	30,40,003	4,31,757	2,466	20,77,287	20	21	41	2	367
	Grand Total ...	25,98,634	3,351	31,72,249	4,58,770	2,466	20,77,287	20	28	48	2	381

Enquiry has been completed and Liquidation Schemes are being arranged in the case of 49 estates.

III.—Details of indebtedness of Estates the claims against which have been finally settled, excluding those relinquished under S. 26.

District.	No. in which no Claims admitted.	No. under Rs. 1,000 : Average Rs. 273.	No. under Rs. 2,500 : Average Rs. 1,642.	No. under Rs. 5,000 : Average Rs. 3,840.	No. under Rs. 10,000 : Average Rs. 7,410.	No. under Rs. 50,000 : Average Rs. 19,792.	Over Rs. 50,000: Average Rs. 63,374.	Total Number of Estates entirely settled.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Karachi...	2	3	3	6	1	1	1	17
Hyderabad	5	4	4	3	1	3	...	20
Thar and Parkar ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
Shikarpur ...	...	4	5	5	4	1	...	19
Upper Sind Frontier ...	1	1	1	...	2	...	...	5
	10	12	13	14	8	5	1	63

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing the results of the working of the Sind Incumbered Estates Act up to the 31st July 1898.

District.	No. of Estates for which Orders of Management have issued.	No. of Estates of which the Management has ceased.		No. of Estates remaining under Management.	Total Amount awarded to Creditors.	Paid to creditor up to 31st July 1898.				Remaining to be paid to creditors on 31st July 1898.	Account of Government Advances.				
		By order under S. 19.	By order under S. 27.			By Advance from Government.	By Collection from Estates.	Total. Amount actually paid.	Total. Amount settled.		In 1897-98.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1898.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid up to 31st July 1898.	Advances outstanding on 31st July 1898.
												Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Karachi	78	...	...	78	1,12,369	...	...	...	...	1,12,369	20,125	735 10 10	20,760 10 10	300 0 0	20,460 10 10
Hyderabad	95	...	...	95	1,98,006	...	1,500*	1,500	...	1,96,506	23,120	489 4 0	23,609 4 0	...	23,609 4 0
Thar and Parkar	13	..	...	13	628	...	...	...	...	628	1,215	6 3 10	1,221 3 10	...	1,221 3 10
Shikarpur	161	1	...	160	1,31,652	...	145†	145	...	1,31,507	20,431	505 5 2	20,936 5 2	770 0 0	20,166 5 2
Upper Sind Frontier ...	36	1	...	35	16,115	...	...	...	...	16,115	10,121	323 10 5	10,444 10 5	...	10,444 10 5
Total ...	388	2	...	381	4,58,770	...	1,645	1,645	...	4,57,125	74,912	2,060 2 3	76,972 2 3	1,070 0 0	75,902 2 3

* Estate No. 169.

† { Do. 89 ... Rs. 50 6 0
  { Do. 175 ... „ 94 6 0

Rs. 144 12 0

L. J. MOUNTFORD,
Manager.

APPENDIX

Account of Loans of Jagirdars and Zamindars whose Estates are under

Consecutive No.	Number of Estate.	Name of the Owner of the Estate.	Payments of advances past year, Date of Advances.	Amount of Advances.
		Karachi District.		Rs.
	30	Pir Baksh wd. Arzi, Mirbahir	19th March 1898 ...	220
	38	Sayad Lutif Ali Shah wd. Sayad Kurban Ali Shah ...	4th October 1897 ...	500
	38	Do. do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	350
	39	Gul Muhammad wd. Geduro and Sajan wd. Pinio, Garhas.	11th October 1897	200
	39	Do. do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	500
	42	Muhammad Rahim Shah wd Sayad Mohasan Shah and Masmat Bibi Gous wife of Sayad Amin Muhammad Shah	Do. ...	400
	73	Wadero Ali wd. Wadero Fazul, Lanjar	21st Sept. 1897 ...	400
	73	Do. do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	30
	85	Hot Khan wd Sadik Muhammad, Laghari ...	Do. ...	80
	87	Wadero Sher Muhammad Khan wd. Bachal Khan, Jatoi.	21st Sept. 1897 ...	1,000
	87	Do. do. ...	Do. ...	1,000
	107	Bahadin wd. Mian Baksh, Chano	16th „ ...	30
	108	Alahrakhio wd Ali, Chano	16th „ ...	30
	110	Sayad Jiandal Shah and Bachal Shah sons of Sayad Jurial Shah.	25th March 1898 ...	500
	120	Sayad Rabdino Shah wd Sayad Alah Baksh Shah ...	19th „ ..	500
	139	Karam Ali Khan, Ghulam Ali Khan and Murad Ali Khan wd Umed Ali Khan, Laghari	16th Sept. 1897 ...	50
	171	Wadero Yar Muhammad Khan wd. Wadero Bahawal Khan, Rind.	21st „ .	500
	172	Dost Muhammad wd Dhanidino, Jamot	Do. ...	400
	172	Do do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	90
	174	Wadero Chutal wd Muhammad Ali Khan, Kachar ...	21st Sept. 1897 ...	400
	177	Sayad Muhammad Laman Shah son of Sayad Kadirdino Shah	17th July 1897 ...	300
	187	Lahno wd. Manthar, Dadu wd Dham Ali and Manthar wd Dadu, Mirbahirs.	16th Sept. 1897 ...	20
	187	Do do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	35
	193	Makhdum Muhammad Hasan and Khan Muhammad sons of Makhdum Sachal, Jamot.	4th January 1898...	120
	226	Niazu wd Baksh Ali, Panhwar (minor)	21st Sept. 1897 ...	160
	231	Sayad Ghulam Rasul Shah wd Sayad Kasim Shah, Niaz Husen Shah, Abdul Husen Shah, Kaim Shah, Rustom Shah, Alahdad Shah and Ghulam Kadir.	Do. ...	2,000
	231	Do do. ...	19th March 1898 ...	500
	253	Muhammad Yusif wd Wadero Ahmed, Mirbahar ...	Do. ...	400
	272	Sumar wd. Arbab Muhammad	31st „ ...	* 500
	286	Beg Muhammad son of Changal, Bajar	21st Sept. 1897 ...	900
	299	Sayad Kadir Baksh Shah wd, Sayad Ali Muhammad Shah.	24th „ ...	300
	299	Do. do. ...	25th March 1898 ...	200
	299	Do. do. ...	31st „ ...	† 500
	313	Sayad Bachal Shah son of Sayad Shahnawaz Shah ...	19th „ ...	115
	319	Gianchand wd. Chandumal	Do. ...	500
	323	Gul Muhammad and Lal Baksh wd. Chuto, Lanjar ...	Do. ...	280
	328	Haji Hashim wd. Budhan and Haji Abdulah wd. Haji Hashim, Hingorjo.	Do. ...	350
	329	Yusuf wd. Ghulam, Hingorjo	Do. ..	400
		Total, Karachi District ...		14,760

III.

management under Act XX of 1896 for the Financial year 1897-98.

OR BALANCE OF THE IF ANY.		PAYMENTS.			BALANCE OUTSTANDING ON 31ST MARCH 1898.		REMARKS.
Interest at 8 %.							
No. of days for which Interest has been charged.	Amount of Interest at 8%.	Date.	Repayments towards the principal amount of Advances.	Payment of Interest @ 8%.	Principal.	Interest.	
	Rs. a p		Rs.		Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
13	0 10 0	...	...	...	220	0 10 0	
179	19 9 10	...	...	...	850	20 9 9	
13	0 15 11	...	...	...			
172	7 8 8	...	...	...	700	8 15 6	
13	1 6 10	...	...	...			
13	1 2 3	...	...	...	400	1 2 3	
192	16 13 4	...	...	...	...	...	
13	0 1 4	...	...	...	430	16 14 8	
13	0 3 8	...	...	...	80	0 3 8	
192	42 1 4	...	...	...	1,000	83 15 2	
191	41 13 10	31st March 1898 ...	*1,000	...			*Transferred to Estate No. 272 Rs. 500 Do. 299 ,, 500 Rs. 1,000
197	1 4 9	...	...	...	30	1 4 9	
197	1 4 9	...	...	...	30	1 4 9	
7	0 12 3	...	...	...	500	0 12 3	
13	1 6 10	...	...	...	500	1 6 10	
197	2 2 7	...	...	...	50	2 2 7	
192	21 0 8	...	...	...	500	21 0 8	
192	16 13 4	...	...	...	490	17 1 5	
13	0 4 1	...	...	...			
192	16 13 4	...	...	...	400	16 13 4	
203	13 5 7	5th February 1898 ..	†300	..	...	13 5 7	†Refunded to Government.
197	0 13 10	...	...	...	55	0 15 5	
13	0 1 7	...	...	...			
87	2 4 7	...	...	...	120	2 4 7	
192	6 11 9	...	...	...	160	6 11 9	
192	84 2 8	...	...	...	2,500	85 9 6	
13	1 6 10	...	...	...			
13	1 2 3	...	...	...	400	1 2 3	
1	0 1 9	...	...	...	500	0 1 9	‡Transferred from Estate No. 87.
192	37 14 0	...	...	...	900	37 14 0	
189	12 6 10	...	...	...	1,000	12 13 6	§Transferred from Estate No. 87.
7	0 4 11	...	...	...			
1	0 1 9	...	...	...			
13	0 5 3	...	...	...	115	0 5 3	
13	1 6 10	...	...	...	500	1 6 10	
13	0 12 9	...	...	...	280	0 12 9	
13	0 15 11	...	...	...	350	0 15 11	
13	1 2 3	...	...	...	400	1 2 3	
	359 14 11		1,300		13,460	359 14 11	

Consecutive No.	Number of Estate.	Name of the Owner of the Estate.	Payments of advances past year, Date of Advances.	Amount of Advances.
		Hyderabad District.		Rs.
	71	Phatu wd. Miran Kirio	18th October 1897	100
	71	Do. do.	9th March 1898	100
	72	Bago Khan and Haji Khan wd Jamal Khan, Jatoi ...	Do.	100
	77	Arbab Rabdino wd Arbab Radho, Sathio ...	Do.	150
	78	Yakub wd. Alu, Khodi	22nd Nov. 1897	30
	78	Do. do.	9th March 1898	91
	79	Muhammad Hashim and Muhammad Ali wd. Pir Muhammad.	Do.	500
	80	Khalifo Rabdino wd. Shahu, Samo	Do.	325
	81	Mirza Ghulam Murtaza wd Khusro Beg	Do.	84
	86	Mir Ahmed Khan and Mir Haji Khan sons of Mir Muhammad Ali Khan.	Do.	150
	90	Mirza Muhammad Rahim Beg wd. Mirza Khusro Beg	Do.	500
	103	Imam Baksh wd. Salar, Mamur	12th October 1897	150
	150	Yusuf wd. Saindino, Samo	9th March 1898	325
	169	Mir Muhammad Ali Khan and Mir Sher Muhammad Khan wd Mir Budho Khan Shahwani, Talpurs.	4th January 1898	1,500
	199	Rahab son of Hyder, Moro	Do.	20
	202	Nawab Wali Muhammad Khan wd. Ahmed Khan Laghari, and his three sons.	21st October 1897	100
	203	Morand son of Menghan, Wagan	4th January 1898	80
	207	Iso and Dino sons of Dost Muhammad, Khizmatgars...	9th March 1898	200
	208	Makhdum Muhammad Rahim son of Ghulam Muhammad.	Do.	150
	209	Mir Evaz son of Murid Khan, Unar	18th October 1897	300
	209	Do. do.	9th March 1898	100
	211	Sayad Khair Muhammad Shah and Sabab Ali Shah sons of Sayad Dadan Shah and Sayad Abdul Samand and Ahmed Shah sons of Sayad Dinal Shah.	Do.	440
	212	Khair Muhammad Khan wd. Rato Khan, Khokhar ...	14th Dec. 1897	50
	213	Dars Umar wd. Dars Muhammad	9th March 1898	180
	216	Juman wd. Shahmir, Rahu	14th Dec 1897	50
	218	Imam Baksh Khan wd Nabi Baksh Khan ...	4th January 1898	60
	224	Khuda Baksh son of Khair Muhammad Khan and Saleh Muhammad son of Bahadur Khan, Khizmatgars.	9th March 1898	300
	233	Munshis Kesavdas and Parmanand wd Mewaram ...	Do.	450
	234	Sayads Ghulam Ali Shah and Sidik Muhammad Shah...	4th January 1898	200
	238	Sayad Ghulam Shah wd Sayad Fateh Ali Shah ...	28th October 1897	25
	240	Mir Ali Khan wd Mir Muhammad Ali Khan ...	4th January 1898	400
	242	Muhammad Sadik, son of Wadero Panah, Rahu ...	9th March 1898	260
	243	Loung wd. Sukhio, Bukero	Do.	260
	245	Sabzal Khan wd. Imam Baksh Khan, Mari ...	Do.	60
	250	Musa Khan wd. Nabi Baksh (minor) and Imam Ali wd. Umed Ali, Jalbani.	18th October 1897	400
	250	Do. do.	9th March 1898	180
	251	Ranwal Khan wd. Haji Alu, Katiar	Do.	230
	260	Mulomal wd Isarmal	Do.	300
	269	Sayad Yar Muhammad Shah son of Sayad Jalal Shah, Tikhar.	9th ,,	180
	271	Usman son of Sidik and Habib son of Ali Muhammad, Kirio	4th January 1898	40
	274	Jiand Khan wd Ali Baksh Khan, Jamali	9th March 1898	70
	282	Muso son of Wahyo, Memon	4th January 1898	40
	282	Do. do	9th March 1898	240
	284	Ghulam Shah Khan, Nabi Baksh Khan and Ali Baksh Khan sons of Yakhtiar Khan and Mir Khan son of Nabi Baksh Khan, Laghari	11th Dec. 1897	400
	285	Fateh Khan son of Rustom Khan, Khokhar ...	9th March 1898	500
	290	Musmat Bawan widow of Fateh Khan Mari and Musmat Mahandi daughter of Fateh Khan Mari.	Do.	60
	296	Pir Mian Pirdino Shah son of Pir Mian Bhawandas ...	Do.	500
	325	Khalifo Taib wd. Khalifo Pario	Do.	315
	326	Bago Khan and Hasan Ali Khan wd. Muhammad Ashraf, Jodhejo.	Do.	150
		Total, Hyderabad District ...		11,345

III—*continued.*

OR BALANCE OF THE IF ANY.		PAYMENTS.			BALANCE OUTSTANDING ON 31ST MARCH 1898.		REMARKS.
Interest at 8 %.							
No. of days for which Interest has been charged.	Amount of Interest at 8 %.	Date.	Repayments towards the principal amount of Advances.	Payment of Interest @ 8 %.	Principal.	Interest.	
	Rs. a. p.		Rs.		Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
165	3 9 10	...	...	...	200	4 1 11	
23	0 8 1	...	...	...			
23	0 8 1	...	...	...	100	0 8 1	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
130	0 13 8	...	...	...	121	1 5 0	
23	0 7 4	...	...	...			
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	1 10 3	...	...	...	325	1 10 3	
23	0 6 9	...	...	...	84	0 6 9	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
171	5 9 11	...	...	...	150	5 9 11	
23	1 10 3	...	...	...	325	1 10 3	
87	28 9 8	...	...	...	1,500	28 9 8	
87	0 6 1	...	...	...	20	0 6 1	
162	3 8 10	...	...	...	100	3 8 10	
87	1 8 5	...	...	...	80	1 8 5	
23	1 0 2	...	...	...	200	1 0 2	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
165	10 13 7	...	...	...	400	11 5 8	
23	0 8 1	...	...	...			
23	2 3 6	...	...	...	440	2 3 6	
108	1 2 11	...	...	...	50	1 2 11	
23	0 14 6	...	...	...	180	0 14 6	
108	1 2 11	...	...	...	50	1 2 11	
87	1 2 4	...	...	...	60	1 2 4	
23	1 8 2	...	...	...	300	1 8 2	
23	2 4 4	...	...	...	450	2 4 4	
87	3 13 0	...	...	...	200	3 13 0	
155	0 13 7	...	...	...	25	0 13 7	
87	7 10 0	...	...	...	400	7 10 0	
23	1 4 11	...	...	...	260	1 4 11	
23	1 4 11	...	...	...	260	1 4 11	
23	0 4 10	...	...	...	60	0 4 10	
165	14 7 5	...	...	...	530	15 1 11	
23	0 10 6	...	...	...			
23	1 2 7	...	...	...	230	1 2 7	
23	1 8 2	...	...	...	300	1 8 2	
23	0 14 6	...	...	...	180	0 14 6	
87	0 12 2	...	...	...	40	0 12 2	
23	0 5 7	...	...	...	70	0 5 7	
87	0 12 2	...	...	...	280	1 15 6	
23	1 3 4	...	...	...			
111	9 11 8	...	...	...	400	9 11 8	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	0 4 10	...	...	...	60	0 4 10	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	1 9 5	...	...	...	315	1 9 5	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
	133 12 11	...	...	...	11,345	133 12 11	

APPENDIX

Consecutive No.	Number of Estate.	Name of the Owner of the Estate.	Payments of advances past year, Date of Advances.	Amount of Advances.
		Shikarpur District.		Rs.
	4	Sher Muhammad Khan wd. Ali Baksh, Khuawar ...	18th October 1897...	100
	21	Alahwarayo wd. Gahno Khan, Khakhrani ...	9th March 1898 ...	500
	25	Muhammad Baksh Khan wd. Fateh Khan, Visar ...	13th Nov. 1897 ..	200
	25	Do. do. ...	22nd October 1897 .	300
	26	Razikdino wd Lalu Khan, Dharejo	22nd „ „ ...	500
	34	Angan wd. Nabi Baksh, Dhanidino wd. Kadir Baksh (minor) and Mahrab wd. Pir Baksh, Tagurs.	9th March 1898 ...	71
	36	Serai Nabi Baksh wd. Yaro, Alah Baksh wd. Kaim, Amir Baksh wd. Kadir Baksh, Gachals, and Masmat Bai wife of Jiandal Shah.	9th „ „ ...	250
	40	Wadero Umed Ali wd. Saindino, Wali Muhammad wd. Baziat, Wadero Khial wd. Nur Muhammad and Kaman wd. Jam Muhammad, Mahars.	11th Dec. 1897 ...	478
	40	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	500
	41	Sileman wd. Saindino Charan	22nd October 1897 .	500
	43	Ismail Khan wd. Muhammad Khan and his sister Sardar Bibi Khatun, Dhareja.	22nd „ „ ...	500
	53	Jalal Khan wd Nawab Khan, Ghoti	15th Dec. 1897 ...	300
	59	Dost Muhammad wd. Khan Muhammad, Kalhoro ...	18th October 1897 .	25
	82	Nur Muhammad wd. Ghulam Muhammad, Naich ...	18th „ „ ...	50
	83	Ghulam Nabi Ghulam Kadir and Ghulam Ali wd. Wadero Muhammad Taki, Chodo, minors, through their guardian Ghulam Rasul wd. Ghulam Hyder.	9th March 1898 ...	112
	84	Dil Murad wd. Pirano Khan, Chandio	18th October 1897 .	40
	89	Sayad Ghulam Husen Shah and Sayad Ishak Ali Shah sons of Sayad Kalandar Shah and Sayad Bahadur Ali Shah son of Ghulam Husen Shah.	26th Feb. 1898 ...	200
	91	Khuda Baksh wd. Alahdino and Abdul Rahman wd. Khuda Baksh, Mohals.	19th March 1898 ...	100
	95	Nawazio Ghulam and Dost Muhammad wd. Alah Baksh, Buriras.	18th October 1897 .	50
	102	Serai Fazul Muhammad and Serai Ali Nawaz wd. Serai Alahdino, Chajra.	9th March 1898 ...	56
	104	Biland Khan and Murid Khan wd. Baloch Khan Bozdar.	9th March 1898 ...	70
	109	Karim Baksh Khan wd. Ali Baksh Khan, Chachar ...	22nd October 1897 .	500
	109	Do. do.	22nd „ „ ...	500
	116	Vasio wd Baksh Ali, Jarwar	9th March 1898...	350
	135	Ali Muhammad wd. Saifal, Tagan	9th „ „ ...	150
	137	Bakhar wd. Darvesh and his son Ahrepotas ..	2nd Dec. 1897 ...	80
	143	Muhammad Khan wd. Rahim Khan and Alah Baksh Ata Muhammad and Khatar Khan wd. Murad Ali ...	9th March 1898 ...	500
	145	Sayad Khan wd. Khan Muhammad, Phulpoto ...	9th „ „ ...	500
	151	Ali Khan wd. Wali Muhammad and Fateh Muhammad wd. Khair Muhammad.	9th „ 1898 ...	500
	154	Mian Wadhal Shah and Muhammad Ali Shah sons of Umed Ali Shah.	9th „ „ ...	320
	167	Imam Baksh wd. Fateh Muhammad, Pahur ...	18th Oct. 1897 ...	60
	167	Do. do.	22nd „ „ ...	10
	167	Do. do.	9th March 1898 ..	150
	182	Bahram Khan wd. Muhammad Khan, Chajra ...	9th „ „ ...	75
	194	Mithal Khan wd. Muhammad Khan. Laghari ...	9th „ „ ...	150
	198	Masmat Hava widow of Shahdad Khan, Laghari ...	9th „ „ ...	100
	215	Serai Muhammad Khan wd. Jan Muhammad Khan, Jalbani	9th „ „ ...	489
	219	Serai Alah Baksh wd. Serai Shah Muhammad and Serai Ghulam Muhammad wd. Mula Varayo and Serai Shah Muhammad wd Ghulam Husen	9th „ „ ..	81
	223	Pir Baksh and Amir Baksh wd. Gulsher, Machi ...	9th „ „ ...	56
		Carried over, Shikarpur District ...		9,473

III—*continued.*

OR BALANCE OF THE IF ANY.		PAYMENTS.			BALANCE OUTSTANDING ON 31ST MARCH 1898.		REMARKS.
Interest at 8 %.							
No. of days for which Interest has been charged.	Amount of interest at 8 %.	Date.	Repayments towards the principal amount of Advances.	Payment of interest @ 8 %.	Principal.	Interest.	
	Rs. a. p.		Rs.		Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
165	3 9 10	...	...	...	100	3 9 10	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
139	6 1 6	...	...	...	500	16 10 11	
161	10 9 5	...	...	...			
161	17 10 4	...	...	...	500	17 10 11	
23	0 5 8	...	...	...	71	0 5 8	
23	1 4 2	...	...	...	250	1 4 2	
111	11 10 1	...	...	...	978	14 2 5	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...			
161	17 10 4	...	...	...	500	17 10 4	
161	17 10 4	...	...	...	500	17 10 4	
107	7 0 7	...	...	...	300	7 0 7	
165	0 14 6	...	...	...	25	0 14 6	
165	1 12 11	...	...	...	50	1 12 11	
23	0 9 0	...	...	...	112	0 9 0	
165	1 7 2	...	...	...	40	1 7 2	
34	1 7 10	...	...	...	200	1 7 10	
13	0 4 7	...	...	...	100	0 4 7	
165	1 12 11	...	...	...	50	1 12 11	
23	0 4 6	...	...	...	56	0 4 6	
23	0 5 7	...	...	...	70	0 5 7	
189	11 6 10	30th March 1898 ...	*500 0	...	500	35 1 2	* Refunded to Government.
161	17 10 4	...	...	...			
23	1 12 3	...	...	...	350	1 12 3	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
120	2 1 8	...	...	...	80	2 1 8	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	2 8 4	...	...	...	500	2 8 4	
23	1 9 9	...	...	...	320	1 9 9	
165	2 2 9	...	...	...			
161	0 5 7	...	...	...	220	3 4 5	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...			
23	0 6 1	...	...	...	75	0 6 1	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
23	0 8 1	...	...	...	100	0 8 1	
23	2 7 5	...	...	...	489	2 7 5	
23	0 6 6	...	...	...	81	0 6 6	
23	0 4 6	...	...	...	56	0 4 6	
...	164 6 11	...	500 0	...	8,973	164 6 11	

Consecutive No.	Number of Estate.	Name of the Owner of the Estate.	Payments of advances past year, Date of Advances.	Amount of Advances.
		SHIKARPUR DISTRICT—*contd.*		Rs.
			Brought forward...	9,473
	225	Serai Amir Baksh wd. Pir Muhammad, Chajra ...	9th March 1898 ...	76
	239	Mohab Nabi son of Ghulam Muhammad, Junejo ...	9th „ ...	50
	246	Gul Muhammad son of Banho, Rahur	18th October 1897 ..	40
	246	Do. do. ...	22nd „ ...	10
	246	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	40
	261	Alim Khan wd. Ali Baksh, Khuawar	18th October 1897..	60
	262	Hiro Khan wd. Dato Khan, Chandio	9th March 1898 ..	150
	263	Bhambho Khan wd. Yaro Khan, Chandio... ...	9th „ ...	100
	270	Serai Jial Khan wd. Tharo Khan, Buhar	22nd Oct. 1897 ...	40
	292	Kazi Khush Muhammad wd. Khair Muhammad and Kazi Abdul Hak wd. Khush Muhammad.	9th March 1898	125
	295	Mir Muhammad son of Muhammad and Pir Muhammad son of Sodhrio, Tunio.	Do. ...	364
	302	Wadero Ali Murad Khan son of Wadero Ali Sher Khan, Jatoi.	22nd Oct. 1897 ...	270
	302	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	38
	308	Kuhandal Khan son of Alah Baksh Khan, Pathan ...	31st January 1898 .	20
	316	Muhammad Shah wd. Abdul Kadir and Abdul Kadir son of Abdul Wahid, Bukeja.	9th March 1898 ...	200
		Total, Shikarpur District ...		11,056
		UPPER SIND FRONTIER DISTRICT.		
	98	Chakar Khan wd. Mir Muhammad Khan, Chandio ...	19th March 1898 ..	150
	111	Saindito wd. Yakhtiar Khan, Khoso	22nd Oct. 1897 ...	50
	111	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ..	120
	112	Hashmatrai wd. Dhirumal, Hindu	Do. ...	150
	138	Manjhi Khan wd. Mahrab Khan, Mekrani... ...	Do. ...	284
	140	Ghulam Nabi wd. Gul Muhammad, Langah ...	Do. ...	70
	141	Sirdar Khan wd. Gulbeg Khan and Khan Muhammad wd. Din Muhammad, Jatoi	22nd Oct. 1897 ...	100
	141	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ..	285
	142	Hasil Khan wd. Murad Ali Khan, Jamali... ...	22nd Oct. 1897 ..	200
	142	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ..	150
	159	Khairo Khan wd. Shahdad Khan and Shahdad Khan wd. Khairo Khan, Sahrani.	Do. ...	400
	159	Do. do. ...	Do. ..	284
	181	Bahawal Khan son of Bahram Khan	Do. ..	400
	183	Sardaro Khan wd. Yaro Khan, Khoso	Do. ..	200
	195	Masmats Hakimzadi and Bachi daughters of Alah Baksh and Begam wife of Alah Baksh and Rajbai ...	22nd Oct. 1897 ..	100
	195	Do do. ...	9th March 1898 ..	120
	197	Karim Baksh Khan, Khair Muhammad Khan, Saindino Khan and Alah Baksh Khan wd. Wadero Husen Khan and Muhammad Panah wd. Alah Rakhio, Sahrani.	Do. ..	1,000
	229	Nek Muhammad son of Shah Beg Khan, Dakhan ...	Do. ...	150
	237	Darya Khan wd. Dildar Khan, Sahrani	Do. ..	150
	237	Do. do. ...	19th „ 1898 ...	202
	248	Ali Muhammad Khan son of Wadero Rajo Khan ...	4th Feb. 1898 ...	150
	248	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	150
	249	Taj Muhammad Khan son of Wadero Rajo Khan ...	4th Feb. 1898 ...	300
	249	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	400
	266	Jafar Khan son of Mubarak Khan and Jumo Khan, Chakar Khan and Vazir Baksh sons of Jafar Khan.	4th Feb. 1898 ...	92
	266	Do. do. ...	Do. ...	170
	266	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	500
		Carried over, Upper Sind Frontier District ...		6,327

III—*continued.*

OR BALANCE OF THE IF ANY.		PAYMENTS.			BALANCE OUTSTANDING ON 31ST MARCH 1898.		REMARKS.
Interest at 8 %.							
No. of days for which Interest has been charged.	Amount of Interest at 8 %.	Date.	Repayments towards the principal amount of Advances.	Payment of Interest @ 8 %.	Principal.	Interest.	
	Rs. a. p.		Rs.		Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
...	164 6 11	...	500	...	8,973	164 6 11	
23	0 6 2	...	...	...	76	0 6 2	
23	0 4 0	...	...	...	50	0 4 0	
165	1 7 2	...	...	...			
161	0 5 7	...	...	...	90	1 15 11	
23	0 3 2	...	...	...			
165	2 2 9	...	...	...	60	2 2 9	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
23	0 8 1	...	...	...	100	0 8 1	
161	1 6 7	...	...	...	40	1 6 7	
23	0 10 1	...	...	...	125	0 10 1	
23	1 13 4	...	...	...	364	1 13 4	
159	9 6 6	30th March 1898 ...	270	...	38	9 9 7	
23	0 3 1	...	...	...			
60	0 4 2	...	...	...	20	0 4 2	
23	1 0 2	...	...	...	200	1 0 2	
...	185 3 10	...	770	...	10,286	185 3 10	
13	0 6 10	...	...	...	150	0 6 10	
161	1 12 3	...	...	...	170	2 5 11	
23	0 9 8	...	...	...			
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
23	1 6 11	...	...	...	284	1 6 11	
23	0 5 7	...	...	...	70	0 5 7	
161	3 8 6	...	...	...	385	4 15 6	
23	1 7 0	...	...	...			
161	7 0 11	...	...	...	350	7 13 0	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...			
23	2 0 3	...	...	...	684	2 13 2	* Transferred from Estate No. 305.
13	0 12 11	...	...	...			
23	2 0 3	...	...	...	400	2 0 3	
23	1 0 2	...	...	...	200	1 0 2	
161	3 8 6	...	...	...	220	4 2 2	
23	0 9 8	...	...	...			
23	5 0 8	...	...	...	1,000	5 0 8	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	150	0 12 1	
23	0 12 1	...	...	...			
13	0 9 2	...	...	...	352	1 5 3	Transferred from Estate No. 305.
56	1 13 5	...	...	...			
23	0 12 1	...	...	...	300	2 9 6	
56	3 10 11	...	...	...			
23	2 0 3	...	...	...	700	5 11 2	
43	0 13 10	19th March 1898 ...	†92	...			
56	2 1 4	...	...	...	670	5 7 6	† Transferred to Estate No. 276.
23	2 8 4	...	...	...			
	48 15 9		92		6,235	48 15 9	

Consecutive No.	Number of Estate.	Name of the Owner of the Estate.	Payments of advances past year, Date of Advances.	Amount of Advances.
				Rs.
		Upper Sind Frontier District—*continued.*	Brought forward ...	6,327
	267	Wadero Kaim Khan wd. Hot Khan and Muhammad Hayat wd. Wadero Kaim Khan, Vidho.	22nd October 1897	4
	276	Wadero Muhammad Baksh Khan son of Wadero Shahdad Khan, Sahrani.	9th March 1898 ...	25
	276	Do. do. ...	19th „ „ ...	92
	289	Serai Gul Muhammad Khan and Dur Muhammad Khan sons of Shah Beg Khan, Dakhan.	22nd October 1897	500
	289	Do. do. ...	9th „ „ ...	1,000
	305	Chakar Khan, Khuda Baksh Khan, Lashkar Khan and Mirza Khan sons of Wadero Bakhtiar Khan and their uncle Otal Khan son of Chakar Khan, Sahrani.	4th February 1898	486
	305	Do. do. ...	Do. ...	14
	305	Do. do. ...	16th Dec. 1897 ...	50
	305	Do. do. ...	9th March 1898 ...	500
	321	Khair Muhammad and Khuda Baksh wd. Kando and Walidino wd. Chhuto, Selra.	Do. ...	70
		Total, Upper Sind Frontier District ...		9,329
		Grand Total ...		‡ 46,490

‡ Includes Rs. 1,578, being the amount of transfers between Estates, the actual advance being ... Rs. 44,912

§ Amount actually advanced from Government ... ... „ 44,912

Deduct—

Amount actually refunded to Government... ... ... „ 1,070

Rs. 43,842

Administration Reports.

Report of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year 1901-1902.

No. 690.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 30th January 1903.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 1306, dated 15th November 1902—Submitting with his remarks the Administration Report of the Manager, Sind Incumbered Estates, for the year ending 31st July 1902.

RESOLUTION.—The amendments of the Act referred to in paragraph 11 of Mr. Tupper's report are at present under the consideration of Government.

2. The success which has so far attended the efforts of the Department is clearly indicated by the figures given in paragraph 16 of the report. Past seasons and prices have not been uniformly favourable for the leasing of estates and there is every reason to hope that the measure of success attained in the past will be continued.

3. It is understood that what is shown as "produce" in appendix I and throughout the report includes the rents paid for leased estates and the value of the gross produce of estates managed departmentally. It is confusing to bring under the same head items of so different a nature, and in future the financial results of estates leased and of estates managed departmentally should be shown separately. Means of contrasting the results of the different methods of management and of comparing the value of the gross produce with assessment of estates managed departmentally will be useful for several purposes.

P. J. MEAD,
Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Collectors and Deputy Commissioners in Sind,
The Accountant General,
The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor. } With copies of the report.
The Government of India,
The Under Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

Rev 264

No. of 1903.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

No. 1306 CF 1902.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner's Office,

Camp on the Indus, 15th November 1902.

Forwarded to Government. The Commissioner in Sind has no comments to make on the report. Good work seems to have been done during the year. The figures shown in paragraph 7 of the report of the total payments made to the creditors are exclusive of the produce enjoyed by mortgagees in possession and the payments made on account of estates relinquished : if these figures* are added, the total will amount to Rs. 6,49,208 as against Rs. 5,08,794 shown in paragraph 6 of the Commissioner's review of the previous year's report.

Report on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year ending 31st July 1902.

* Produce enjoyed by mortgagees in possession... Rs. 92,174
Payments on account of estates relinquished..... Rs. 68,913

A. CUMINE,
Commissioner in Sind.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bombay.

No. 1725 OF 1902.

Sind Incumbered Estates Office,
Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

From

J. H. E. TUPPER, ESQUIRE, I.C.S.,
Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,

To

A. CUMINE, ESQUIRE, I.C.S.,
Commissioner in Sind.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit my report for the year ending 31st July 1902 on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind, together with appendices in accordance with Government Resolution No. 1225, dated 20th February 1902.

The Department was in charge of Rao Bahadur Choitram for the first 4½ months of the year. I assumed charge on the 14th December 1901, and continued in charge for the remaining 7½ months.

Estates under Management.

2. The total number of estates brought under management up to 31st July 1901 was 616, of which 95 were relinquished. The net under management when last year's report was submitted was 521. During the year under report, 25 new estates were added, making the total registered 641, and the net under management up to 31st July 1902, 546. Of, these, 22 were relinquished, leaving 524 under management—an increase of 3 upon last year. Of the 22 estates released, 5 were returned under Section 19, and 17 were relinquished under Section 27, fully discharged of their liabilities, amounting to Rs. 26,899.

During the year under report, 80 new applications for protection were received as against 120 last year. In the first five months of the year, only 23 applications were received, 57 coming in during the last seven months. The marked decrease in number during the early part of the year was due mainly to a confident anticipation of the benefits of the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act. A reaction is now setting in, as the zamindar discovers that the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act confers no immunity from Civil process, and does not afford relief from mortgages or leases. As this becomes more widely appreciated, the reaction is likely to gain in strength. A large field still remains for the operation of the Act. Numbers of zamindars who are heavily involved have so far avoided protection, deterred by the prospect of enforced economy and the loss of dignity it engenders. This reluctance is overcome only when the creditor's patience is exhausted, and the zamindar finds himself compelled to choose between the Sub-Judge and the Manager. The creditor's patience is long in proportion as his claims are inflated. He has naturally no desire that they should be scrutinised too closely, and he drives his client under protection only as a last resort. As this tendency disappears, the number of zamindars seeking protection is likely to increase.

3. Of the total number of estates under management during the year, 372 were wholly leased and 58 were partially leased, leaving 116 under Departmental management.

4. The total produce of these estates was, as shown in Appendix I, Rs. 7,41,368, out of which Rs. 15,594 only were realised by sale of portions of estates whose owners obstructed Departmental management, and prevented the Manager from getting leases and so discharging their debts.

The year's Accounts.

The figure of produce is exclusive of Rs. 33,546 due by estate-owners who were granted leases of their own property and defaulted. In rare cases only is it expedient to sell up defaulting estate-owners. Part of the arrears will be recovered by collection, and the remainder will be recouped by instalments deducted from the estate-owner's maintenance allowance as soon as satisfactory leases for the estates can be obtained.

Appendix I shows the year's working. The gross produce of the estates from all sources, including loans borrowed from Government, together with last year's balance in hand, is Rs. 9,75,159. The outstandings of the year amount to Rs. 1,11,087, and are not included in the gross produce. The expenditure has been as follows :—

		Rs.
1.	Assessment	... 3,64,289
2.	Maintenance ...	... 1,29,595
3.	Miscellaneous ...	... 42,339
4.	Private Debts ...	... 1,30,248
5.	Cultivation Expenses ...	... 52,792
6.	Repayment of Loans ...	... 49,673
7.	Cost of Management, 1900-1901	... 71,750
		Rs. 8,40,686
	Balance in hand ...	„ 1,34,473
		Rs. 9,75,159

5. The total amount of claims registered against 641 estates (the total number) was Rs. 53,37,982 against Rs. 39,55,486 as shown in the schedules filed by the estate-owners. These figures are not of much value as the claims regarding estates which have recently come under management may be filed any time within 6 months after the Manager's notification under Section 12. The total number of claims filed during the year is 223, raising the claims filed since the beginning of the Act to 6,094.

Claims and Liquidation Schemes.

The number of claims settled during the year was 583, involving Rs. 5,13,967, of which Rs. 2,04,149 were proved. Of these, 387 claims were heard by Rao Bahadur Choitram, involving Rs. 3,27,502, of which Rs. 1,54,990 were proved. 23 claims settled by Rao Bahadur Choitram for Rs. 18,995 were remanded by the Commissioner in Sind during the year under report, 16 of which, originally proved at Rs. 11,913, have been settled for Rs. 4,977 before the close of the year.

On the Manager's awards, 97 appeals were made to the Commissioner in Sind: 44 against awards of Mr. Mountford, 43 against Rao Bahadur Choitram's decisions, and 10 against my awards. The awards were modified in 9 cases only, 2 being Mr. Mountford's and 7 Rao Bahadur Choitram's.

Since the Act came into operation, to the end of 31st July 1902, 6,035 claims have been heard, on which Rs. 52,75,625 were claimed and Rs. 22,51,410 were proved.

6. It will be seen from Appendix No. III that 521 estates remained on hand at the beginning of the year under report. 25 new estates were added, bringing the total to 546. Of these, 5 were relinquished during the year under Section 19, leaving a balance of 541 estates. Liquidation Schemes for 438 estates had already received sanction: 43 new Liquidation Schemes were submitted during the year under report, leaving 60 estates of which Liquidation Schemes remain to be prepared. Claims against 30 of these estates have been settled, and Liquidation Schemes are delayed only until reliable estimates of produce can be obtained.

There remain only 30 estates, with 59 claims at present registered against them, into which inquiry has been partially completed, or will be undertaken on expiration of the 6-months period. In addition, there remain 5 estates with 72 claims against them in which Rao Bahadur Choitram's awards have been remanded by the Commissioner for re-trial.

Indebtedness.

7. Appendix IV gives details of the liability of estates under management either to private creditors or to Government for Takavi or 8 per cent. loan.

On private debts, the amount due to creditors by estates under management to the end of last year was Rs. 18,94,974. During the year under report, 2,04,149 were proved and the total private indebtedness was thus raised to Rs. 20,99,123.

Rs. 3,52,220 were paid up to the end of last year, and Rs. 1,30,248 were paid during the year under report. This is well over the average payment to private creditors per year during the past 4 years, which has been Rs. 1,05,283. The actual amount paid does not exhaust the actual liability reduced.

Up to end of last year, Rs. 4,923 had been obtained by way of rebate from creditors for payment down, and this year Rs. 730 have been similarly added. The total amount by which debts have been reduced is therefore Rs. 3,52,220 + 1,30,248 + 4,923 + 730 = Rs. 4,88,121, leaving Rs. 16,11,002 to be paid to creditors out of the produce of the estates under management.

8. The Government loan at 8 per cent., details of which appear in Appendices II and IV, is utilised for working estates the income of which is not sufficient to meet all demands by reason of previous leases or mortgages, where no rent is payable by the lessees, or by reason of insufficiency of produce due to drought or other causes.

Up to the close of last year, the amount due to Government under this loan was Rs. 29,642. Rs. 5,000 more taken during the year, as against Rs. 10,000 in the previous years, raised the total to Rs. 34,642. Add Rs. 2,296, total Rs. 36,938.

Rs. 8,248 were paid, leaving a net balance of Rs. 28,690. The equivalent of this year's borrowings has thus been discharged, and a substantial reduction effected in the liability of the previous year. The need for these loans will diminish year by year as the estates are placed upon a firmer basis.

9. The 5 per cent. Takavi loan (Appendices II and IV) represents only the Takavi that has been taken for estates during management. It does not include the Takavi due by estates before management. This is shown as arrears due to Government, and is included in arrears of assessment (Appendix VI). The amount due for Takavi to end of last year was Rs. 33,111. During the year under report, Rs. 29,690 were taken, making the total debt under this head Rs. 62,801.

Exclusive of interest, Rs. 35,471 have been repaid, leaving a balance of Rs. 27,330. An amount equal to this year's borrowings has thus been repaid, and the past year's liability reduced in addition.

10. Private loans can now be raised without difficulty at 7½ per cent., but the establishment of the Department's credit which renders this possible, has to a great measure rendered such loans unprofitable. There is obviously no advantage in borrowing at 7½ per cent. to pay off a debt which carries 6 per cent., unless the creditors will consent to a substantial rebate for cash down. This creditors wisely refuse, since they are now satisfied that their money is absolutely safe, and they are saved all trouble of recovery, being paid by cheque at their own homes without demand. Rs. 4,000 only were borrowed this year as against Rs. 30,400 last year, and this for the purpose of redeeming the jewellery of an estate-owner.

11. Appendix V shows the extent to which the Manager has been involved in litigation either in his official capacity or as agent of the estate-owners. Cases shown under Nos. 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 21, 24 are those in which the Manager's awards are impugned. In case No. 20, the question before the Court is whether the protection of the Act was rightly extended to the estate-owner.

Last year, Mr. Mountford noted that "Litigation is fast increasing, and this will continue to be the case until the amendments proposed have become law"—an anticipation of which time is affording full justification. Every day serves to show the necessity for the amendments proposed by Mr. Mountford.

A further amendment suggested by me has met with your approval. It is designed to obviate the necessity of relinquishing an estate without sufficient surplus (a thing frequently impossible at present) to carry on till next harvest, and so save the estate-owner from being driven to the money-lender as soon as his debts are discharged.

Until the amendments become law, the working of the Act must continue to be attended with considerable difficulty.

12. Appendix VI gives details of Government assessment due by estates and of Takavi due by the estates before management. At the close of last year, the arrears due for previous years amount to Rs. 87,720 and of the past year to Rs. 73,171—in all, Rs. 1,60,891. In the year under report, this amount rose to Rs. 1,67,5.5 on account of corrections in figures reported by the Revenue authorities, and also on account of new estates which came under protection burdened with Government arrears. The demand for the year under report amounted to Rs. 3,46,213. The former year's demands have been reduced by Rs. 54,232 and the current year's demand has been met to the extent of Rs. 3,12,040, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,13,343 for former years and Rs. 34,173 for the current year—in all, Rs. 1,47,516. Arrears for the current year would have been further reduced, had bills been received earlier from the Taluka offices. The year has been an ordinary one, and a net improvement in the position of the Department as regards Land Revenue arrears of Rs. 20,000 may be regarded as satisfactory.

Character of the year.
Cost of Management.

13. On the whole, the year has been normal. Prices have not ruled high, and the inundation was fair. Late, low and long sufficiently indicate its general characteristics—a difficult inundation to turn to good account for any land-owner who was not in a position to exercise constant and careful supervision over his haris. As might be expected, under such circumstances, Departmental management was not very successful. It is impossible for an overseer to give scattered estates the constant personal supervision that they need. Every effort is being made to reduce the number of estates under Departmental management by arranging favourable leases.

The parts of the Province which cause most anxiety are Johi in the Larkana Collectorate and Shahbandar and Tatta Divisions of the Karachi Collectorate. A small part of Johi Taluka depends on the tail of the Western Nara, which seldom affords a sufficient water-supply. The rest is mainly

dependent on hill-torrents, which have carried very little water during the last four years. The Shahbandar Division, besides contending with an indifferent water-supply, suffered severely from the ravages of rats, which in several cases destroyed the whole crop.

The cost of management this year will be below 10 per cent. Last year it was 8·6 per cent. by reason of the Department having been refunded the extra amount charged by the Accountant General. The Department being recognised as Foreign Service of the third kind, the Accountant General charges the full sanctioned cost and not the actual, which is generally less than the sanctioned cost, on account of temporary vacancies in the establishment which it is not always necessary to fill up.

Establishment.

14. Rao Saheb Tikamdas, Deputy Manager, Upper Sind, reverted owing to ill health. His work was consistently good, and his loss to the Department is greatly to be regretted. Rao Saheb Tekchand, previouly Deputy Manager, Lower Sind, has taken Rao Saheb Tikamdas' place in Upper Sind, where he is doing well.

The vacancy in Lower Sind was filled by Rao Saheb Kundansing, an officer whose energy fits him for an onerous charge. He has begun well. An experienced Muhammadan has been selected from the office to assist him for 8 months in the year in field work and the supervision of the field establishment, a task which in Lower Sind is beyond the powers of a single man.

The field establishment consisted of 29 Overseers and 12 Naib Overseers. 3 Overseers and 3 Naibs have been dismissed during the year, and 2 Overseers have been put down on reduction of establishment.

The office establishment has been reduced by 1 permanent Munshi on Rs. 16 and one temporary Munshi on Rs. 15. This was rendered possible not so much by any decrease of work as by the economy of time resulting from the major part of the office remaining at head-quarters instead of accompanying the Manager on tour. The office is now reduced to minimum strength, and, if estates come in faster, an increase will be necessary. Leasing of estates, while it tends to reduce the work of the field establishment, effects no proportional decrease as regards correspondence. In fact, leased estates frequently give rise to more correspondence than unleased.

The tour.

15. Mr. Choitram started on a short tour in the neighbourhood of Hyderabad on the 14th November, making three camps before handing over charge on the 14th December. After that date, I marched rapidly through the Upper Sind Frontier, Sukkur and Larkana Districts, making 33 camps, and personally inspecting over 50 estates before the end of April, when the weather rendered marching impossible. Various improvements were found necessary on inspection, for which arrangements were made.

General.

16. The year marks a period of transition in the Manager's work. For the first time, the pressure of claims work has been sufficiently relaxed to render rapid touring and supervision of field work possible. One result of this appears in the very much small number of dismissals of Overseers. It is in its Overseers that the weak point of the Department lies. The stamp of man whom it is possible to obtain for non-pensionable service is liable to succumb to the exceptional temptations to which he is constantly exposed. On the possibility of re-modelling the field establishment, I am addressing you separately.

In the past, the Manager's time has been mainly absorbed in the very heavy work of settling debts: in future, he will be able to devote himself mainly to the most effective methods of discharging them. His work will be largely supervision, inspection, improvement and experiment. What to cultivate, how to cultivate, and above all how to sell, are questions the

investigation of which should yield important results, not only to the estates under management, but to the whole agricultural population of Sind.

The period of transition which marks the fifth year of the Department's existence is a suitable occasion for briefly summarising the net result of its work.

Receipts and Disbursements up to date are as follow :—

Receipts.

	To end of last year.	During the year.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Produce	26,56,495	7,41,368	33,97,863
2. Government Loans	2,34,139	34,690	2,68,829
3. Private Loans	1,24,400	4,000	1,28,400
4. Refunds of Cultivation Expenses ...	2,13,633	38,150	2,51,783
Total ...	32,28,667	8,18,208	40,46,875

Disbursements.

	To end of last year.	During the year.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Assessment during Management ...	12,43,008	3,53,462	15,96,470
Do. before do. ...	39,650	10,827	50,477
Maintenance	4,53,449	1,29,595	5,83,044
Miscellaneous	1,87,119	42,339	2,29,458
Private Debts	4,21,134	1,30,248	5,51,382
Cultivation Expenses	3,31,338	52,792	3,84,130
Repayment of Government Loans ...	1,70,918	41,423	2,12,341
Do. Private Loans	38,600	8,250	46,850
Cost of Management	1,86,500	71,750	2,58,250
Total ...	30,71,716	8,40,686	39,12,402
Balance	...	...	1,34,473

These figures show that, though it is necessary to raise private and Government loans for the benefit of individual estates, the Department as a whole has been able from the second year of its existence to make its own way.

The Department has paid off—

	Rs.	
Arrears of Assessment due before Management ...	50,477	
Private Debts	5,51,382	
	Rs.	6,01,859

Against this must be set the present liability—

	Rs.	Rs.
Government Loans	56,488	
Deduct—		
Due by Estates given up	468	
		56,020
Private Loans	81,550	
Plus—		
Interest payable in the case of one Estate.	880	
		82,430
Total Liability ...		1,38,450

The result is eminently satisfactory. Estates, which without management were yearly becoming more deeply involved, have under management been able to maintain their owners, meet the Government demand, pay the cost of management, carry out necessary improvements, educate the estate-owners' sons, meet the expenses of death, marriage, and other domestic ceremonies, and over and above they have succeeded in paying more than a lakh a year to creditors.

In concluding this report, I have much pleasure in bringing to your notice the excellent work of Mr. Vazirmal Utamchand, Assistant Manager. His industry, ability and knowledge of law have been invaluable.

Mr. Gopaldas has proved himself a most trustworthy and capable Serishtedar: his intimate acquaintance with Bania accounts has been of great service.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

APPENDIX I.

	Rs.	Rs.
Balance at the close of last year	1,56,951	
Produce during the year	7,41,368	
Loans at 8 per cent. interest advanced by Government under special Acts	5,000	
Takavi at 5 per cent. interest advanced by Government under the Agriculturists' and the Land Improvement Loans Act	29,690	
Private Loans raised	4,000	
Refunds of cultivation expenses	38,150	
TOTAL RECEIPTS, including last year's Balance...	...	9,75,159

Deduct—

Expenditure on account of—

Government Assessment—

	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Arrears due before Management ...	10,827		
Arrears accrued during Do. ...	43,405		
Current year's	3,12,040		
	3,66,272		
Deduct refunds from last year's payments	1,983		
		3,64,289	
Maintenance to Estate-owners		1,29,595	
Miscellaneous Expenditure, as per details on the reverse		42,339	
	Rs.		
Private Debts discharged { From private Loans ...	4,000	1,30,248	
Private Debts discharged { ,, Estates' income...	1,26,248		
Cultivation Expenses { From Government Loan of 5 per cent. interest	29,690	52,792	
Cultivation Expenses { ,, Estates' income...	23,102		
Repayment of Government Loan, at 8 per cent. interest, advanced under special Acts ...		5,952	
Repayment of Takavi, at 5 per cent. interest, advanced under the Agriculturists' and the Land Improvements Loans Act		35,471	
Repayment of private Loans		8,250	
Cost of Management for 1900-01 at 8·64 per cent. on the total produce of that year		71,750	
TOTAL EXPENDITURE ...		...	8,40,686
Balance on 31st July 1902 ...		...	1,34,473

Notes—

(1) The item of Cultivation Expenditure (Rs. 52,792) includes—

	Rs.
Kariahs and Sluices	4,036
Bullocks	50
Wells	1,130
Measurement of Land	110
Bands	200
	5,526

(2) The item of Maintenance (Rs. 1,29,595) includes—

	Rs.
The adjustment of consideration in leases to Estate-owners...	19,080
Do. produce on account of lands given to Estate-owners	9,202
The adjustment of produce taken away or default made by Estate-owners	11,755
	40,037

Details of Miscellaneous Expenditure—

	Rs.
Domestic Expenses, *viz.*, Houses, Deaths, Marriages, &c...	7,621
Receipt and Court Fees Stamps	97
Travelling Expenses	206
Telegraph and Postal Charges	63
Civil Suit Expenses	1,213
Registration Fees and Purchase of Documents, &c. ...	237
Batta to Witnesses	107
Interest on proved Debts accrued since award ...	1,692
Interest on private Loans raised	5,906
Interest on Government Loans at 8 per cent. interest, advanced under special Acts	2,296
Interest on Takavi at 5 per cent., Loan advanced under the Agriculturists' and the Land Improvements Loans Acts.	337
Balance of account given to Estate-owners on relinquishment of their Estates	13,410
Maintenance to an Estate defaulter under punishment in the Civil Jail	16
Expenses in connection with a Criminal Case ...	250
Erection of Boundary Marks	244
Advertisement Charges	31
Costs awarded by a Civil Court Decree against Estates ...	432
Expenses in connection with Estate-owner's tuition ...	303
Compensation paid in consideration of giving up Leases ...	229
Expenses in connection with new lands taken up on the Jamrao Canal	3,090
Adjustment of produce enjoyed by former lessees ...	4,514
Other Petty Items	45
Total Rs.	42,339

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 7th September 1902.

APPENDIX II.—TAKAVI ACCOUNT.

(*a*) *Loans at* 8 *per cent. interest.*

Balance at the close of last year—

From Maintenance ...	... Rs.	25,792	
„ Cultivation Expenses	... „	3,850	
	Rs.	29,642	
Add—			
Fresh Advances during the year for Maintenance, &c. ...	... Rs.	5,000	
			Rs. 34,642
Deduct—			
Repayments to Government—			
From Maintenances ...	... Rs.	3,062	
„ Cultivation Expenses	... „	2,890	
			Rs. 5,952
Balance still due to Government—			
From Maintenance ...	... Rs.	27,730	
„ Cultivation Expenses	... „	960	
			Rs. 28,690

(*b*) *Loans at* 5 *per cent. interest for Takavi.*

Balance at the close of last year	... Rs.	33,111*	
Add—			
Fresh Advances during the year	... „	29,690	
Total Rs.	...	62,801	
Deduct—			
Repayments during the year	... Rs.	35,471	
Balance still due to Government	...		... Rs. 27,330
TOTAL GOVERNMENT DUES (*a*) AND (*b*)			... Rs. 56,020

J. H. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

* The difference of Rs. 468 represents the amount due by Estates No. 158, Rs. 381, and No. 477, Rs. 87, which have been relinquished under Section 19 of Act XX of 1896.

The Collector of Hyderabad has been requested to recover direct.

APPENDIX NO. III.

ESTATES REPORTED ON UNDER SECTION 17.

Up to 1900-1901.	In 1901-1902.	Total.	District.	LIABILITIES. As estimated by the Owners under Section 6.	Total of Claims registered under Sections 12 and 14						Total admitted by the Manager.			DEBTS COMPOUNDED						Amount that remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1901.	Amount still remaining due to Creditors on 31st July 1902.	Appeals							Estates relinquished under Section 27.			Estates remaining under Management,	
					Up to end of 1900-1901.		In 1901-1902.		Total.		Up to end of 1900-1901.	In 1901-1902.	Total.	Up to end of 1900-1901.		In 1901-1902.		Total.					Up to 1901-1902.				In 1900-1902.				Up to 1900-01.	In 1901-02.	Total.		
					No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.				Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.	Amount of Debt.	Amount for which settled.			Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total	Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.				Up to 1900-01.	Up to 1901-02.
43	5	48	Karachi	1,41,992	179	1,57,872	11	11,245	190	1,69,117	61,756	6,951	68,707	5,213	5,186	2,358	2,358	7,571	7,544	56,543	61,136	1	...	7	8	3	...	...	3	8	1	9	35	39
129	22	151	Hyderabad	8,44,736	1,035	10,73,463	20	16,757	1,055	10,90,220	4,74,179	56,348	5,30,527	1,45,960	1,44,162	23,959	23,229	1,69,859	1,67,391	3,28,279	3,60,668	15	...	64	79	3	9	13	25	20	6	26	109	135
19	4	23	Thar and Parkar	2,08,891	80	2,22,858	2	2,769	82	2,25,627	57,673	3,311	60,984	17,372	16,870	7,436	7,436	24,808	24,306	40,301	36,176	1	...	8	9	...	...	7	7	3	2	5	16	18
178	6	184	Larkana	9,93,851	2,267	13,79,960	17	27,874	2,284	14,07,834	6,55,675	52,581	7,08,056	87,737	87,146	64,692	64,692	1,52,429	1,51,838	5,67,938	5,55,627	5	...	76	81	...	2	13	15	13	4	17	165	167
88	5	93	Sukkur	5,45,818	1,041	9,56,392	9	3,949	1,100	9,60,341	4,55,774	2,684	4,58,458	81,127	79,758	25,412	25,412	1,06,539	1,05,170	3,74,647	3,51,919	5	...	33	38	3	10	27	40	10	2	12	78	81
44	1	45	Upper Sind Frontier ...	2,27,547	299	3,46,635	5	1,033	304	3,47,668	1,42,763	75	1,42,838	19,794	19,098	7,121	7,121	26,915	26,219	1,22,909	1,15,923	2	...	19	21	...	...	1	1	9	2	11	36	34
501	43	544																																
63	17	80	Deduct relinquished under Section 27.																															
438	26	464		28,62,515	4,951	41,37,180	64	63,627	5,015	42,00,807	18,47,820	1,21,750	19,69,570	3,57,143	3,52,220	1,30,978*	1,30,248	4,88,121	4,82,468	14,90,877	14,61,449	29	...	207	236	9	21	61	91	63	17	80	438	464

* In addition, Rs. 1,692 were paid on account of future interest.

II.—UNDER MANAGEMENT BUT NOT REPORTED.

					Claims remaining undisposed of																								Under Section 19.				
					At the end of 1900-1901.		In 1901-1902.																											
					No.	Amount.	No.	Amount.																							1900-1901.	1901-1902.	Total.		
6	2	10	Karachi	19,373	16	14,153	11	6,379	27	20,532	91	9,129	9,220	44	33,498	3	1,556					6	...	2	8	...	2	1	3	2	...	2	10	8
25	6	31	Hyderabad	1,07,893	111	97,675	54	54,653	165	1,52,328	31,482	9,955	41,437	138	1,14,278	35	44,033					3	...	13	16	...	10	1	11	11	5	16	31	15
1	...	1	Thar and Parkar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	18,912	...	...					...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	4	...
17	...	17	Larkana	46,997	96	48,336	63	41,901	159	90,237	9,223	19,385	28,608	99	1,01,764	13	12,371					11	...	14	25	...	...	1	1	7	...	7	17	10
14	8	22	Sukkur	1,32,240	127	1,29,608	22	17,913	149	1,47,521	6,358	38,654	45,012	109	1,05,753	5	4,022					...	...	2	2	...	...	24	24	5	...	5	15	17
10	6	16	Upper Sind Frontier ...	1,20,324	14	6,682	9	10,964	23	17,646	...	5,276	5,276	14	6,682	3	375					1	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	6	...	6	6	10
75	22	97		4,26,827	364	2,96,454	159	1,31,810	523	4,28,264	47,154	82,399	1,29,553									21	...	32	53	...	12	27	39	32	5	37	83	80
576	65	641		32,89,342	5,315	44,38,634	223	1,95,437	5,538	46,29,071	18,94,974	2,04,149	20,99,123	419	3,80,897	59	62,357					50	...	239	289	9	33	88	130	95	22	117	521	524

I. Of the remaining 60 Estates, enquiry has been completed in the case of 30 Estates and Liquidation Schemes are under consideration.

II. The difference in the figures of 1900-1901 is due to the omission of figures of the relinquished Estates and also to omissions and errors since detected.

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the Results of the Sind Incumbered Estates up to 31st July 1902, distinguishing the Results arrived at up to 31st July 1901 from those of the current year, 1901-1902, ending 31st July 1902.

District.	No. of Estates for which Order of Management has issued			No. of Estates of which the Management has ceased			
				By order under Section 19.		By order under Section 17.	
	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Total.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Up to 31st July 1901	In 1901-1902.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Karachi ...	55	3	58	2	...	8	1
Hyderabad ...	174	8	182	11	5	20	6
Thar & Parkar	24	...	24	1	...	3	2
Larkana ...	201	...	201	7	...	13	4
Sukkur ...	107	8	115	5	...	10	2
U. S. Frontier...	55	6	61	6	...	9	2
Total ...	616	25	641	32	5	63	17

District.	No. of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1901.	No. of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1902.	Total awarded to Creditors		Amount actually paid		Amount settled	
			Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-02.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1900-01.
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Karachi ...	45	47	61,847	16,380	5,186	2,358	5,213	2,358
Hyderabad ...	142	140	5,05,661	66,303	1,44,162	23,229	1,45,900	23,959
Thar & Parkar	20	18	57,673	3,311	16,870	7,436	17,372	7,486
Larkan ...	180	177	6,64,898	71,766	87,146	64,692	87,737	64,692
Sukkur ...	93	98	4,62,132	41,338	79,758	25,412	81,127	25,412
U. S. Frontier...	41	44	1,42,763	5,351	19,098	7,121	19,794	7,121
Total ...	521	524	18,94,974	2,04,149	3,52,220	1,30,248	3,57,143	1,30,978

District.	Amount which remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1901.	Amount remaining to be paid to Creditors on 31st July 1902.	Account of Government Advances at 8 per cent. Interest.					
			Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-02.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1902.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Karachi ...	56,634	70,356	1,670	...	127	1,797	767	1,030
Hyderabad ...	3,59,761	4,02,105	22,580	2,500	1,798	26,878	2,928	23,950
Thar & Parkar	40,301	36,176	...	...	...	...	...	...
Larkana ...	5,77,161	5,84,235	2,502	1,000	159	3,661	1,951	1,710
Sukkur ...	3,81,005	3,96,931	1,050	1,250	76	2,376	726	1,650
U. S. Frontier...	1,22,969	1,21,199	1,840	250	136	2,226	1,876	350
Total ...	15,37,831	16,11,002	29,642	5,000	2,296	36,938	8,248	28,690

District.	Account of Government Advances under the Agriculturists' Loans and the Land Improvement Loans Acts at 5 per cent. Interest.						Account of Private Loans.					
	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-1902.	Interest demanded in 1900-1901.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-1902.	Interest due in 1901-1902.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.
	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
Karachi ...	11,376	6,034	...	17,410	7,261	10,149	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hyderabad ...	*15,235	12,176	...	27,411	16,297	11,114	66,440	4,000	4,888	75,328	11,748	63,580
Thar & Parkar	350	950	25	1,325	1,275	50	1,400	...	98	1,498	298	1,200
Larkana ...	3,317	1,630	244	5,191	4,691	500	6,000	...	450	6,450	750	5,700
Sukkur ...	2,833	5,420	26	8,279	4,122	4,157	6,500	...	468	6,938	668	6,300
U. S. Frontier...	...	3,480	42	3,522	2,162	1,360	5,900	...	442	6,342	692	5,650
Total ...	33,111	29,690	337	63,138	35,808	27,330	86,240	4,000	6,346	96,586	14,156	82,430

* The difference of Rs. 468 represents the amount due by Estates No. 158, Rs. 381, and No. 477, Rs. 87, which have been relinquished under Section 19 of Act XX of 1896.
The Collector has been requested to recover direct.

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager,
Incumbered Estates in Sind.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

APPENDIX V.

List of Suits instituted or defended by the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, during 1901-1902.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	Musmat Izat Khatun.	Estate No. 264, Wali Muhammad ; Estate No. 424, Ghulam Hyder.	Partition of land ...	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
2	Menghomal Ailmal.	Estate No. 367, Sayad Mir Muhammad Shah.	To set aside the Manager's decision and to confirm the lease.	Decided in favour of Plaintiff by the District Court, Hyderabad. Appeal filed in the Sadar Court.
3	Dialdas Pohumal.	Estate No. 236, Mir Muhammad Yar Muhammad.	Do. ...	Pending in the District Court, Karachi.
4	Dewandas Dharmdas.	Estate No. 293, Mir Kamal Khan.	Do. ...	Decided by the Sadar Court in favour of the Plaintiff.
5	Verhomal Aratmal.	Estate No. 573, Alah Baksh, Dad Muhammad and Bakshal.	For possession and produce of land, Rs. 300.	Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Larkana.
6	Estate No. 349, Pirial Khan.	Banho and others ...	For recovering possession of certain land in Sukkur Taluka.	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
7	Do. ...	Motan and others ...	Do.	Do.
8	Sadhanmal Lekhraj.	Estate No. 146, Khalikdino and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For possession of land and produce.	Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Shikarpur. Estate relinquished.
9	Kimatrai Chelaram.	Estate No. 129, Harun and 15 others, not under protection, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition of land ...	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur, where it was filed after the Civil Court, Larkana, returned it.
10	Totasing and Khialdas.	Estate No. 417, Imam Baksh and 2 others and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition and possession of land and for recovery of share of produce.	Compromised.
11	Asumal Tirithdas.	Estate No. 310, Ghulam Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	To set aside the Manager's decision and to confirm the lease.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
12	Tursiomal Sangimal.	Estate No. 310, Ghulam Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For a declaration of right regarding land and for recovery of Rs. 3-9.	Compromised.
13	Wadero Muhammad Khan.	Estate No. 337, Muhammad Ali and 6 others and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition of land ...	Pending in the Court of the Deputy Collector, Nara Valley.
14	Asanmal Nanikmal.	The Manager, Incumbered Estates, and Diwan Gopaldas, Pleader.	For issue of an injunction and value of produce and to set aside the Manager's award.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
15	Gangaram Bachomal.	Estate No. 378, Faiz Muhammad and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for Rs. 373-8-0 ...	Plaint returned by the Sub-Judge, to be presented in proper Court.
16	Wadhumal and Basanmal.	Estate No. 396, Mir Bijar Khan and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claims for declaration of right and an injunction.	Suit withdrawn.
17	Topandas Kauramal.	Estate No. 51, Mir Rajo Khan and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For recovery of possession of land leased to Plaintiff and for produce of one year.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
18	Lal Baksh Doso ...	Estate No. 580, Saban, Salim and Bindu.	Claim for partition and possession of land.	Decided in the Estate-owner's favour.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
19	Gangumal Murijmal.	Wadero Sumar and Khuda Baksh, Estate No. 101, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for declaration of right, for a decree and possession of land of some two Survey Numbers.	Plaint returned by the Civil Court, Shikarpur. Presented to the Court of the Assistant Judge, Shikarpur, and suit decided in the favour of the Manager. Gangumal has filed an appeal, which is being defended.
20	Seth Jhamrai Jamandas.	Sayad Akbar Shah, Hatim Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for declaration of right for the ineligibility of Defendants to protection.	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
21	Dialmal and others.	The Manager, Guardian of Estate No. 202, Nawab Wali Muhammad.	Compensation for land eroded by the river.	Decided in favour of the Manager by the District Court, Hyderabad.
22	Munshi Hemandas Jeramdas and Khialdas.	1. Muhammad Khan. 2. Mr. Tupper. 3. Amir Baksh.	Right in certain property of Muhammad Khan.	Manager is only a *pro forma* Defendant. Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Larkana.
23	Thanwardas Pariomal.	Mian Din Muhammad and other Jalbanis.	Regarding produce of certain land.	Being defended by a local Pleader. Instituted before the estate came under management.
24	Sadhanmal Lekhraj.	1. The Manager. 2. Ali Sher and others.	Regarding setting aside the Manager's order, ordering deduction of Rs. 3,432-12-9 from proved amount.	Defence sanctioned by Government. Being defended in the District Court, Shikarpur.
25	Nalechango ...	1. Taib. 2. The Manager.	Dispute regarding land.	Subordinate Court, Naushahro. Is under consideration.
26	Pursansing ...	Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah.	Regarding ineligibility of Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah to protection.	The District Court, Shikarpur, was asked to adjourn till decision in No. 20 against the same men. It has been postponed till that decision.
27	Karmumal ...	Manik Khan and the Manager.	Regarding produce of his share of land.	Formal report made to the Legal Remembrancer. Manager only a *pro forma* Defendant. Being defended through Government Pleader, Sukkur-Larkana.
28	Abdul Rahman ...	1. Ali Nawaz. 2. Hur Bibi. 3. The Manager.	Right of mortgage over certain property of Defendant No. 1, which has been given to Defendant No. 2.	Do.
29	Kazi Fateh Ali ..	1. The Manager. 2. Sayad Miran Shah.	Possession of right of certain land.	Being defended in Subordinate Court, Naushahro, through a local Pleader.
30	Kishnomal Kherajmal.	Munshi Abdulah ...	Partition	Estate-owner is defending the suit himself.
31	Musamat Bachal .	Pir Khudadino Shah .	Possession of house ...	Do.
32	Seth Shewaram...	1. The Manager. 2. Rustom. 3. Karam Ali.	Possession of mortgaged land.	District Court, Shikarpur.
33	Motiomal ...	The Manager ...	Injunction	Injunction discharged.
34	Chimansing and Nanumal.	1. Amir Ali. 2. Yar Ali. 3. Muhammad Khan. 4. The Manager.	Partition	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

APPENDIX VI.

Statement showing the Balances outstanding on account of Government Revenue on 31st July 1901, *Amount claimed for* 1901-1902, *Amount paid during the year, and Balance outstanding on the 31st July* 1902.

District.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Amount claimed for 1901-1902.	Total.	Amount paid up to 31st July 1902 on account of			Balance outstanding on 31st July 1902 on account of			Remarks.
				Former years.	Current year.	Total.	Former years.	Current year.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Karachi	32,187	13,343	45,530	9,453	8,852	18,305	22,734	4,491	27,225	Note—The difference in last year's balance is due to new estates having come under management and to corrections since notified by the Revenue authorities.
Hyderabad	60,225	1,10,018	1,70,243	19,299	99,037	1,18,336	40,926	10,981	51,907	
Sukkur	14,386	46,707	61,093	5,259	40,561	45,820	9,127	6,146	15,273	
Larkana	34,652	1,04,123	1,38,775	11,293	99,164	1,10,457	23,359	4,959	28,318	
Thar and Parkar ...	805	28,548	29,353	394	26,188	26,582	411	2,360	2,771	
Upper Sind Frontier ...	25,320	43,474	68,794	8,534	38,238	46,772	16,786	5,236	22,022	
Total ...	1,67,575*a*	3,46,213*b*	5,13,788	54,232*c*	3,12,040*d*	3,66,272	1,13,343*e*	34,173*f*	1,47,516	

Arrears due before management.	Malkano.	Total.	Naobat.	Malkano.	Total.
(*a*) Rs. 62,079	Rs. 4,258	Rs. 66,337	(*b*) Rs. 7,405	Rs. 3,157	Rs. 10,592
(*c*) „ 10,827	„ 367	„ 11,194	(*d*) „ 3,842	„ 2,500	„ 6,342
(*e*) „ 51,252	„ 3,891	„ 55,143	(*f*) „ 3,563	„ 687	„ 4,250

J. H. E. TUPPER,

Acting Manager.

Mirpur Khas, 7th September 1902.

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the Results of the Sind Incumbered Estates up to 31st July 1902, distinguishing the Results arrived at up to 31st July 1901 from those of the current year, 1901-1902, ending 31st July 1902.

District.	No. of Estates for which Order of Management has issued			No. of Estates of which the Management has ceased			
				By order under Section 19.		By order under Section 27.	
	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Total.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Up to 31st July 1901	In 1901-1902.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Karachi ...	55	3	58	2	...	8	1
Hyderabad ...	174	8	182	11	5	20	6
Thar & Parkar	24	...	24	1	...	3	2
Larkana ...	201	...	201	7	...	13	4
Sukkur ...	107	8	115	5	...	10	2
U. S. Frontier...	55	6	61	6	...	9	2
Total ...	616	25	641	32	5	63	17

District.	No. of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1901.	No. of Estates which remained under Management on 31st July 1902.	Total awarded to Creditors		Amount actually paid		Amount settled	
			Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-1902.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1901-02.	Up to 31st July 1901.	In 1900-01.
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Karachi ...	45	47	61,847	16,980	5,186	2,358	5,213	2,358
Hyderabad ...	142	140	5,05,661	66,303	1,44,162	23,229	1,15,900	23,959
Thar & Parkar	20	18	57,673	3,311	16,870	7,436	17,372	7,436
Larkan ...	180	177	6,64,898	71,766	87,146	64,692	87,737	64,692
Sukkur ...	93	98	4,62,132	41,338	79,758	25,412	81,127	25,412
U. S. Frontier...	41	44	1,42,763	5,351	19,098	7,121	19,794	7,121
Total ...	521	524	18,94,974	2,04,149	3,52,220	1,30,248	3,57,143	1,30,978

District.	Amount which remained due to Creditors on 31st July 1901.	Amount remaining to be paid to Creditors on 31st July 1902.	Account of Government Advances at 8 per cent. Interest.					
			Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-02.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1902.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Karachi ...	56,634	70,356	1,670	...	127	1,797	767	1,030
Hyderabad ...	3,59,761	4,02,105	22,580	2,500	1,798	26,878	2,928	23,950
Thar & Parkar	40,301	36,176	...	...	...	...	...	...
Larkana ...	5,77,161	5,84,235	2,502	1,000	159	3,661	1,951	1,710
Sukkur ...	3,81,005	3,96,931	1,050	1,250	76	2,376	726	1,650
U.S. Frontier...	1,22,969	1,21,199	1,840	250	136	2,226	1,876	350
Total ...	15,37,831	16,11,002	29,642	5,000	2,296	36,938	8,248	28,690

District.	Account of Government Advances under the Agriculturists' Loans and the Land Improvement Loans Acts at 5 per cent. Interest.						Account of Private Loans.					
	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-1902.	Interest demanded in 1900-1901.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Advances in 1901-1902.	Interest due in 1901-1902.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during 1901-1902.	Amount outstanding on 31st July 1902.
	25	26	27.	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
Karachi ...	11,376	6,034	...	17,410	7,261	10,149	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hyderabad ...	*15,235	12,176	...	27,411	16,297	11,114	66,440	4,000	4,888	75,328	11,748	63,580
Thar & Parkar	350	950	25	1,325	1,275	50	1,400	...	98	1,498	298	1,200
Larkana ...	3,317	1,630	244	5,191	4,691	500	6,000	...	450	6,450	750	5,700
Sukkur ...	2,833	5,420	26	8,279	4,122	4,157	6,500	...	468	6,968	668	6,300
U. S. Frontier...	...	3,480	42	3,522	2,162	1,360	5,900	...	442	6,342	692	5,650
Total ...	33,111	29,690	337	63,138	35,808	27,330	86,240	4,000	6,346	96,586	14,156	82,430

* The difference of Rs. 468 represents the amount due by Estates No. 158, Rs. 381, and No. 477, Rs. 87, which have been relinquished under Section 19 of Act XX of 1896.
The Collector has been requested to recover direct.

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager,
Incumbered Estates in Sind.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

APPENDIX V.

List of Suits instituted or defended by the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, during 1901-1902.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	Musmat Izat Khatun.	Estate No. 264, Wali Muhammad ; Estate No. 424, Ghulam Hyder.	Partition of land ...	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
2	Menghomal Ailmal.	Estate No. 367, Sayad Mir Muhammad Shah.	To set aside the Manager's decision and to confirm the lease.	Decided in favour of Plaintiff by the District Court, Hyderabad. Appeal filed in the Sadar Court.
3	Dialdas Pohumal.	Estate No. 236, Mir Muhammad Yar Muhammad.	Do. ...	Pending in the District Court, Karachi.
4	Dewandas Dharmdas.	Estate No. 293, Mir Kamal Khan.	Do. ...	Decided by the Sadar Court in favour of the Plaintiff.
5	Verhomal Aratmal.	Estate No. 573, Alah Baksh, Dad Muhammad and Bakshal.	For possession and produce of land, Rs. 300.	Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Larkana.
6	Estate No. 349, Piuial Khan.	Panho and others ...	For recovering possession of certain land in Sukkur Taluka.	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
7	Do. ...	Motan and others ...	Do. ...	Do.
8	Sadhanmal Lekhraj.	Estate No. 146, Khalikdino and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For possession of land and produce.	Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Shikarpur. Estate relinquished.
9	Kimatrai Chelaram.	Estate No. 129, Harun and 15 others, not under protection, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition of land ...	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur, where it was filed after the Civil Court, Larkana, returned it.
10	Totasing and Khialdas.	Estate No. 417, Imam Baksh and 2 others and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition and possession of land and for recovery of share of produce.	Compromised.
11	Asumal Tirithdas.	Estate No. 310, Ghulam Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	To set aside the Manager's decision and to confirm the lease.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
12	Tursiomal Sangimal.	Estate No. 310, Ghulam Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For a declaration of right regarding land and for recovery of Rs. 3-9.	Compromised.
13	Wadero Muhammad Khan.	Estate No. 337, Muhammad Ali and 6 others and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For partition of land ...	Pending in the Court of the Deputy Collector, Nara Valley.
14	Asanmal Nanikmal.	The Manager, Incumbered Estates, and Diwan Gopaldas, Pleader.	For issue of an injunction and value of produce and to set aside the Manager's award.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
15	Gangaram Bachomal.	Estate No. 378, Faiz Muhammad and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for Rs. 373-8-0 ...	Plaint returned by the Sub-Judge, to be presented in proper Court.
16	Wadhumal and Basarmal.	Estate No. 396, Mir Bijar Khan and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claims for declaration of right and an injunction.	Suit withdrawn.
17	Topandas Kauramal.	Estate No. 51, Mir Rajo Khan and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	For recovery of possession of land leased to Plaintiff and for produce of one year.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
18	Lal Baksh Doso ...	Estate No. 589, Saban, Salim and Bindu.	Claim for partition and possession of land.	Decided in the Estate-owner's favour.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
19	Gangumal Murijmal.	Wadero Sumar and Khuda Baksh, Estate No. 101, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for declaration of right, for a decree and possession of land of some two Survey Numbers.	Plaint returned by the Civil Court, Shikarpur. Presented to the Court of the Assistant Judge, Shikarpur, and suit decided in the favour of the Manager. Gangumal has filed an appeal, which is being defended.
20	Seth Jhamrai Jamandas.	Sayad Akbar Shah, Hatim Shah and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for declaration of right for the ineligibility of Defendants to protection.	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.
21	Dialmal and others.	The Manager, Guardian of Estate No. 202, Nawab Wali Muhammad.	Compensation for land eroded by the river.	Decided in favour of the Manager by the District Court, Hyderabad.
22	Munshi Hemandas Jeramdas and Khialdas.	1. Muhammad Khan. 2. Mr. Tupper. 3. Amir Bakh.	Right in certain property of Muhammad Khan.	Manager is only a *pro forma* Defendant. Pending in the Subordinate Civil Court, Larkana.
23	Thanwardas Pariomal.	Mian Din Muhammad and other Jalbanis.	Regarding produce of certain land.	Being defended by a local Pleader. Instituted before the estate came under management.
24	Sadhanmal Lekhraj.	1. The Manager. 2. Ali Sher and others.	Regarding setting aside the Manager's order, ordering deduction of Rs. 3,432-12-9 from proved amount.	Defence sanctioned by Government. Being defended in the District Court, Shikarpur.
25	Nalechango ...	1. Taib. 2. The Manager.	Dispute regarding land.	Subordinate Court, Naushahro. Is under consideration.
26	Pursansing ...	Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah.	Regarding ineligibility of Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah to protection.	The District Court, Shikarpur, was asked to adjourn till decision in No. 20 against the same men. It has been postponed till that decision.
27	Karmumal ...	Manik Khan and the Manager.	Regarding produce of his share of land.	Formal report made to the Legal Remembrancer. Manager only a *pro forma* Defendant. Being defended through Government Pleader, Sukkur-Larkana.
28	Abdul Rahman ...	1. Ali Nawaz. 2. Hur Bibi. 3. The Manager.	Right of mortgage over certain property of Defendant No. 1, which has been given to Defendant No. 2.	Do.
29	Kazi Fateh Ali ...	1. The Manager. 2. Sayad Miran Shah.	Possession of right of certain land.	Being defended in Subordinate Court, Naushahro, through a local Pleader.
30	Kishnomal Kherajmal.	Munshi Abdulah ...	Partition	Estate-owner is defending the suit himself.
31	Musamat Bachal .	Pir Khudadino Shah .	Possession of house ...	Do.
32	Seth Shewaram...	1. The Manager. 2. Rustom. 3. Karam Ali.	Possession of mortgaged land.	District Court, Shikarpur.
33	Motiomal ...	The Manager ...	Injunction	Injunction discharged.
34	Chimansing and Nanumal.	1. Amir Ali. 2. Yar Ali. 3. Muhammad Khan. 4. The Manager.	Partition	Pending in the District Court, Shikarpur.

J. H. E. TUPPER,
Acting Manager.

Camp Mirpur Khas, 9th September 1902.

APPENDIX VI.

Statement showing the Balances outstanding on account of Government Revenue on 31*st July* 1901, *Amount claimed for* 1901-1902, *Amount paid during the year, and Balance outstanding on the* 31*st July* 1902.

District.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1901.	Amount claimed for 1901-1902.	Total.	Amount paid up to 31st July 1902 on account of			Balance outstanding on 31st July 1902 on account of			Remarks.
				Former years.	Current year.	Total.	Former years.	Current year.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Karachi	32,187	13,343	45,530	9,453	8,852	18,305	22,734	4,491	27,225	Note.—The difference in last year's balance is due to new estates having come under management and to corrections since notified by the Revenue authorities.
Hyderabad	60,225	1,10,018	1,70,243	19,299	99,037	1,18,336	40,926	10,981	51,907	
Sukkur	14,384	46,707	61,093	5,259	40,561	45,820	9,127	6,146	15,273	
Larkana	34,652	1,04,123	1,33,775	11,293	99,164	1,10,457	23,359	4,959	28,318	
Thar and Parkar ...	805	28,548	29,353	394	26,188	26,582	411	2,360	2,771	
Upper Sind Frontier ...	25,320	43,474	68,794	8,534	38,238	46,772	16,786	5,236	22,022	
Total ...	1,67,575*a*	3,46,213*b*	5,13,788	54,232*c*	3,12,040*d*	3,66,272	1,13,343*e*	34,173*f*	1,47,516	

Arrears due before management.	Malkano.	Total.	Naobat.	Malkano.	Total.
(*a*) Rs. 62,079	Rs. 4,258	Rs. 66,337	(*b*) Rs. 7,405	Rs. 3,187	Rs. 10,592
(*c*) ,, 10,827	,, 367	,, 11,194	(*d*) ,, 3,842	,, 2,500	,, 6,342
(*e*) ,, 51,252	,, 3,891	,, 55,143	(*f*) ,, 3,563	,, 687	,, 4,250

J. H. E. TUPPER,

Acting Manager.

Mirpur Khas, 7th September 1902.

No. 18 of 1907.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Commissioner in Sind,
Camp Jacobabad, 17th January 1907.

Report on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year 1905-06.

Submitted to Government.

2. The year, on account of its excellent inundation, has been one of general prosperity to the estate-owners as it has been to the rest of the agricultural classes in the province. A satisfactory improvement has taken place in the percentage of recoveries of the Government demand, a little over 90 per cent. of it having been recovered as against only 72·89 in 1904-05, and 93 per cent. representing the recoveries of the total Government demand of the province. There was also an improvement in the collection of previous years' arrears due to Government.

3. The scheme experimentally introduced under the orders contained in Government Resolution No. 1131, dated the 10th February 1905, of collecting the Government assessment and the amounts due to the department through the agency of the ordinary village establishment has proved successful, and proposals for its adoption as a permanent arrangement have been submitted to Government.

4. The report bears testimony to another year of good and efficient management. The office of Manager was held for the first three-quarters of the year by the late Mr. Vaughan, who fully maintained the high standard of previous years. The grievous loss sustained by the public service, and in particular by the Sind administration, in the untimely death of this exceptionally valuable young officer has been elsewhere referred to. His successor, Mr. Crerar, by whom the report is submitted, has entered upon the duties with zeal, and has displayed marked intelligence as well as energy in the discharge of them. The commendations bestowed by the Manager on his immediate subordinates are fully deserved.

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND,
Commissioner in Sind.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bombay.

No. 1395 of 1906.

Sind Incumbered Estates office,
Hyderabad / Mirpur Khas, 15*th October* 1906.

From

J. CRERAR, Esquire, M. A., I. C. S.,
Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,

To

The COMMISSIONER in SIND.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward my administration report for the year ending 1905-06.

2. The delay in submitting it is due to the late receipt of figures from the taluka offices.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. CRERAR,
Manager.

Administration Report of the Department of Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year 1905-06.

The late Mr. J. P. Vaughan, I. C. S., held charge of the department till the 2nd May 1906, on which date I succeeded him and held charge till the end of the year.

2. (*a*) The total number of estates taken under management up to the 31st July 1905 was 712, of which 228 had been relinquished by that date. Seven new estates were admitted to protection, which brings the total since the passing of the Act to 719. The year opened with 484 estates under management: 49 were relinquished during the year; the balance, including the new admissions, of estates under management at the close of the year was thus 442.

(*b*) Of the 49 estates released, 45 were discharged under section 27 and 4 were relinquished under section 19. Of the latter, 2 were relinquished owing to a private settlement with creditors, 1 because false information as to the amount of his property had been furnished by the estate-owner, and the fourth because there was no prospect of successful administration as the property was involved in an intricate dispute as to ownership.

(*c*) Eleven more estates, the discharge of which was delayed owing to the non-receipt of certain details from the taluka offices preventing the issue in due time of the notice of discharge, have since been discharged under section 27.

Thirteen estates will be discharged after liquidation in the ordinary course during the current year. Twenty-two are detained pending the recovery of arrears and the determination of remissions of land revenue which they are to receive. Two estate-owners are attempting to negotiate private settlements, and 6 estate-owners have applied for discharge by sale or advance leases. Their proposals will require careful consideration.

Negotiations for the liquidation by sale of 30 estates are proceeding.

The proportion of estates which have become nearly ripe for discharge is thus rapidly increasing. Discharge by sale or advance leases are measures which are to be adopted with reluctance. When, however, the estate-owners urgently press for them and the terms offered are clearly more advantageous than the past results of management, it is generally impolitic to refuse, though the dangers of such a course are very considerable. Experience has shown that a certain number of estates, either through poverty or the recalcitrancy of their owners, cannot be discharged in the ordinary course, and these are being gradually eliminated by sale, when favourable opportunities occur. This course is only resorted to when every other means has been exhausted. Good leases, improved conduct in the estate-owner, more favourable agricultural conditions and fresh grants of land are to be hoped for to obviate this ultimate necessity.

(*d*) Twenty-eight applications were pending at the close of the last year and 34 were received during its course. Of these, 7 were admitted, 26 were rejected and 29 are under investigation.

The number of estates under management, as well as of applications for admission, is consistently declining. The chief reason no doubt is that the Act has steadily been performing its function of diminishing agrarian debt. Another circumstance to be considered is that the Act is used as a weapon of defence by zamindars who do not intend to accept the restrictions of management. Thus, of the 26 applications rejected, 13 were withdrawn as the applicants had settled with their creditors. These arrangements take some time, and hence the delay in finally disposing of some of them.

In addition to the above, it seems probable that increased resort to other forms of legislative relief is reducing the demand for protection.

3. Of 491 estates under management during the year, 411 were wholly and 21 were partially leased. 6 are *patadari* alienations. 5 were uncultivated, owing to failure of water-supply in 4 cases and in 1 to a dispute. 48 only were managed departmentally as against 90 last year.

The reduction in the number of estates managed departmentally is a matter for satisfaction. The general failure to attain successful results on this system has been fully explained in previous reports. The system of leases has now been proved to be by far the most economical and efficient, and it has accordingly been more extensively adopted than in previous years, with favourable results. Outside leases are for obvious reasons very unpopular, though they usually turn out better. It is, however, advantageous, whenever possible, to secure the active support and co-operation of the estate-owner by allowing him the lease; and, owing to the favourable season, it has been practicable to do this in a larger number of cases than last year. 93 estates were leased in whole or in part to the owners.

4. The general results of the working of the estates are summarised in appendix I.

(*a*) The aggregate income of the estates during the year was Rs. 8,96,173, an increase of Rs. 1,67,476 over that of last year. The actual increase is really about Rs. 10,000 more. Last year, recoveries of assessment from co-sharers in the land on the *khata* of estate-owners were as usual credited to the department. This year, under the new system of collections, these amounts were credited direct to land revenue. This fictitious item of income, which last year amounted to Rs. 9,445, has thus been eliminated.

The increase is to be attributed mainly—

(1) to the favourable character of the season;

(2) to the recovery of arrears amounting to Rs. 1,46,609, itself largely due to the same cause;

(3) to the successful working of the new system of recoveries.

The following important items in the increase deserve special mention:—

I.	Lease money paid in advance	Rs.	8,980
II.	Sales of land	„	1,978
III.	Compensation for land acquired for public purposes	„	4,777
IV.	Miscellaneous	„	17,100
V.	Produce from leases	„	81,754
VI.	Produce of estates managed departmentally ...	„	14,436
VII.	Other heads	„	49,787

I. Leases for a term of years with prepaid premia are a favourite device with estate-owners for obtaining liberation. Such leases are to be permitted with the utmost caution, but in three instances the terms offered were so safe and advantageous that it was deemed prudent to yield to the urgent representations of the owners.

II. The case of sales of land has been discussed above. The increase under IV is chiefly due to recoveries made after a special scrutiny of the records of overseers. The inadequate supervision of the subordinate staff which had hitherto been unavoidable had resulted in laxity and the accumulation of arrears. The effort made to deal with this has had substantial results.

VII. It is impossible to explain this item fully without undue prolixity. In brief, the item is made up chiefly of the value of produce which was enjoyed but not actually brought into the department's treasury. The produce of land granted in maintenance, of *amani* land unauthorisedly appropriated by estate-owners, adjustments to maintenance on account of leases given to estate-owners who withheld payment of the lease money, and similar items which represent profits actually enjoyed but not realised, were formerly credited to the main heads of lease profits, etc. This year, for obvious reasons of pre-

cision, they have been shown separately. Adjustments to maintenance of irrecoverable amounts due from estate-owners constitute a heavy item (Rs. 49,333). No good, however, results from keeping these on the books as recoverable items. The arrears still due amount to Rs. 80,560. Some portion of these may still be recovered as the last and the present season have been good; and before writing them off it is necessary for the Manager to satisfy himself fully that there is no prospect of recovery.

(*b*) The arrears due to the department at the close of 1904-05 were Rs. 3,66,707 and the demand for the year was Rs. 7,92,480, making a total of Rs. 11,59,187. The balance of arrears at the close was reduced to Rs. 2,63,014.

Estate-owners, as shown above, owe Rs. 80,560. The recovery of Rs. 13,247 is suspended by order of civil courts. Postponement has been granted by the Revenue authorities of Rs. 6,013 and by the Manager of Rs. 23,180.

(*c*) The following figures show the results of the three forms of management:—

I. Income from leases.

	Rs.
(i) Lease money	3,83,030
(ii) Assessment paid by lessees	3,12,576

II. Income from land given to estate-owners for maintenance.

	Rs.
(i) Amount adjusted as maintenance	16,341
(ii) Assessment recovered from estate-owners on such land.	8,122

III. Gross produce of land managed departmentally.

(i) The amount charged as assessment	19,167
(ii) Cultivation expenses	1,354
(iii) Net profit	5,318

The result of departmental management shows no improvement: the only satisfactory feature is the reduced number of estates so administered.

(*d*) The expenditure of the department may be summarised as under:—

	Rs.
(i) Assessment paid	...3,94,524
(ii) Maintenance	...1,65,177
(iii) Miscellaneous	... 62,890
(iv) Payments to creditors... ...	...1,92,068
(v) Cultivation expenses	... 21,305
(vi) Repayment of loans	... 33,524
(vii) Expenditure on purchase of promissory notes.	328
(viii) Cost of management for 1904-05	... 71,261
Do. 1905-06	... 70,606
TOTAL	...10,11,683

The increase under items (i) and (iv) is chiefly due to generally improved conditions. That under item (ii) represents adjustments of irrecoverable items due from estate-owners in pursuance of remarks made in Government Resolution No. 3832 of the 20th April 1906.

A considerable decrease in the repayment of loans is due to the raising of a loan of Rs. 61,900 at 6 per cent. for the conversion of earlier loans and payment of debts carrying interest at from 7 per cent. to 9 per cent. in 1904-05. Rebates on debts to the amount of Rs. 7,018 were secured. Thus, while a small proportion of the increase in repayments of debts is at the expense of

loan repayments, the department has made a substantial profit by the transaction.

On the other hand, the balances which it is necessary to keep for current expenses of management have been increased by Rs. 38,662. This is a reasonable precaution in a year of prosperity. A considerable portion will be invested in Government paper.

5. Thirty-nine claims for Rs. 74,180 were pending at the close of last year. 189 fresh claims for Rs. 1,16,538 were filed during the year. Of the total (228), 173 were disposed of as under:—

	Number.	Claim.	Award.
By Mr. Vaughan	160	1,45,734	76,595
„ Mr. Crerar	13	15,599	4,004
	173	1,61,333	80,599

Twenty-nine claims were struck off owing to relinquishment under section 19. 26 claims were pending at the end of the year. 20 appeals were made against Mr. Vaughan's decisions. 18 were rejected. One was amended on account of a clerical error. In the last, which was returned for further enquiry, Mr. Vaughan's decision was re-affirmed.

Excluding claims not heard owing to relinquishment of estates, Rs. 26,05,266 in all have been awarded by the department on claims for Rs. 59,82,638.

6. Of 442 estates under management at the close of the year, liquidation schemes for 390 had been sanctioned in previous years and 25 were sanctioned during the year. Of the 27 remaining, 7 were newly admitted, the claims of 5 were not fully decided and submission of schemes for the remaining 15 was deferred till the prospect of their successful liquidation could be more accurately estimated.

7. The aggregate indebtedness of the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 20,04,624. The indebtedness was increased to Rs. 21,25,724 by the following items:—

	Rs.
Awarded on claims	80,599
Compromise with Messrs. Wadhumal and Basarmal in a civil suit against estate No. 396.	40,000
Civil court's decree against estate No. 51. ...	467
Ordered by Commissioner in Sind to be refunded to a mortgagee	34
	1,21,100

Payments against this amounted to the end of 1904-1905 to Rs. 6,34,761 and during the year to Rs. 1,92,068.

Rebates on debts to the amount of Rs. 7,018 were secured, bringing the total under this head to Rs. 15,584.

The total reduction effected to the end of the year is thus Rs. 8,42,413 and the amount outstanding is Rs. 12,83,311. There is a decrease in the balance payable of Rs. 98,912 and an increase in the amount paid to creditors of Rs. 38,513 as compared with last year.

8. The balance of the Government 8 per cent. loan was Rs. 3,810 at the close of 1904-1905. Rs. 1,000 were borrowed during the year. Interest charges amounted to Rs. 293, and were paid. Rs. 630 were repaid from the principal, and the net balance payable at the close of the year was Rs. 4,180.

9. Last year's balance of the takavi loan at 5 per cent. was Rs. 17,968, exclusive of takavi taken by estate-owners before management. Rs. 15,000

were borrowed during the year. Interest amounted to Rs. 148. Of the total Rs. 33,116, Rs. 15,142 were paid during the year. The balance remained nearly the same.

10. The year opened with a debit balance of Rs. 84,100 on account of private loans. Rs. 38,000 were borrowed during the year. Interest amounted to Rs. 5,447. Of the total Rs. 1,22,547, Rs. 24,447 were paid, leaving a balance of Rs. 98,100. The fresh borrowings were made to pay off debts on which satisfactory rebates were secured.

11. There were 23 original civil suits shown pending in the last years' report. Out of these, the Manager was a—

pro forma party in	7
defendant in	14
and plaintiff in	2
	23

Seventeen fresh suits were filed during the year, and the Manager was a *pro forma* party only in 8 of these. This raised the number of suits to 40.

Fourteen suits were disposed of during the year (out of which the Manager was *pro forma* party in 6), with the following results:—

	Suits defended.	Suits in which the Manager was *pro forma* party.
In favour of the Manager ...	4	...
Against the Manager	1	4
Compromised	2	2
Written off on account of the estate being discharged	1	...
	8	6

The number of the suits pending at the close of the year was 26, of which 15 are being defended; in 9 the Manager is a *pro forma* party and in 2 he is the plaintiff. Four appeals were pending at the close of last year, 2 of which were filed by the Manager and 2 against him. Three fresh appeals were filed—1 by the Manager and 2 by the estate-owners in suits in which the Manager was a *pro forma* party.

The Manager was a *pro forma* party in only 2 of the 7 appeals shown above, 1 of which has been decided against the estate-owner. One appeal defended by the Manager was decided against him, and 1 in the important suit of Mr. Wadumal against Mir Bijar Khan's estate was compromised. Four appeals remained pending at the close of the year. In one of them the Manager is a *pro forma* party. One has been filed by him and 2 against him.

Litigation shows some tendency to decrease, if the suits in which the Manager was a *pro forma* party only are excluded from consideration. It may be anticipated, however, that a considerable number of cases will be brought to test the interpretation placed by the courts on the amended Act. It would, therefore, be premature to discuss the effects of recent legislation. It may be mentioned that 10 leases have been enquired into under the new provisions, and several others will shortly be dealt with.

12. The balance of arrears due to Government at the close of the last year on account of assessment and occupancy fees was Rs. 2,13,872, which sum, however, must be raised to Rs. 2,38,878 on account of the admission of new estates with outstanding arrears and other minor charges. Of this amount, Rs. 94,742 were paid during the year as compared with Rs. 84,631 last year and Rs. 6,120 were remitted.

The demand for the year under report amounted to Rs. 3,31,818, out of which Rs. 2,99,782 were paid. The balance has thus been reduced to Rs. 1,70,052. Of this amount, the Commissioner in Sind has remitted Rs. 18,371, being the arrears due by several estates in the Karachi district. This amount will be written off after the figures have been reconciled with the

figures of arrears shown in the Revenue records. The net amount of arrears due by this department will consequently be Rs. 1,51,631 only. It is hoped that these results will be considered not unsatisfactory. With two more favourable seasons, it may be anticipated that all arrears due to Government will be discharged.

13. The system of recoveries sanctioned experimentally in Government Resolution No. 1131 of the 10th February 1905 forms the subject of a separate report. It will be enough to say here that the results have been on the whole most encouraging, and little difficulty need be anticipated in its adoption as a permanent measure. Almost the sole obstruction encountered was delay on the part of some of the Mukhtiarkars in returning the *jama-visuli* forms. When the system becomes more familiar and the Mukhtiarkars learn to regard it as part of their normal duties, it will, I have no doubt, work smoothly and successfully.

14. The cost of management for 1904-1905 was 10·18 per cent. For 1905-1906, it will be approximately 8 per cent. The decrease is due to reduction of establishment and better returns. There seems no reason to apprehend that the incidence will become excessively burdensome in the immediate future. The general trend is, however, upwards, and it would be unwise to base too sanguine an estimate on the exceptionally favourable conditions of the year under report.

15. Mr. Tikamdas Rajaram was Assistant Manager throughout the year, except from the 19th April to the 6th June 1906, when he was absent through illness. The excellence of his work is well known to the Commissioner. I have derived invaluable assistance from his experience and capacity.

Mr. Menghraj Hardasmal was in charge of the Upper Sind division throughout the year, and worked with admirable zeal and discretion in a particularly difficult charge.

Mr. Hiranand Tahilram acquitted himself well in the Lower Sind division, and also acted as Assistant Manager during the absence of Mr. Tikamdas.

Both these officers displayed marked tact and energy in the delicate work of negotiating leases. Mr. Hiranand's success in negotiating leases for all the estates in his charge, except one, which is now being leased, is particularly to be commended.

Mr. Rupchand has continued to give satisfaction as serishtedar.

It is now possible to give more attention to the work of the overseers, and I venture to hope that a perceptible improvement has been effected. It remains, however, the most unsatisfactory feature of the department, and unremitting vigilance has to be exercised. There were no prosecutions of overseers during the year.

16. A list of camps made by the Manager is submitted. It was not possible to tour in the Thar and Parkar and Upper Sind Frontier districts, but the most important centres of all other districts were visited. The districts omitted last year will engage attention in the approaching cold weather. The year was exceptionally favourable. The yield and the prices obtained were both high.

Nearly 4 lakhs were paid as assessment, and the arrears due to Government were substantially reduced. Nearly 2 lakhs (an unprecedented amount) were paid to creditors, and the balance in hand exceeds that of last year by nearly Rs. 40,000.

The largest number of estates was discharged and the smallest admitted since the passing of the Act. Progress was made in the recovery of arrears due to the department, and the outstanding balance was materially reduced.

The incidence of the costs of management was lower than in any year since 1898-99.

J. CRERAR,
Manager.

List of movements of the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, during the year 1905-06.

Month.	Date.	Camps.
August 1905 ...	1st to 26th ...	Karachi.
	27th to 31st ...	Sukkur.
September 1905 ...	1st to 3rd ...	Sukkur.
	4th to 7th ...	Hyderabad.
	8th to 30th ...	Karachi.
October 1905 ...	1st to 21st ...	Karachi.
	22nd to 31st ...	Kambar.
November 1905 ...	1st to 19th ...	Larkana.
	20th to 22nd ...	Dokri.
	23rd ...	Almani.
	24th and 25th ...	Thariri Mohbat.
	26th to 29th ...	Mehar.
	30th ...	Khairpur Nathan Shah.
December 1905 ...	1st and 2nd ...	Khairpur Nathan Shah.
	3rd to 5th ...	Kakar.
	6th ...	Phulji.
	7th to 10th ...	Johi.
	11th to 13th ...	Bhan.
	14th to 17th ...	Bubak.
	18th to 23rd ...	Sehwan.
	24th to 31st ...	Christmas holidays.
January 1906 ...	1st and 2nd ...	Christmas holidays.
	3rd ...	Karachi.
	4th to 6th ...	Hyderabad.
	7th to 10th ...	Tando Muhammad Khan.
	11th ...	Jalalani.
	12th to 14th ...	Khor wah.
	15th to 19th ...	Mirpur Batoro.
	20th to 26th ...	Sujawal.
	27th and 28th ...	Dari.
	29th and 30th ...	Mirpur Batoro.
	31st ...	Khor wah.
February 1906 ...	1st ...	Tarai.
	2nd to 10th ...	Badin.
	11th and 12th ...	Talhar.
	13th and 14th ...	Matli.
	15th to 28th ...	Hyderabad.
March 1906 ...	1st to 6th ...	Hyderabad.
	7th to 14th ...	Shikarpur.
	15th to 17th ...	Karachi.
	18th to 26th ...	Shikarpur.
	27th to 31st ...	Sukkur.
April 1906 ...	1st to 10th ...	Sukkur.
	11th to 26th ...	Hyderabad.
	27th to 30th ...	Karachi.
May 1906 ...	1st and 2nd ...	Karachi.
	3rd to 31st ...	Hyderabad.
June 1906 ...	1st to 30th ...	Hyderabad.
July 1906 ...	1st to 18th ...	Hyderabad.
	19th to 28th ...	Karachi.
	29th to 31st ...	Hyderabad.

J. CRERAR,
Manager.

APPENDIX I.

	Rs.	Rs.
BALANCE at the close of last year		1,05,841
Produce during the year (1)	8,96,173	
Loans at 8 per cent. interest advanced by Government under special laws	1,000	
Takavi at 5 per cent. interest advanced by Government under the Agriculturists and the Land Improvement Loans Acts	15,000	
Private loans raised	33,000	
Refund of cultivation expenses	30,267	
Refund of management expenses for 1904-1905 paid last year from the Manager's account ...	71,299	
Interest on promissory notes	1,530	
Refund of share of produce attached by criminal courts	2,076	
		10,50,345
TOTAL RECEIPTS, including last year's balance ...		11,56,186

Expenditure on account of—

Government assessment—

	Rs.	Rs.
Arrears due before management ...	9,759	
Arrears accrued during do. ...	84,983	
Current year	3,07,428	
	4,02,170	
Deduct—Refund from last year's payments	7,646	
		3,94,524
Maintenance to estate-owners (2)		1,65,177
Private debts discharged		1,92,068
Cultivation expenses (3) { From Government loan at 5 per cent. interest	Rs. 15,000	
Cultivation expenses (3) { ,, estates' income ...	6,305	
		21,305
Miscellaneous expenditure as per details on the reverse (4)		62,890
Repayment of Government loan, at 8 per cent. interest, advanced under special laws ...		630
Repayment of takavi, at 5 per cent. interest, advanced under the Agriculturists and Land Improvement Loans Acts		14,994
Repayment of private loans		17,900
Cost of management for 1904-05		71,261
Do. do. 1905-06		70,606
Expenditure in connection with purchase of promissory notes		328
TOTAL EXPENDITURE ...	...	10,11,683
BALANCE on the 31st July 1906 ...	...	1,44,503

Of the balance (Rs. 1,44,503), Rs. 45,000 have been invested in Government promissory notes.

Notes.—

(1) Is made up as under:—

	Rs.	
Advances for future leases	15,437	
Sales of land	17,683	
Compensation for land	6,651	
Payments made by estate-owners	7,283	
Pensions	3,150	
Patadari	10,613	
Miscellaneous, interest, notice fees, percentage, sale of trees, &c.	20,224	
		81,041

	Rs.	Rs.
Adjustment of consideration of leases given to estate-owners	15,518	
Do. of produce of land given in maintenance	16,341	
Assessment on produce of land do. ...	8,122	
Adjustment of produce taken away by estate-owners or default made by estate-owners ...	49,333	
Adjustment of produce enjoyed by former lessees	4,373	
		93,687
Produce of lease	3,83,030	
Assessment on leased estates	3,12,576	
		6,95,606
Amani produce—		
	Rs.	
Assessment demanded	19,167	
Canal clearance and cultivation expenses paid	1,354	
Net profit	5,318	25,839
		8,96,173

(2) The item of maintenance (Rs. 1,65,177) includes—

		Rs.	
Adjustment of consideration in leases to estate-owners		15,518	
Do.	produce on account of land given to estate-owners ...	16,341	
Do.	produce taken away by estate-owners or default made by estate-owners... ...	49,333	
Do.	cultivation expenses due by estate-owners	6,343	87,535

(3) The item of cultivation expenses (Rs. 21,305) includes Rs. 410 on account of purchase of bullocks.

(4) Details of miscellaneous expenditure—

	Rs.	
Domestic expenses—repairs to houses, marriage, death, circumcision and other personal expenses	14,268	
Receipt and court-fee stamps	44	
Suit expenses	5,616	
Interest on private loans raised	6,547	
Interest on proved debts	7,789	
Interest on Government loan, at 8 per cent. interest, advanced under special laws ...	293	
Interest on Government loan, at 5 per cent. interest, advanced under the Land Improvement and the Agriculturists Loans Acts ...	148	
Balance of account given to estate-owners on relinquishment	15,550	
Purchase of land	850	
Expenses in connection with estate-owners' tuition	1,833	
Registration fees and purchase of documents...	63	
Adjustment of produce enjoyed by former lessees	4,373	
Advance to estate-owners for redeeming ornaments	91	
Jamrao expenses	5,032	
Other petty items	393	62,890

J. CRERAR,
Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX II.—TAKAVI ACCOUNT.

(*a*) *Loans at* 8 *per cent. interest.*

Balance at the close of last year—			
From maintenance, &c. ...	...	Rs. 3,810	
Add—			
Fresh advances during the year—			
For maintenance ...	...	Rs. 1,000	
			Rs. 4,810
Deduct—			
Repayment to Government	...		630
Balance still due to Government	...		4,180

(*b*) *Loans at* 5 *per cent. interest for takavi.*

Balance at the close of last year	...	Rs. 17,968	
Add—			
Fresh advances during the year	...	Rs. 15,000	
TOTAL Rs.	...		32,968
Deduct—			
Repayments during the year	...	Rs. 14,994	
Balance still due to Government	...	...	Rs. 17,974
TOTAL GOVERNMENT DUES (*a*) and (*b*)		...	Rs. 22,154

J. CREAR,
Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX III.

I.—ESTATES REPORTED ON UNDER SECTION 17.

No. of estates which came under management: Up to 1904-1905.	In 1905-1906.	Total.	District.	LIABILITIES: As estimated by the owners under section 6.	Total of claims registered under sections 12 and 14: Up to the end of 1904-1905. No.	Amount.	In 1905-1906. No.	Amount.	Total. No.	Amount.	Total admitted by the Manager: Up to the end of 1904-1905.	In 1905-1906.	Total.	DEBTS COMPOUNDED: Up to the end of 1904-1905. Amount of debt.	Amount for which settled.	In 1905-1906. Amount of debt.	Amount for which settled.	Total. Amount of debt.	Amount for which settled.	Amount that remained due to creditors on the 31st July 1905.	Amount still remaining due to creditors on the 31st July 1906.	Appeals: In 1904-1905. Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.	In 1905-1906. Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.	Estates relinquished under section 27. Up to 1904-05.	In 1905-06.	Total.	Estates remaining under management. Up to end of 1904-05.	At the end of 1905-06.
64	...	64	Karachi ...	1,52,603	234	2,08,318	3	1,653	237	2,09,971	75,603	5,326	80,929	17,842	17,813	12,881	12,377	30,723	30,190	57,761	50,206	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	15	2	17	40	47
169	...	169	Hyderabad ...	7,34,742	943	9,92,982	2	5,659	944	9,98,641	4,53,084	40,467	4,93,551	1,61,781	1,59,549	55,141	53,910	2,16,922	2,13,459	2,91,303	2,76,629	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	56	8	64	110	105
24	...	24	Thar and Parkar	72,500	52	1,13,749	1	725	53	1,14,474	41,961	...	41,961	28,763	28,212	6,347	6,347	35,110	34,559	13,198	6,851	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	3	15	11	9
193	...	193	Larkana ...	9,77,277	2,100	13,42,451	2	2,208	2,102	13,44,659	6,73,627	1,312	6,74,939	2,28,933	2,28,237	60,691	60,009	2,89,624	2,88,246	4,44,694	3,85,315	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	40	23	63	151	130
121	...	121	Sukkur ...	6,88,309	1,337	11,75,475	7	3,170	1,344	11,78,645	5,47,262	11,180	5,58,442	1,52,422	1,51,053	51,363	46,625	2,03,788	1,97,678	3,94,840	3,54,054	...	...	5	5	...	...	3	3	27	6	33	85	88
57	...	57	Upper Sind Frontier.	2,31,927	297	3,29,570	...	...	297	3,29,570	1,51,330	...	1,51,330	53,586	49,897	12,680	12,800	66,246	62,697	97,744	85,084	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	3	21	38	36
628	...	628																																
168	...	45	Deduct relinquished under section 27.																															
460	...	583		28,57,358	4,932	41,62,545	15	13,415	4,977	41,75,960	19,42,867	58,285	20,01,152	6,43,327	6,34,761	1,99,086	1,92,068	8,42,413	8,26,829	12,99,540	11,58,739	...	...	11	11	...	...	3	3	168	45	213	435	415

II.—ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT BUT NOT REPORTED.

												Claims remaining undisposed of: At the end of 1904-1905. No.	Amount.	In 1905-1906. No.	Amount.													Estates relinquished under section 19 up to the end of: 1904-1905.	1905-1906.	Total.		
5	...	5	Karachi	16,699	6	10,560	2	3,075	8	13,635	3,053	7,606	10,659	12	23,416	1	...					...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	2	1	3	12	2
23	2	25	Hyderabad ...	6,831	...	...	13	4,951	13	4,951	...	2,155	2,155	...	...	4	5,957					...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	21	1	22	5	3
2	1	3	Thar and Parkar	10,971	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...					...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	1	...	1	2	2
18	...	18	Larkana	24,050	28	24,820	88	52,732	116	77,552	1,935	37,936	39,831	30	23,778	29	8,885					...	...	9	9	...	1	9	10	14	1	15	6	3
22	2	24	Sukkur ...	58,144	27	39,263	70	41,076	97	80,342	3,995	14,186	17,281	31	43,462	21	15,043					...	...	...	...	...	...	6	6	12	1	13	19	11
14	2	16	Upper Sind Frontier.	1,10,019	41	1,05,923	1	1,289	42	1,07,212	53,714	932	54,646	...	...	...	...					...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	10	5	6
84	7	91		2,26,714	102	1,80,569	174	1,03,123	276	2,83,692	61,757	62,815	1,24,572	76	90,656	55	29,385					...	...	9	9	...	1	16	17	60	4	64	49	27
712	7	719		30,84,072	5,064	43,43,114	189	1,16,538	5,253	44,59,652	20,04,624	1,21,100	21,25,724									...	...	20	20	...	1	19	20	228	49	277	484	442

Note.—The difference in the figures of 1904-1905 is due to the omission of figures of the relinquished estates and also to omissions since detected.

J. CRERAR,

Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX IV

***STATEMENT** showing the results of the working of the Department of Incumbered Estates in Sind up to the 31st July 1906, distinguishing the results arrived at up to the 31st July 1905 from those of the current year 1905-1906 ending the 31st July 1906.*

District.	No. of estates for which order of management has issued			No. of estates of which the management has ceased			
				By order under section 19.		By order under section 27.	
	Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-1906.	Total.	Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-1906.	Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-1906.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Karachi ...	69	...	69	2	1	15	2
Hyderabad ...	192	2	194	21	1	56	8
Thar & Parkar	26	1	27	1	...	12	3
Larkana ...	211	...	211	14	1	40	23
Sukkur ...	143	2	145	12	1	27	6
U. S. Frontier...	71	2	73	10	...	18	3
Total ...	712	7	719	60	4	168	45

District.	No. of estates which remained under management on the 31st July 1905.	No. of estates which remained under management on the 31st July 1906.	Total Amount awarded to creditors		Amount paid		Amount settled	
			Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-1906.	Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-06.	Up to the 31st July 1905.	In 1905-06.
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Karachi ...	52	49	78,656	12,932	17,813	12,377	17,842	12,881
Hyderabad ...	115	108	4,53,084	42,622	1,59,549	53,910	1,61,781	55,141
Thar & Parkar	13	11	41,961	...	28,212	6,347	28,763	6,347
Larkana ...	157	133	6,75,522	39,248	2,28,237	60,009	2,28,933	60,691
Sukkur ...	104	99	5,50,357	25,366	1,51,053	46,625	1,52,422	51,366
U. S. Frontier...	43	42	2,05,044	932	49,897	12,800	53,586	12,660
Total ...	484	442	20,04,624	1,21,100	6,34,761	1,92,068	6,43,327	1,99,086

District.	Amount which remained due to creditors on the 31st July 1905.	Amount remaining to be paid to creditors on the 31st July 1906.	Account of Government advances at 8 per cent. interest.					
			Amount outstanding on the 31st July 1905.	Advances in 1905-06.	Interest accruing on the 31st July 1906.	Total advances with interest.	Amount repaid during 1905-1906.	Balance outstanding on the 31st July 1906.
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Karachi ...	60,814	60,865	300	...	24	324	24	300
Hyderabad ...	2,91,303	2,78,784	1,240	500	97	1,837	397	1,440
Thar & Parkar	13,198	6,851	...	50	...	50	...	50
Larkana ...	4,46,589	4,25,146	990	300	78	1,368	228	1,140
Sukkur ...	3,97,935	3,71,935	880	50	62	992	242	750
U.S. Frontier...	1,51,458	1,39,730	400	100	32	532	32	500
Total ...	13,61,297	12,83,311	3,810	1,000	293	5,103	923	4,180

District.	Account of Government advances under the Agriculturists Loans and the Land Improvement Loans Acts at 5 per cent. interest.						Account of private loans.					
	Amount outstanding on the 31st July 1905.	Advances in 1905-1906.	Interest accruing on the 31st July 1906.	Total advances with interest.	Amount repaid during 1905-1906.	Balance outstanding on the 31st July 1906	Amount outstanding on the 31st July 1905.	Advances in 1905-1906.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1906.	Total advances with interest.	Amount repaid during 1905-1906.	Balance outstanding on the 31st July 1906.
	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
Karachi ...	4,592	3,080	...	7,672	...	7,672	...	3,800	110	3,910	1,060	2,850
Hyderabad ...	705	2,000	114	2,819	1,507	1,312	46,600	9,900	2,482	58,982	12,182	46,800
Thar & Parkar	...	1,000	...	1,000	1,000	...	1,200	....	72	1,272	72	1,200
Larkana ...	4,405	3,000	21	7,426	4,961	2,465	6,000	1,700	400	8,100	2,900	5,200
Sukkur ...	4,456	3,205	13	7,674	4,004	3,670	25,900	17,600	2,116	45,616	7,566	38,050
U. S. Frontier...	3,810	2,715	...	6,525	3,670	2,855	4,400	...	267	4,667	667	4,000
Total ...	17,968	15,000	148	33,116	15,142	17,974	84,100	33,000	5,447	1,22,547	24,447	98,100

J. CRERAR

Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX V.

List of suits instituted by or against the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, for the year ending 31*st July* 1906.

No.	Name of plaintiff.	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	Gangaram Bachomal.	Estate No. 378, Faiz Muhammad, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates.	Claim for Rs. 373-8-0 and counter claim of defendant for Rs. 700.	Appeal of the plaintiff is still pending in the Court of the Judicial Commissioner.
2	Seth Jhamrai Jamnadas.	Sayad Akbar Shah, Hatim Shah, and the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.	Claim for declaration of ineligibility of defendants to protection.	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana.
3	Munshi Hemandas Jeramdas and Khialdas.	1. Muhammad Khan. 2. The Manager. 3. Amir Baksh.	For declaration of Muhammad Khan's right in certain property.	Do. do.
4	Parsasing ...	Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah.	Regarding ineligibility of Akbar Shah and Hatim Shah to protection.	Do. do.
5	1. Misir Metharam. 2. Arab, owner of estate No. 508, under the guardianship of the Manager.	Parsram Tejsing and others.	Claim for mesne profits of certain land.	Do. in the District Court, Hyderabad.
6	Imam Baksh and others.	Ayub Khan, Manager, and others.	Partition of land ..	The suit was decided in favour of the plaintiff. The defendant preferred an appeal to the Sadar Court, but that was also dismissed.
7	Lutif Ali Shah ...	Ghulam Husein Shah and the Manager.	Claim for possession of land.	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana.
8	Dayomal Aditmal	The Manager as guardian of estate No. 51 (Mir Rojo Khan).	Injunction for restraining the Manager from recovering lease money.	Appeal pending in the Court of the Judicial Commissioner of Sind.
9	Dialmal and Lekhraj.	The Manager as guardian of estate No. 202 of Nawab Wali Muhammad.	Compensation for damages caused by erosion of the kacha land by the Indus.	Appeal was decided against the Manager, who has preferred a second appeal to the Court of the Judicial Commissioner.
10	Nur Muhammad Kabul.	The Manager, Nasir Ali Khan, and others.	Possession of land ...	Compromised.
11	Kanwarsing Golasing.	1. Mir Bijar Khan ... 2. The Manager ... 3. Alu and others ...	Possession of land and issue of an injunction.	Decided in favour of plaintiff.
12	Wadhumal and Basarmal.	1. The Manager ... 2. Mir Bijar Khan.	Claim for declaration of right for possession under lease of a jagir and mesne profits, or in the alternative for recovery of money.	Appeal of the Manager in the Court of the Judicial Commissioner compromised.
13	Tilumal Gaganmal.	1. The Manager, guardian of the estate of Mir Muhammad Ali Khan, and Mir Sher Muhammad Khan. 2. Sayad Zinulabdin Shah, owner of estate No. 530.	Claim for declaration of right to land and for issue of an injunction.	Estate relinquished and suit compromised.
14	Nazar Muhammad and others.	1. Darya Khan, owner of estate No. 550. 2. The Manager.	Partition of land ...	Suit decided against the defendant, who has preferred an appeal to the Court of the Judicial Commissioner. Estate-owner conducts the appeal through a local pleader.

No.	Name of plaintiff.	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
15	Lekhraj Chelaram	The Manager, guardian of estate No. 396 of Mir Bijar Khan.	Claim for possession of land and compensation.	Suit decided by the District Court, Hyderabad, against the plaintiff.
16	Khiantomal and others.	The Manager as guardian of estate No. 399 of Sayad Mukim Shah.	Claim for possession of certain land.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
17	Mr. Murijmal ...	1. The Manager, Incumbered Estates. 2. Sayad Miran Shah. 3. Hot son of Jaro.	Claim for Rs. 96-13-0, value of the produce of certain land.	Decided by the District Court, Hyderabad, against the defendants.
18	Do. ...	1. The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind. 2. Sayad Miran Shah. 3. Munshi Sitaldas ... 4 Diwan Gurmukhdas.	Claim for Rs. 116-6-0 for damages for removal of produce of certain land by defendant No. 2.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
19	Munshi Relumal...	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.	Claim for recovery of arrears of pay amounting to Rs. 370.	Do.
20	Rewachand and others.	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of estate No 51 of Mir Rajo Khan.	Claim for Rs. 3,000 ...	Do.
21	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do. for Rs. 9,000 ...	Do.
22	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, on behalf of estate No. 245 of Sabzul Khan, Mari.	Asanmal and Nanikmal.	Claim for possession of land and mesne profits.	Do.
23	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, on behalf of estate No 283 of Dars Kabul, Pali.	Haji Warial ...	Claim for Rs. 4,000.	Do.
24	Bhai Gianchand...	1. The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind. 2. Santdas.	Claim for Rs. 3,543-0-11.	The estate has been relinquished, and the estate-owner has been advised to defend the suit, if he deems fit. The suit will be struck off the list.
25	Haji Ahmed ...	The Manager as guardian of estate No. 169 of Mir Muhammad Ali Khan and Mir Sher Muhammad Khan.	Claim for Rs. 58-13.	Compromised.
26	Abdul Karim ...	The Managar, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of estate No. 87 of Mian Sher Muhammad, Jatoi.	Injunction for restraining the Manager from recovering arrears of lease money.	Decided by the District Court, Hyderabad, against the plaintiff.
27	Rachumal ...	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of estate No. 610 of Muhammad Ali, Lashari.	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
28	Murijmal son of Valabdas.	1. The Manager, Sind Incumbered Estates. 2. Hidayatalah Khan. 3. Abdulah Khan.	For an injunction restraining the Manager from recovering by warrant the balance of the rent due from the plaintiff without deducting therefrom the canal clearing expenses, amounting to Rs. 207-14-7, due from defendants.	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana.

No.	Name of plaintiff.	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
29	Ramdas Topandas	1. The Secretary of State for India in Council. 2. Sayad Bahadur Ali Shah. 3. Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of the estate of defendant No. 2.	Recovery of possession of land.	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana.
30	Lekhraj Asudamal	Metharam and the Manager, as guardian of estate No. 90 of Mirza Muhammad Rahim Beg and others.	Partition of a dwelling house.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
31	Menghomal ...	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of estate No 367, belonging to Ali Gohar Shah and his son Mir Muhammad Shah.	Recovery of mesne profits of Rs. 864-6-6.	Do. do.
32	Topandas Pokardas.	1. Kuhindal Khan ... 2. Manager, as guardian of the estate of defendant No. 1. 3. Lahromal.	Partition of land ...	Decided in favour of plaintiff.
33	Musamat Nihal Khatun.	Darya Khan and 6 others. The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, is defendant No. 6.	Partition of land and mesne profits.	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana. The estate-owner has been asked to defend the suit himself.
34	Seth Asardas Shewaram.	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, as guardian of estate No. 461, and 2 others.	For mutation of names in the revenue records.	Suit compromised, and estate relinquished.
35	Ibrahim *alias* Piru, and 5 others.	Pir Pirdino Shah ... The Manager, as guardian of the estate of defendant No. 1.	Claim for redemption of mortgaged land and settlement of accounts.	Pending in the District Court Hyderabad.
36	Bacho and 6 others	Do. ...	Possession of land and mesne profits.	Do. do.
37	Musamat Izat, wife of Unis.	Pir Pirdino Shah ... The Manager, as guardian of the estate of defendant No. 1.	Possession of land and mesne profits.	Do. do.
38	Mian Sardar Shah	The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, and 16 others.	For partition of land ...	Pending in the District Court, Sukkur-Larkana.
39	1. Seth Asardas Shewaram. 2. Tekchand Sanwaldas.	The Manager, Incumbered Estates, as guardian of estate No. 106 of Baloch Khan and Shah Beg Khan.	Claim for Rs. 992-14-7 ...	Do. do.
40	Jamumal Himatmal.	The Manager, as guardian of estate No. 597 of Taib Kandhro.	Do. Rs. 294-1-0 ...	Do.
41	Topandas Kauromal.	The Manager, as guardian of estate No. 51 of Mir Rajo Khan.	Compensation for cancellation of lease.	Pending in the District Court, Hyderabad.
42	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do.
43	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do.
44	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do.

J. CRERAR,
Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

APPENDIX VI.

STATEMENT showing the balance outstanding on account of Government revenue on the 31st July 1905, amount claimed for 1905-1906, amount paid during the year, and the balance outstanding on the 31st July 1906.

District.	Balance outstanding on the 31st July 1905	Amount claimed for 1905-1906.	TOTAL.	AMOUNT PAID UP TO THE 31ST JULY 1906 ON ACCOUNT OF				BALANCE OUTSTANDING ON THE 31ST JULY 1906 ON ACCOUNT OF			REMARKS.
				Former years.		Current year.	TOTAL.	Former years.	Current year.	TOTAL.	
				Remitted.	Actually paid.						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	The difference from the last year's balance is due to new estates having come under management and to corrections since notified by the Revenue authorities.
Karachi	39,482	29,270	68,752	5,357	7,119	27,122	39,598	27,006	2,148	29,154	
Hyderabad	59,390	1,02,217	1,61,607	763	29,461	90,753	1,20,977	29,166	11,464	40,630	
Thar and Parkar ...	5,223	21,597	26,825	...	3,428	20,270	23,598	1,800	1,427	3,227	
Larkana	38,263	77,131	1,15,399	...	13,830	74,904	88,734	24,438	2,227	26,665	
Sukkur	57,251	63,862	1,21,113	...	26,247	60,576	86,823	31,004	3,286	34,290	
Upper Sind Frontier ...	39,259	37,741	77,000	...	14,657	26,257	40,914	24,602	11,484	36,086	
TOTAL ...	2,39,878(a)	3,31,818(b)	5,70,696	6,120	94,742(c)	2,99,782(d)	4,00,644	1,38,016(e)	32,036(f)	1,70,052	

Includes arrears due before management.	Malkano.	TOTAL.	Includes Malkano.	Naobat.	TOTAL.
(a) Rs. 37,273	Rs. 260	Rs. 37,533	(b) Rs. 644	Rs. 47	Rs. 691
(c) „ 9,759	„ 144	„ 9,903	(d) „ 41	„ 16	„ 57
(e) „ 27,514	„ 116	„ 27,630	(f) „ 603	„ 31	„ 634

J. CRERAR,

Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

Administration Reports.

Report on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year ending 31st July 1906.

No. 6006.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 15th June 1907.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 18, dated 17th January 1907—Submitting, with his remarks, the report on the administration of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year ending 31st July 1906.

RESOLUTION.—Government concur in the remarks made by the Commissioner regarding the management of the Department during the year under report, and are pleased to endorse his commendation of the work of the late Mr. Vaughan and of Mr. Crerar and his subordinates.

R. P. BARROW,
Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Collectors and Deputy Commissioners in Sind,
The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,
The Accountant General,
The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor. } With copies of the report.
The Government of India,
The Under Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

Rev 1957

No. of 1907.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

00133821

Digitized with financial assistance from

Government of Maharashtra

on 01 February, 2020